



## Do ACL Injury Risk Reduction Exercises Reflect Common Injury Mechanisms? A Scoping Review of Injury Prevention Programs

Dischiavi, S., Wright, A. A., Heller, R. A., Love, C. E., Salzman, A. J., Harris, C. A., & Bleakley, C. M. (2022). Do ACL Injury Risk Reduction Exercises Reflect Common Injury Mechanisms? A Scoping Review of Injury Prevention Programs. *Sports Health*, 14(4), 592-600. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381211037966>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

**Published in:**  
Sports Health

**Publication Status:**  
Published (in print/issue): 01/07/2022

**DOI:**  
[10.1177/19417381211037966](https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381211037966)

**Document Version**  
Author Accepted version

### General rights

The copyright and moral rights to the output are retained by the output author(s), unless otherwise stated by the document licence.

Unless otherwise stated, users are permitted to download a copy of the output for personal study or non-commercial research and are permitted to freely distribute the URL of the output. They are not permitted to alter, reproduce, distribute or make any commercial use of the output without obtaining the permission of the author(s).

If the document is licenced under Creative Commons, the rights of users of the documents can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/share-your-work/licenses/>.

### Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [pure-support@ulster.ac.uk](mailto:pure-support@ulster.ac.uk)

1 **Title:** Do anterior cruciate ligament injury risk reduction exercises reflect common injury  
2 mechanisms? A scoping review of the exercises contained within ACL injury prevention  
3 programs

4

#### 5 **ABSTRACT**

6 **Context:** ACL injury risk reduction programs have become increasingly popular. As ACL  
7 injuries continue to reflect high incidence rates, the continued optimization of current risk  
8 reduction programs, and the exercises contained within them, is warranted. The exercises must  
9 evolve to align with new etiology data, but there is concern that the exercises do not fully reflect  
10 the complexity of ACL injury mechanisms and inciting events.

11 **Objective:** To examine if exercises designed to reduce the risk of ACL injury reflect key injury  
12 mechanisms: multiplanar movement; single limb stance; trunk and hip dissociative control; and a  
13 flight phase.

14 **Data Sources:** A systematic search was performed in PubMed, Medline, EBSCO (CINAHL),  
15 SPORTSDiscus, PEDRO databases.

16 **Study Selection:** Eligibility Criteria: 1) RCTs or prospective cohort studies, 2) male and/or  
17 female participants of any age; 3) exercises were targeted interventions to prevent ACL/knee  
18 injuries; 4) individual exercises were listed and adequately detailed and excluded if program was  
19 unable to be replicated clinically.

20 **Study Design:** Scoping review

21 **Level of Evidence:** 4

22 **Data Extraction:** 35 studies were included, and 1019 exercises were extracted for analysis.

23 **Results:** The average Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) score was 11 (range  
24 0-14). The majority of exercises involved bilateral weight bearing (n=418/1019; 41.0%),  
25 followed by single limb (n=345/1019; 33.9%) and non-weight bearing (n=256/1019; 25.1%).  
26 Only 20% of exercises incorporated more than 1 plane of movement, and the majority of  
27 exercises had sagittal plane dominance. Although 50% of exercises incorporated a flight phase,  
28 only half of these also involved single leg weight bearing. Just 16% of exercises incorporated  
29 trunk and hip dissociation, and these were rarely combined with other key exercise elements.  
30 Only 13% of exercises challenged more than 2 key elements, and only 1% incorporated all 4  
31 elements (multiplanar single limb; trunk and hip dissociation; flight) simultaneously.

32 **Conclusions:** Many risk reduction exercises do not reflect the task specific elements identified  
33 within ACL injury mechanisms. Addressing the underrepresentation of key elements (e.g. trunk  
34 hip dissociation, multiplanar movements) may optimize risk reduction in future trials.

35 **Key Terms:** Exercise; hip; knee; injury prevention; neuromuscular training

36

37 **What we know:**

- 38
- Exercise interventions can reduce ACL injury incidence, but there is no strong evidence  
39 outlining which specific exercises are optimal and in what combination.
  - Most ACL injuries involve at least one of the following key events: multiplanar  
40 movement; single limb stance; altered trunk and hip dissociative control; and flight phase  
41 (phase when both feet are off the ground at the same time).
  - Greater risk reduction may potentially be achieved if exercise interventions align with  
42 etiology data.
- 43
- 44

45

46 **What this study adds:**

- 47 • Many of the exercises used within injury prevention programs do not reflect the task  
48 specific elements identified within ACL injury mechanisms.
- 49 • Most exercises (67%) are undertaken in either non-weightbearing or bilateral stance.
- 50 • Exercises rarely incorporate multiplanar movements (20%) or trunk and hip dissociation  
51 (16%).
- 52 • Exercises that represent elements found within the injury mechanism are  
53 underrepresented with just 1 in 8 challenging >2 key elements simultaneously.
- 54

55 **INTRODUCTION**

56 Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries can be devastating to athletes. In the United states,  
57 120,000 – 200,000 ACL injuries occur every year,<sup>37</sup> with surgical and related costs upwards of  
58 \$1-3 billion. <sup>36,54,64</sup> ACL injury can have both significant short-term (time away from sport) and  
59 long-term implications.

60 They carry a high risk of re-injury,<sup>58</sup> with up to 50% of patients failing to return to their pre-  
61 injury level of athletic participation.<sup>2,3,34,49</sup> ACL injury is also associated with a significant  
62 increased risk for post-traumatic knee osteoarthritis, which may present as early as 2 years  
63 following initial ACL reconstruction.<sup>58</sup> In an effort to mitigate the effects of ACL injuries, for  
64 both society at large and for the female athlete particularly, ACL injury prevention programs  
65 have become increasingly popular. It is important to note, even though there have been  
66 tremendous resources placed into the research and development of ACL injury prevention  
67 programs, ACL injuries continue at a high rate.<sup>1,25,37,54,56,71,75</sup> As ACL injuries continue to reflect

68 high incidence rates, the continued optimization of current injury prevention programs is  
69 warranted.<sup>66</sup>

70

71 In a meta-analysis of meta-analyses, Webster and Hewett<sup>71</sup> found conclusive evidence that injury  
72 prevention programs reduce the risk of ACL injury by half in the female athlete. However, the  
73 risk reduction varies considerably across individual studies and it has been reported that there is  
74 insufficient data to make conclusions on the effectiveness of injury prevention programs in male  
75 athletes.<sup>71</sup> This inconsistency may be driven by several factors, but variations in injury  
76 prevention programs content seem to be important; with published research comprising wide  
77 combinations of strength, balance, flexibility and jump training elements. Reviews that have  
78 tried to identify which training elements are most associated with prophylactic effectiveness,  
79 have found greatest effectiveness in programs, specifically from controlled studies, emphasizing  
80 strengthening and proximal control training,<sup>63</sup> and some have failed to find strong evidence for  
81 an optimal and specific exercise combination.<sup>20,34,54</sup>

82

83 Understanding the global three-dimensional position of the athlete's body and the mechanisms  
84 which lead to ACL injuries is crucial to effectively design specific preventative exercises.<sup>14,21</sup>  
85 Video analysis studies<sup>14</sup> provide insight into the situational patterns most associated with ACL  
86 injury in sport. An analysis of 107 ACL injuries in men's soccer emphasizes the large proportion  
87 associated with: mechanical perturbation to the upper body; single leg landings; and high  
88 horizontal speeds.<sup>14</sup> Studies have also found that multi-directional, reactive phases of play (e.g.  
89 pressing/defending/tackling) or high speed jumping and landing events<sup>43</sup> were the most common  
90 inciting events.<sup>14</sup> These patterns largely corroborate previous research from male<sup>70</sup> and female<sup>12</sup>

91 soccer; American Football<sup>35</sup> and Rugby Union.<sup>46</sup> There is also consistent evidence that a large  
92 proportion of ACL injury events involve large base of support to center of mass distance,<sup>57</sup>  
93 excessive or aberrant movements of the trunk,<sup>33</sup> creating knee valgus moments,<sup>59</sup> particularly  
94 when the lower extremity is fixed on the ground (e.g. timing related to landing from a jump).<sup>31,43</sup>

95  
96 There is concern that current ACL prevention exercises lack complexity.<sup>26,28,30,42,43,50,70</sup> Although  
97 basic exercise programs are easily replicated in clinical trials, they may not adequately challenge  
98 motor learning in the athlete, and may lack context and specificity, when juxtaposed to complex  
99 injury mechanisms.<sup>9,27,28,30</sup> Adopting a complex approach to exercise design may invoke a non-  
100 linear interaction between varying risk-factors, ultimately preparing the athlete across multiple  
101 constructs simultaneously.<sup>29</sup>

102  
103 Although it is injury risk reduction that is the overarching goal of these programs,<sup>71</sup> the name  
104 “injury prevention programs” will be used to reflect the term most often utilized in the literature  
105 that was scoped. Our primary objective was to quantify the extent to which injury prevention  
106 programs incorporate tasks which reflect common ACL injury mechanisms based on the  
107 presence or absence of: multiplanar movements; single limb stance; trunk and hip dissociative  
108 control; and a flight phase (phase of gait when both feet are off the ground at the same time).

## 109 110 **METHODS**

111 A systematic literature search was conducted after consulting the Preferred Reporting Items for  
112 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR)  
113 statement and the checklist completed.<sup>69</sup> The final protocol was registered with the Open Science

114 Framework on 8 April 2020 (<https://osf.io/wvqxp>). A scoping review design and methodology  
115 was used due to the exploratory nature of the research question. Scoping reviews aim to report  
116 concepts and theories related to knowledge gaps on a specific topic and key factors related to a  
117 concept.<sup>47,69</sup> Due to the nature of scoping reviews, the risk of bias assessment is not applicable  
118 and does not influence scoping review outcomes.<sup>69</sup> However, a measure of the quality of the  
119 reported injury prevention programs was relevant to this review. The assessment tool utilized  
120 was the Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT).<sup>60,61</sup> A score for each included paper  
121 on the quality of reporting the listed exercise program was recorded.

122

### 123 **Search Strategy**

124 A systematic literature search of the PubMed, EBSCOhost (CINAHL), Medline, Physiotherapy  
125 Evidence Database (PEDro) and SPORTDiscus databases was performed from inception to 8  
126 April 2020, to obtain relevant studies for the review. Language was limited to English and study  
127 participants were all human. Electronic databases were searched using a combination of  
128 generalized keywords related to ACL injury prevention programs in an effort to obtain a broad  
129 search of injury prevention programs (anterior cruciate ligament\* or knee injur\* and prevent\*).  
130 The search results are presented in the PRISMA-ScR flow diagram [Fig. 1]. A manual search of  
131 the reference lists from articles gathered during the primary search, as well as from related  
132 systematic reviews was also performed.

133

### 134 **Eligibility Criteria**

135 The inclusion criteria was as follows: 1) randomized controlled trials, prospective cohort studies,  
136 2) the authors clearly stated that the exercises in the reported program were targeted

137 interventions to prevent ACL/knee injuries or explicitly part of an ACL injury prevention  
138 program; 3) male and/or female participants of any age; 4) exercises contained in the ACL injury  
139 prevention programs must be specifically listed and the program explicitly detailed.

140

#### 141 **Study Selection**

142 The identification of relevant articles, titles and abstracts were downloaded into EndNote X8.2  
143 (Thomson Reuters, USA), where duplicates were removed. All relevant articles, titles, and  
144 abstracts were captured and independently screened by five authors (  
145 ) applying the *a priori* inclusion criteria. If the abstract provided insufficient information to  
146 determine eligibility for inclusion, full text articles were then retrieved. In the case of differing  
147 assessments of the retrieved studies between the reviewing authors, the specific study was  
148 collaboratively discussed amongst the assigned author and the principal investigator ( ) and a  
149 consensus was reached. All criteria were again independently applied by the authors (  
150 ) to the full-text articles that passed the initial screening process. If a  
151 consensus could not be reached on the decision for final inclusion, another senior author ( )  
152 was consulted. If multiple studies included the same ACL injury prevention program, only one  
153 study was included that detailed all the specific exercises. The authors of any duplicated  
154 programs were also acknowledged in the analysis.

155

#### 156 **Quality Assessment**

157 Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT)

158 The lead author ( ) randomly assigned the studies to the co-authors ( )  
159 who extracted the intervention data and scored each program using the CERT reporting form



160 with guidance from the Explanation and Elaboration Statement document.<sup>60</sup> The CERT is a 16-  
161 item checklist developed and endorsed by an international panel of exercise experts designed to  
162 assess the quality/comprehensiveness of reporting of exercise and contains seven categories:  
163 materials, provider, delivery, location, dosage, tailoring and compliance.<sup>61</sup> Following data  
164 extraction, any differences between reviewers were discussed and a final score was reached via a  
165 consensus meeting between the assessing author and the lead author ( ). A third reviewer  
166 ( ) was consulted when consensus could not be met initially.

167

### 168 **Data Extraction, Analysis, and Definitions**

169 All therapeutic exercises were extracted for data analysis from the included studies. The  
170 elements of each exercise were chosen to assess commonly reported events occurring during an  
171 ACL injury [Table 1]. In instances where the listed exercise was not clear, it was marked with an  
172 asterisk and the senior authors collaborated to determine how the exercise should be analyzed.  
173 Two senior reviewers ( ) initially analyzed all the exercises, and exercises that needed  
174 another senior reviewer, ( ) facilitated a final decision. A priori definitions were used to  
175 categorize each exercise element into the appropriate column, signifying if the element was  
176 present or not. It is acknowledged by the authors that many human movements can be argued to  
177 be multiplanar in nature, but it was the motive and intent of the prescribing author that was  
178 attempted to be captured, allowing the definitions to be as pragmatic and as relatable to a clinical  
179 context as possible. The exercise elements were defined as follows:

180

#### 181 *1. Plane of Movement*

182 The exercise was analyzed to see how many planes of movement occurred to achieve the  
183 primary purpose. The knee joint has been reported to move in all three planes,<sup>33</sup> so this analysis  
184 sought to score if the exercises challenged the knee in multiple planes. There were three  
185 subcategories including sagittal, frontal, and transverse planes. If an exercise was identified as  
186 multiplanar, the multiplanar box was checked, and then the two or three planes were then also  
187 identified in the analysis. This analysis focused on identifying if the exercise reflected a  
188 progression to multi- or triplanar movements, which is reflective of sporting movements.<sup>68</sup> The  
189 highest level of complexity in this category would be an exercise that captured a multiplanar  
190 movement that included rotation in the transverse plane.

191

192 *a. Sagittal Plane*

193 The primary intent of the exercise utilized movement that occurred primarily within the sagittal  
194 plane. Exercises such as forward and backward running, jumping or hopping, and forward lunges  
195 were considered to occur primarily in the sagittal plane.

196

197 *b. Frontal Plane*

198 The primary movement of the exercise occurred within the frontal plane. An example would be a  
199 sidelying straight leg raise, and more functional type exercises such as a side shuffle or lateral  
200 hops and jumps. If a frontal plane movement occurred with a coupled movement into another  
201 plane, the additional planes of movement were credited.

202

203 *c. Transverse Plane*

204 The primary movement of the exercise occurred within the transverse plane. Seated external  
205 rotation with a band is an isolated transverse plane exercise. Exercises where the author reported  
206 at least a  $\frac{1}{4}$  turn or a 90 degree rotational change of direction, was included as movement on the  
207 transverse plane.

208

## 209 2. Weight Bearing Status

210 The primary movement of the exercise was analyzed to determine how the lower extremities  
211 were contacting the ground. The analysis sought to determine if the target lower extremity was in  
212 a position of extension with the acetabulum oriented vertically over the femur in a long axis full  
213 weight-bearing position. This position rules out exercises such as bridging or quadruped as  
214 weight bearing in the context of preventing an ACL injury. The highest level of complexity in  
215 this category was single limb stance. When illustrations or written details were not provided, the  
216 authors conferred and agreed on how to score the exercise.

217

### 218 a. Unilateral Weight Bearing

219 The primary movement of the exercise had a single lower extremity contacting the ground,  
220 where the hip was in a position of extension and the acetabulum positioned over the femur in an  
221 long axis full weight bearing position. The subject performing the exercise must have been in an  
222 upright vertical position. A single limb plank, although the hip is in extension, was not  
223 considered unilateral weight bearing for this reason.

224

### 225 b. Bilateral Weight Bearing

226 The primary intent of the exercise occurred when both of the lower extremities were contacting  
227 the ground in the acetabulum over femur orientation of closed chain movement. All variations of  
228 lunges were considered to be bilateral weight bearing exercises because both feet were on the  
229 ground during the intentional phase of the exercise.

230

231 *c. Non-Weight Bearing*

232 The exercise was carried out while neither lower extremity was in a functional upright  
233 acetabulum over femur position with the feet on the ground. The category was analyzed to  
234 determine if the weight bearing position is reflective of the specific upright tasks encountered  
235 during the injury mechanism. Quadruped exercises, planks, Nordic hamstring curls and bridging  
236 were not considered weight-bearing since the method and position of delivery was not reflective  
237 of the upright position identified in the injury mechanism.

238

239 *3. Trunk & Hip Dissociative Control*

240 The authors of this review acknowledge that most any exercise or movement involves the trunk.  
241 This analysis seeks to assess if the trunk is deliberately and purposefully being involved in  
242 dissociative movements related to the pelvifemoral complex and lower extremity. The analysis  
243 was focused on the identified task, and if the exercise involved the dissociation of trunk. This  
244 element was scored as being present if there was a specific task of the trunk and pelvis, so  
245 essentially the acetabulum, is moving in a dissociative relationship with the femur. For example,  
246 how the trunk moves during single limb balance exercises on an unstable surface or during an  
247 exercise where the trunk is being utilized as a lever to dissociate its movement on a stable weight  
248 bearing extremity, as in a single limb dead lift, the trunk is purposefully moving in relation to a

249 stable femur. The analysis was designed to identify how the trunk was moving over the femur  
250 because exercises aimed at improving trunk control may reduce ACL injury risk.<sup>33,62,73,74</sup>

251  
252 4. *Flight Phase*  
253 The exercise must include a phase where both lower extremities are simultaneously off the  
254 ground during the exercise. This would include any running, jumping, or hopping variations. The  
255 purpose was to identify if the exercise included a specific element of the injury mechanism,  
256 which would be a deceleratory landing phase. Injuries often occur during the landing phase,  
257 following running (which can occur in 30-100ms), thus incorporating a landing element and  
258 focusing on lower limb and trunk alignment may induce neuromuscular adaptations and  
259 activation strategies to reduce ACL injury risk.<sup>20,65</sup>

260

## 261 **RESULTS**

### 262 **Exercise Analysis**

263 N=1019 exercises were extracted from the 35 included studies [Table 1]. The number of  
264 exercises employed within each study varied considerably, with a median of 24 exercises per  
265 program (range 4-104). The majority of exercises involved bilateral weight bearing (n=418/1019;  
266 41.0%), followed by single limb (n=345/1019; 33.9%) and non-weight bearing (n=256/1019;  
267 25.1%) [Fig. 2a]. Non weightbearing exercises typically involved variations of pelvic bridges,  
268 abdominal crunches and planks. Most exercises (834/1019; 81.8%) involved movements in the  
269 sagittal plane, with just 27.3% and 10.6% involving the frontal or transverse planes respectively  
270 [Fig. 2b].

271

272 Furthermore, only 1 in 5 exercises (19.5%) incorporated more than one plane of movement. The  
273 majority of multiplanar exercises (~94%) combined movements in either the sagittal/transverse  
274 (n=86/199; 43.2%) such as jumps or lunges with a 90 or 180 degree turn in position or  
275 movements in the sagittal/frontal (n=101/199; 50.7%) such as a squat to a lateral hop or jump or  
276 single limb balance on an unstable surface. Just 2 exercises (< 0.1%), both versions of the T-test,  
277 simultaneously challenged movement in all three planes. N=518/1019 (50.8%) exercises  
278 incorporated a flight phase component, of which, just under half involved a single leg landing  
279 (n=251). The most under represented exercise element was trunk and hip dissociative control  
280 which was present in just 16.1% of all exercises (n=164/1019). 33.7% of exercises (344/1019)  
281 did not feature any of the core elements: A. multiplanar movements; B. single limb stance; C.  
282 trunk and hip dissociative control; and D. flight phase.

283  
284 The Venn diagram [Fig. 3] categorizes 675 exercises, with 41.6% (281/675) challenging a single  
285 element, represented by sections A,B,C and D. The overlapping sections represent the various  
286 combinations of exercise elements. 58.3% of exercises (394/675) involved more than one  
287 element, but there is a general trend that as more elements are combined, the values in the Venn  
288 decrease. 38.5% (260/675) of exercises combined 2 elements, 16.4% (111/675) combined 3  
289 elements, and just 3.4% (23/675) combined all four exercise elements. The most common  
290 combinations were BD (flight and single leg stance) and ABD (multi-planar, single limb stance  
291 and flight). Exercises involving trunk and hip dissociation were underrepresented.

292

### 293 **Quality Assessment**

294 Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT):

295 The CERT reporting form results [Table 2] ranged from 0 to 14 (19 total possible points) with an  
296 average score of 11.0. Most shortcomings concerned items 7a, 9, 10, 11, 14a, and 15 [Figure 4].  
297 For calculation of the completeness of the exercise descriptions, a single score was calculated for  
298 CERT for each study. Items 1, 3, and 14a scored the highest; exercise equipment described,  
299 exercises performed individually or in a group and generic or individually tailored, each scoring  
300 affirmative in 35 of the 35 studies. None of the studies completed all items in the checklist, for a  
301 score of 19, the highest score for an individual CERT was 14, with three papers achieving the  
302 highest score.<sup>19,23,25</sup>

303

## 304 **DISCUSSION**

305 This scoping review analyzes exercises contained within ACL injury risk reduction programs.  
306 Previous reviews in this field have categorized exercise-based training components using macro  
307 elements based on the presence of absence of things such as: proximal control exercises, strength  
308 training, plyometrics, balance exercises, agility training, and flexibility.<sup>4,34,54,56,63,67</sup> To our  
309 knowledge, this is the first review to quantify the extent to which individual exercises comprise  
310 task-specific elements (multiplanar movements; single limb stance; trunk and hip dissociative  
311 control; and a flight phase) closely associated with ACL injury mechanism and inciting events.  
312 We analyzed an aggregate of 1019 exercises extracted from 35 studies. Overall, we found that  
313 few programs exposed athletes to the task specific injury mechanism elements identified  
314 specifically contained within this review. It was also noted that representation diminished as  
315 multiple elements were combined into a singular exercise. Incorporating multiple elements,  
316 which may increase the complexity of the exercises, has the potential to improve motor learning  
317 strategies needed to control various interactions between multiple different risk factors.

318 The large majority of exercises in the ACL injury prevention program literature have sagittal  
319 plane dominance (81.8%). Common examples were straight line running, squats, forward/reverse  
320 lunges, and forward/backward jumping/hopping. We acknowledge that straight ahead running  
321 was potentially used as a “warm-up” strategy versus an exercise for risk reduction. That said, if  
322 running/sprinting was listed as a clear part of the injury prevention program it was analyzed as it  
323 was reported. It could not be assumed that running exercises were only utilized as non-risk  
324 reducing activities. Adopting a shallow knee flexion angle on landing or side cutting is a key risk  
325 factor associated with ACL injuries<sup>14</sup> and sagittal plane exercises may help to optimize landing  
326 mechanics, allowing athletes to better absorb ground reaction forces.<sup>6,40,51</sup> However, we would  
327 suggest that sagittal plane movements are over represented in the current literature. ACL injuries  
328 typically involve a multiplanar event, yet only 19.5% exercises challenged athletes in more than  
329 one movement plane. The majority of multiplanar movements (~94%), utilized the  
330 sagittal/frontal plane or sagittal/transverse plane. The fewest multiplanar exercises utilized the  
331 coupling of the frontal and transverse planes. It is often reported that a primary mechanism of the  
332 ACL injury is a valgus collapse about the frontal plane coupled with a rotational  
333 component.<sup>5,14,39,41,44,45</sup> yet this multiplanar combination was only included in 1% (N=10/1019)  
334 of the exercises analyzed. These exercises were primarily running sideways with a carioca or  
335 crossover type of movement or stationary exercises such as a lateral lunge with a rotational twist.  
336 These exercises met the definition of a multiplanar movement, but we would suggest that they  
337 are not fully representative of a high speed deceleratory landing observed during sports.<sup>14</sup>  
338 Furthermore, these exercises were often in isolation and were rarely combined with the other  
339 exercise elements recognized as being present during an ACL injury (flight, single leg stance or  
340 trunk and hip control)<sup>18</sup> This seems to represent a reductionist approach common to many areas



341 of musculoskeletal rehabilitation, whereby simplistic frameworks are applied to complex injury  
342 pathologies.<sup>7,8,10,15,16,29</sup>

343  
344 It is well documented that a large proportion of ACL injuries occur in unilateral weight bearing,  
345 some authors report as high as 70% of ACL injuries.<sup>38,46,50,70</sup> This is not yet fully reflected in  
346 current injury prevention program literature, with 25% of exercises undertaken in non-weight  
347 bearing and 41% in bilateral weight bearing. Furthermore, many of these exercises focused on  
348 developing strength in various muscle groups, such as the quadriceps, hamstrings, hip abductors  
349 and core musculature. Although strengthening exercises remain important, we must be cognizant  
350 that isolated strengthening does not fully address many of the aberrant biomechanical patterns  
351 associated with injury.<sup>5,55,72</sup> Replicating the specificity of a task has been reported to potentially  
352 improve neuromotor planning.<sup>27,28</sup> As single leg landings with a rotary component are a  
353 commonly reported mechanism of a non-contact ACL injury,<sup>46,50,70</sup> it was surprising that there  
354 were so few exercises with these elements simultaneously represented.

355  
356 Only 16.1% (164/1019) of exercises in ACL injury prevention programs incorporated trunk and  
357 hip dissociative control. This was also surprising as excessive or aberrant trunk movement is  
358 present in 34%-83% of ACL injuries.<sup>14</sup> It is postulated that aberrant trunk position alters muscle  
359 performance leading to, stiffer landings,<sup>32</sup> increased knee abduction moments, dynamic valgus,  
360 and ultimately excessive loading of the ACL.<sup>31</sup> In the current review, most trunk and hip  
361 dissociation exercises were limited to catching and throwing or single leg dead lifts. Future  
362 injury prevention programs should consider hip focused progressions training to reduce the  
363 mediolateral landing posture, aligning foot contact with trunk position,<sup>59</sup> whereby allowing

364 athletes to learn to control trunk perturbations, ipsilateral lean, and counter-trunk rotation  
365 movements.<sup>14,17</sup>

366  
367 Optimal injury reduction methods require a task specific approach, whereby exercises are  
368 progressed via specificity and optimal loading principles.<sup>11</sup> This means that injury prevention  
369 programs should eventually expose athletes to non-linear and task-specific challenges that are  
370 representative of the forces and loads that may occur within open-systems, such as an injury  
371 event.<sup>53</sup> A multidimensional exercise approach will utilize principles of dynamic systems and  
372 motor learning principles to engage the athlete in movements that complex, yet safe and  
373 achievable.<sup>11</sup> The exercises should progress the athlete towards movements that will be  
374 encountered during sport, while ensuring a high quality of task performance with a criteria based  
375 approach.<sup>11,18</sup> This review clearly identifies that the current literature lacks many important  
376 exercise progressions and does not fully reflect the elements found within ACL injury  
377 mechanisms and inciting events. The progression from uniplanar to multiplanar movements, and  
378 from bilateral to unilateral stance were underrepresented. The collective integration of all key  
379 exercise elements was rare, and we found just <1% of exercises incorporating flight, single leg  
380 rotary loading, whilst simultaneously challenging the trunk, pelvis, and hip control beyond the  
381 sagittal plane.<sup>13,19,23-25,48,51</sup>

382  
383 Lastly, when reporting and developing exercise-based interventions, the Consensus on Exercise  
384 Reporting Template (CERT) is an available tool.<sup>60</sup> Programs designed to reduce the numbers of  
385 ACL injuries have inherent limitations that have been highlighted by utilizing the CERT scoring  
386 method. Programs to prevent ACL injuries are typically generically implemented to large groups,

387 lacking individualization, without progression decisions being reported. Improved reporting of  
388 programs is critical to move forward in the quality and completeness of ACL injury prevention  
389 programs. A key limitation of the existing injury prevention program literature, however, is that  
390 few papers have published programs that are considered thoroughly reported according to the  
391 CERT scoring guidelines. This contributes to the known implementation challenges of  
392 intervention, individuality, adaptation, and fidelity.<sup>22</sup> Since many of the injury prevention  
393 programs reported here were published prior to the development of the CERT, there should be an  
394 improvement with the reporting of exercise programs moving forward.

395

### 396 **Limitations**

397 The authors of this review acknowledge the multidimensional nature of an ACL injury, and the  
398 complex interactions between both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors as well as  
399 considering other infinite combinations of complex variables such as feedback, dosage, sport,  
400 age, and sex.<sup>26,52</sup> This review only focuses on a specific portion of the exercise prescription and  
401 methods which is based on core elements associated with ACL injury. The current literature is  
402 based primarily on more basic, preliminary exercises, we acknowledge the challenges associated  
403 with implementing task specific exercises. For example, these exercises may require increased  
404 supervision to ensure appropriate performance, potentially making it less desirable for coaches  
405 and clinicians to implement, consequently, affecting fidelity. It is also a consideration that  
406 exercises reflective of injury mechanisms should be added as optimizing adjunctive exercises,  
407 and should not be the sole focus of the program, which will avoid the program becoming so  
408 targeted they fail to provide a large enough “blanket effect” to reach a wide variety of sports.

409

410

411

412 **CONCLUSION**

413 Current injury prevention programs have reported reductions in injury, but the exact mechanism  
414 under which they reduce risk is unclear. Perhaps, optimal risk reduction in this field may require  
415 exercise progressions which culminate in movements that more closely resemble the mechanism  
416 of an ACL injury. This should ultimately include exercises which simultaneously integrate  
417 multiplanar movements, dissociative control between the trunk and hip, during single leg  
418 landings. Whilst it is pragmatic that more functionally task specific exercises would be  
419 associated with greater risk reduction, high quality prospective trials are warranted, prior to  
420 potential adoption and implementation.

421

422

423

## Figure Legend:

424

425 Fig.1 PRISMA-ScR

426

427 Table 1. Exercise Analysis

428

429 Fig. 2a- Weight Bearing

430

431 Fig. 2b- Planes of Movement

432

433 Table 2. CERT Scoring

434

435 Fig. 3- Venn Diagram of Exercise Elements

436

437 Fig. 4 CERT Scoring Summary

438

439

440

## References:

- 441 1. Agel J, Rockwood T, Klossner D. Collegiate ACL Injury Rates Across 15 Sports:  
442 National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System Data Update (2004-  
443 2005 Through 2012-2013). *Clin J Sport Med*. 2016.
- 444 2. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Fifty-five per cent return to competitive  
445 sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: an updated systematic  
446 review and meta-analysis including aspects of physical functioning and contextual  
447 factors. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(21):1543-1552.
- 448 3. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Return-to-sport outcomes at 2 to 7 years  
449 after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery. *Am J Sports Med*. 2012;40(1):41-  
450 48.
- 451 4. Arundale AJH, Bizzini M, Giordano A, et al. Exercise-Based Knee and Anterior Cruciate  
452 Ligament Injury Prevention. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2018;48(9):A1-A42.
- 453 5. Arundale AJH, Silvers-Granelli HJ, Marmon A, et al. Changes in biomechanical knee  
454 injury risk factors across two collegiate soccer seasons using the 11+ prevention program.  
455 *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2018;28(12):2592-2603.
- 456 6. Bahr R. ACL injuries--problem solved? *Br J Sports Med*. 2009;43(5):313-314.
- 457 7. Bekker S. Shuffle methodological deck chairs or abandon theoretical ship? The  
458 complexity turn in injury prevention. *Inj Prev*. 2019;25(2):80-82.
- 459 8. Bekker S, Clark AM. Bringing complexity to sports injury prevention research: from  
460 simplification to explanation. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016;50(24):1489-1490.
- 461 9. Benjaminse A, Otten B, Gokeler A, Diercks RL, Lemmink K. Motor learning strategies  
462 in basketball players and its implications for ACL injury prevention: a randomized  
463 controlled trial. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2017;25(8):2365-2376.
- 464 10. Bittencourt NF, Meeuwisse WH, Mendonca LD, et al. Complex systems approach for  
465 sports injuries: moving from risk factor identification to injury pattern recognition-  
466 narrative review and new concept. *Br J Sports Med*. 2016.
- 467 11. Blanchard S, Glasgow P. A theoretical model for exercise progressions as part of a  
468 complex rehabilitation programme design. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(3):139-140.
- 469 12. Brophy RH, Stepan JG, Silvers HJ, Mandelbaum BR. Defending Puts the Anterior  
470 Cruciate Ligament at Risk During Soccer: A Gender-Based Analysis. *Sports Health*.  
471 2015;7(3):244-249.
- 472 13. Chena M, Rodriguez ML, Bores AJ, Ramos-Campo DJ. Effects of a multifactorial  
473 injuries prevention program in young Spanish football players. *J Sports Med Phys  
474 Fitness*. 2019;59(8):1353-1362.
- 475 14. Della Villa F, Buckthorpe M, Grassi A, et al. Systematic video analysis of ACL injuries  
476 in professional male football (soccer): injury mechanisms, situational patterns and  
477 biomechanics study on 134 consecutive cases. *Br J Sports Med*. 2020.
- 478 15. Dischiavi SL, Wright AA, Hegedus EJ, Bleakley CM. Biotensegrity and myofascial  
479 chains: A global approach to an integrated kinetic chain. *Med Hypotheses*. 2018;110:90-  
480 96.
- 481 16. Dischiavi SL, Wright AA, Hegedus EJ, Bleakley CM. Rethinking Dynamic Knee Valgus  
482 and Its Relation to Knee Injury: Normal Movement Requiring Control, Not Avoidance. *J  
483 Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2019;49(4):216-218.
- 484 17. Dischiavi SL, Wright AA, Hegedus EJ, Ford KR, Bleakley C. Does 'proximal control'  
485 need a new definition or a paradigm shift in exercise prescription? A clinical  
486 commentary. *Br J Sports Med*. 2017.

- 487 18. Dischiavi SL, Wright, A.A., Hegedus, E.J., Thornton, E.P., Bleakley, C.M. Framework  
488 for optimizing ACL rehabilitation utilizing a global systems approach. *International*  
489 *Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*. 2020;15(3):478-485.
- 490 19. DiStefano LJ, Blackburn JT, Marshall SW, et al. Effects of an age-specific anterior  
491 cruciate ligament injury prevention program on lower extremity biomechanics in  
492 children. *Am J Sports Med*. 2011;39(5):949-957.
- 493 20. Donnelly CJ, Elliott BC, Ackland TR, et al. An anterior cruciate ligament injury  
494 prevention framework: incorporating the recent evidence. *Research in sports medicine*  
495 *(Print)*. 2012;20(3-4):239-262.
- 496 21. Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. *Journal of*  
497 *science and medicine in sport*. 2006;9(1-2):3-9; discussion 10.
- 498 22. Finch CF. Implementation and dissemination research: the time has come! *Br J Sports*  
499 *Med*. 2011;45(10):763-764.
- 500 23. Finch CF, Doyle TL, Dempsey AR, et al. What do community football players think  
501 about different exercise-training programmes? Implications for the delivery of lower limb  
502 injury prevention programmes. *Br J Sports Med*. 2014;48(8):702-707.
- 503 24. Foss KDB, Thomas S, Houry JC, Myer GD, Hewett TE. A School-Based  
504 Neuromuscular Training Program and Sport-Related Injury Incidence: A Prospective  
505 Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Athl Train*. 2018;53(1):20-28.
- 506 25. Fox AS, Bonacci J, McLean SG, Saunders N. Exploring individual adaptations to an  
507 anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention programme. *Knee*. 2018;25(1):83-98.
- 508 26. Gokeler A, Benjaminse A, Hewett TE, et al. Feedback techniques to target functional  
509 deficits following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: implications for motor  
510 control and reduction of second injury risk. *Sports Med*. 2013;43(11):1065-1074.
- 511 27. Gokeler A, Neuhaus D, Benjaminse A, Grooms DR, Baumeister J. Principles of Motor  
512 Learning to Support Neuroplasticity After ACL Injury: Implications for Optimizing  
513 Performance and Reducing Risk of Second ACL Injury. *Sports Med*. 2019;49(6):853-  
514 865.
- 515 28. Gokeler A, Seil R, Kerkhoffs G, Verhagen E. A novel approach to enhance ACL injury  
516 prevention programs. *J Exp Orthop*. 2018;5(1):22.
- 517 29. Gokeler A, Verhagen E, Hirschmann MT. Let us rethink research for ACL injuries: a call  
518 for a more complex scientific approach. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*.  
519 2018;26(5):1303-1304.
- 520 30. Grooms DR, Onate JA. Neuroscience Application to Noncontact Anterior Cruciate  
521 Ligament Injury Prevention. *Sports Health*. 2016;8(2):149-152.
- 522 31. Hewett TE, Myer GD. The mechanistic connection between the trunk, hip, knee, and  
523 anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev*. 2011;39(4):161-166.
- 524 32. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, et al. Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control  
525 and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female  
526 athletes: a prospective study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2005;33(4):492-501.
- 527 33. Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR, Paterno MV, Quatman CE. Mechanisms, prediction, and  
528 prevention of ACL injuries: Cut risk with three sharpened and validated tools. *J Orthop*  
529 *Res*. 2016;34(11):1843-1855.
- 530 34. Huang YL, Jung J, Mulligan CMS, Oh J, Norcross MF. A Majority of Anterior Cruciate  
531 Ligament Injuries Can Be Prevented by Injury Prevention Programs: A Systematic

- 532 Review of Randomized Controlled Trials and Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trials  
533 With Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2019;363546519870175.
- 534 35. Johnston JT, Mandelbaum BR, Schub D, et al. Video Analysis of Anterior Cruciate  
535 Ligament Tears in Professional American Football Athletes. *Am J Sports Med.*  
536 2018;46(4):862-868.
- 537 36. Joseph AM, Collins CL, Henke NM, et al. A multisport epidemiologic comparison of  
538 anterior cruciate ligament injuries in high school athletics. *J Athl Train.* 2013;48(6):810-  
539 817.
- 540 37. Kaeding CC, Leger-St-Jean B, Magnussen RA. Epidemiology and Diagnosis of Anterior  
541 Cruciate Ligament Injuries. *Clin Sports Med.* 2017;36(1):1-8.
- 542 38. Kajiwara M, Kanamori A, Kadone H, et al. Knee biomechanics changes under dual task  
543 during single-leg drop landing. *J Exp Orthop.* 2019;6(1):5.
- 544 39. Koga H, Nakamae A, Shima Y, Bahr R, Krosshaug T. Hip and Ankle Kinematics in  
545 Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Situations: Video Analysis Using Model-  
546 Based Image Matching. *Am J Sports Med.* 2018;46(2):333-340.
- 547 40. Koga H, Nakamae A, Shima Y, et al. Mechanisms for noncontact anterior cruciate  
548 ligament injuries: knee joint kinematics in 10 injury situations from female team handball  
549 and basketball. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010;38(11):2218-2225.
- 550 41. Kristianslund E, Faul O, Bahr R, Myklebust G, Krosshaug T. Sidestep cutting technique  
551 and knee abduction loading: implications for ACL prevention exercises. *Br J Sports Med.*  
552 2014;48(9):779-783.
- 553 42. Krosshaug T, Andersen TE, Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Bahr R. Research approaches to  
554 describe the mechanisms of injuries in sport: limitations and possibilities. *Br J Sports*  
555 *Med.* 2005;39(6):330-339.
- 556 43. Krosshaug T, Nakamae A, Boden BP, et al. Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament  
557 injury in basketball: video analysis of 39 cases. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(3):359-367.
- 558 44. McLean SG, Huang X, Su A, Van Den Bogert AJ. Sagittal plane biomechanics cannot  
559 injure the ACL during sidestep cutting. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2004;19(8):828-  
560 838.
- 561 45. McLean SG, Huang X, van den Bogert AJ. Association between lower extremity posture  
562 at contact and peak knee valgus moment during sidestepping: implications for ACL  
563 injury. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2005;20(8):863-870.
- 564 46. Montgomery C, Blackburn J, Withers D, et al. Mechanisms of ACL injury in professional  
565 rugby union: a systematic video analysis of 36 cases. *Br J Sports Med.* 2018;52(15):994-  
566 1001.
- 567 47. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, et al. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for  
568 authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res*  
569 *Methodol.* 2018;18(1):143.
- 570 48. Myer GD, Ford KR, McLean SG, Hewett TE. The effects of plyometric versus dynamic  
571 stabilization and balance training on lower extremity biomechanics. *Am J Sports Med.*  
572 2006;34(3):445-455.
- 573 49. Myklebust G, Bahr R. Return to play guidelines after anterior cruciate ligament surgery.  
574 *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39(3):127-131.
- 575 50. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Injury mechanisms for anterior cruciate  
576 ligament injuries in team handball: a systematic video analysis. *Am J Sports Med.*  
577 2004;32(4):1002-1012.

- 578 51. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Holme I, Bahr R. Exercises to prevent lower  
579 limb injuries in youth sports: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*.  
580 2005;330(7489):449.
- 581 52. Otte FW, Davids K, Millar SK, Klatt S. When and How to Provide Feedback and  
582 Instructions to Athletes?-How Sport Psychology and Pedagogy Insights Can Improve  
583 Coaching Interventions to Enhance Self-Regulation in Training. *Front Psychol*.  
584 2020;11:1444.
- 585 53. Otte FW, Millar SK, Klatt S. Skill Training Periodization in "Specialist" Sports  
586 Coaching-An Introduction of the "PoST" Framework for Skill Development. *Front*  
587 *Sports Act Living*. 2019;1:61.
- 588 54. Padua DA, DiStefano LJ, Hewett TE, et al. National Athletic Trainers' Association  
589 Position Statement: Prevention of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury. *J Athl Train*.  
590 2018;53(1):5-19.
- 591 55. Palmer K, Hebron C, Williams JM. A randomised trial into the effect of an isolated hip  
592 abductor strengthening programme and a functional motor control programme on knee  
593 kinematics and hip muscle strength. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2015;16:105.
- 594 56. Petushek EJ, Sugimoto D, Stoolmiller M, Smith G, Myer GD. Evidence-Based Best-  
595 Practice Guidelines for Preventing Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries in Young Female  
596 Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. 2019;47(7):1744-  
597 1753.
- 598 57. Sheehan FT, Sipprell WH, 3rd, Boden BP. Dynamic sagittal plane trunk control during  
599 anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Am J Sports Med*. 2012;40(5):1068-1074.
- 600 58. Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Cameron KL, et al. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Research Retreat  
601 VIII Summary Statement: An Update on Injury Risk Identification and Prevention Across  
602 the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Continuum, March 14-16, 2019, Greensboro, NC.  
603 *J Athl Train*. 2019;54(9):970-984.
- 604 59. Sigurethsson HB, Karlsson J, Snyder-Mackler L, Briem K. Kinematics observed during  
605 ACL injury are associated with large early peak knee abduction moments during a  
606 change of direction task in healthy adolescents. *J Orthop Res*. 2020.
- 607 60. Slade SC, Dionne CE, Underwood M, Buchbinder R. Consensus on Exercise Reporting  
608 Template (CERT): Explanation and Elaboration Statement. *Br J Sports Med*.  
609 2016;50(23):1428-1437.
- 610 61. Slade SC, Dionne CE, Underwood M, et al. Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template  
611 (CERT): Modified Delphi Study. *Phys Ther*. 2016;96(10):1514-1524.
- 612 62. Sugimoto D, Myer GD, Barber Foss KD, et al. Critical components of neuromuscular  
613 training to reduce ACL injury risk in female athletes: meta-regression analysis. *Br J*  
614 *Sports Med*. 2016;50(20):1259-1266.
- 615 63. Sugimoto D, Myer GD, Foss KD, Hewett TE. Specific exercise effects of preventive  
616 neuromuscular training intervention on anterior cruciate ligament injury risk reduction in  
617 young females: meta-analysis and subgroup analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(5):282-  
618 289.
- 619 64. Sugimoto D, Myer GD, McKeon JM, Hewett TE. Evaluation of the effectiveness of  
620 neuromuscular training to reduce anterior cruciate ligament injury in female athletes: a  
621 critical review of relative risk reduction and numbers-needed-to-treat analyses. *Br J*  
622 *Sports Med*. 2012;46(14):979-988.



- 623 65. Tamura A, Akasaka K, Otsudo T, et al. Dynamic knee valgus alignment influences  
624 impact attenuation in the lower extremity during the deceleration phase of a single-leg  
625 landing. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(6):e0179810.
- 626 66. Taylor JB, Ford KR, Schmitz RJ, et al. A 6-week warm-up injury prevention programme  
627 results in minimal biomechanical changes during jump landings: a randomized controlled  
628 trial. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2018;26(10):2942-2951.
- 629 67. Taylor JB, Waxman JP, Richter SJ, Shultz SJ. Evaluation of the effectiveness of anterior  
630 cruciate ligament injury prevention programme training components: a systematic review  
631 and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(2):79-87.
- 632 68. Taylor JB, Wright AA, Dischiavi SL, Townsend MA, Marmon AR. Activity Demands  
633 During Multi-Directional Team Sports: A Systematic Review. *Sports Med*.  
634 2017;47(12):2533-2551.
- 635 69. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-  
636 ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;169(7):467-473.
- 637 70. Walden M, Krosshaug T, Bjerneboe J, et al. Three distinct mechanisms predominate in  
638 non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in male professional football players: a  
639 systematic video analysis of 39 cases. *Br J Sports Med*. 2015;49(22):1452-1460.
- 640 71. Webster KE, Hewett TE. Meta-analysis of meta-analyses of anterior cruciate ligament  
641 injury reduction training programs. *Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication*  
642 *of the Orthopaedic Research Society*. 2018;36(10):2696-2708.
- 643 72. Willy RW, Davis IS. The effects of a hip strengthening program on running and squatting  
644 kinematics in females at risk for patellofemoral pain syndrome...2010 Combined Sections  
645 Meeting (CSM), San Diego, California, February 17-20, 2010. *Journal of Orthopaedic &*  
646 *Sports Physical Therapy*. 2010;40(1):A50-A50.
- 647 73. Zazulak BT, Hewett TE, Reeves NP, Goldberg B, Cholewicki J. Deficits in  
648 neuromuscular control of the trunk predict knee injury risk: a prospective biomechanical-  
649 epidemiologic study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2007;35(7):1123-1130.
- 650 74. Zazulak BT, Hewett TE, Reeves NP, Goldberg B, Cholewicki J. The effects of core  
651 proprioception on knee injury: a prospective biomechanical-epidemiological study. *Am J*  
652 *Sports Med*. 2007;35(3):368-373.
- 653 75. Zbrojkiewicz D, Vertullo C, Grayson JE. Increasing rates of anterior cruciate ligament  
654 reconstruction in young Australians, 2000-2015. *The Medical journal of Australia*.  
655 2018;208(8):354-358.  
656