

Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Muirhead Mean Operators and Their Applications to Multiattribute Decision Making

Yi Liu

Data Recovery Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Neijiang Normal University, Neijiang 641000, Sichuan, P.R. China

School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Neijiang Normal University, Neijiang 641000, Sichuan, P.R. China

School of Computing and Mathematics, Ulster University, Jordanstown Campus, Northern Ireland, UK

Jun Liu

School of Computing and Mathematics, Ulster University, Jordanstown Campus, Northern Ireland, UK

Ya Qin

School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Neijiang Normal University, Neijiang 641000, Sichuan, P.R. China

Abstract

Pythagorean fuzzy set (PFS), as an extension of intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) to deal with uncertainty, has attracted much attention since its introduction, in both theory and application aspects. In this paper, we investigate the multiple attribute decision making (MADM) problems with the Pythagorean linguistic information based on some new aggregation operators. To begin with, we present some new Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead mean operators to deal with MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information, including the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead Mean (PFLMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLWMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual Muirhead Mean (PFLDMM) operator and the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLDWMM) operator, the main advantages of these aggregation operators are that they can capture interrelationships of multiple attributes among any number of attributes by a parameter vector P and make information aggregation process more flexible by the parameter vector P . In addition, the some properties of these new aggregation operators are proved and some special cases are discussed where the parameter vector takes some different values. Moreover, we present two new methods to solve the MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information. Finally, an illustrative example is provided to show the feasibility and validity of the new methods, investigate the influences of parameter vector P on the decision making results and also analyze the advantages of proposed methods by comparing with the other existing methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multiple attribute decision making (MADM), as an effective framework for comparison, has always been used to find the most desirable one from a finite set of alternatives on the predefined attributes. An important problem of decision process is to express the attribute value. However, due to the intrinsic complexity of natural objects, there exists much uncertain information in many real-world problems. So, it is difficult for experts or decision makers (DMs) to give their assessments on attributes by crisp numbers. Intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) [1], is an effective tool to express the complex fuzzy information due to it is characterized by three parameters, namely, a membership degree, a nonmembership degree and an indeterminacy degree. That is, an IFS A in a finite universe of discourse X has such a structure $A = \{\langle x, (\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in X\}$, where μ_A represents the membership degree and ν_A is the nonmembership degree with the condition that $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$. Since IFS's appearance, it becomes a powerful tool to deal with some information with imprecision, uncertainty and vagueness. However, Yager [43, 44] pointed out that there exists such a kind of useful extension of IFS $A = \{\langle x, (\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in X\}$ which satisfies the condition $0 \leq \mu_A^2(x) + \nu_A^2(x) \leq 1$. Such a useful extension of IFSs is called Pythagorean fuzzy set (PFS). The main difference between the IFSs and PFSs focuses on the membership degrees and the non-membership degrees of them. Therefore, it follows from the above analysis of IFSs and PFSs that PFS has more powerful ability than IFS to deal with uncertain information in MADM problems. Since PFS was proposed, a lot of research achievements about theory and methods have been made, and it has three aspects: (A) the basic theory, such as the operational laws [30, 31], comparison method [28], distance [13, 21], similarity degree [49], correlation measure [5], information measure [33], and other properties [9]; (B) the extended traditional MADM or MAGDM methods for PFS, such as Stochastic MCDM method [27], MABAC method [29], TODIM method [37, 40], Mathematical programming method [38], QUALIFLEX [48], TOPSIS method [50] and so on; (C) the MADM or MAGDM methods [4, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 23, 36, 41, 45] based on Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators.

In the field of information fusion, information aggregation is an important research topic as it is a critical process of gathering relevant information from multiple sources. However, aggregation operator as a tool to aggregate relevant information has been focused and also used in many decision making problems. The main advantage of decision methods based on aggregation operator is that these methods can not only give the ranking information but also provide the comprehensive values of the alternatives. Due to the increasing complexity of the real worlds, numerical numbers may not always be adequate to solve the uncertain and fuzzy information in practical decision making problems, especially for qualitative aspects, while it is easy to provide the assessment values taking the form of linguistic variables. Therefore, some linguistic decision making methods are developed [10, 11, 24, 25, 42]. Based on the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set, Wang [39] proposed the intuitionistic linguistic set (ILS), which uses an intuition-

Email addresses: liuyiy1@126.com, y.liu2@ulster.ac.uk (Yi Liu), j.liu@ulster.ac.uk (Jun Liu), qinyaqy@126.com (Ya Qin)

istic fuzzy number (IFN) to describe the membership and non-membership degree of a linguistic variable simultaneously. Zhang [46] proposed MAGDM method based on linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Many corresponding decision making methods
45 were proposed based on some intuitionistic fuzzy linguistic aggregation operator, such as, Chen [3] proposed a MADM methods based on linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, Jun [12] proposed MADM method based on intuitionistic linguistic Maclaurin symmetric mean aggregation (ILMSM) operators, Liu [16, 17, 19, 20] proposed intuitionistic linguistic geometric aggregation (ILGA) operators, intuitionistic linguistic
50 weighted Bonferroni mean (ILWBM) operator, improved intuitionistic linguistic fuzzy aggregation operator and applied to MADM or MAGDM problems, Zhang [47] proposed extended outranking approach under linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy environment. It is obvious that the ILS is an efficient approximate technique to deal with the uncertain and fuzzy information by integrating the advantages of IFS and linguistic variables.
55 Motivated by the idea of linguistic variables and PFSs, Peng [32] proposed Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic term (PFLT) and applied to MADM problems. Some novel linguistic decision making methods based on Pythagorean fuzzy set have been developed, such as, Liu [18] proposed MCDM decision making based on Pythagorean fuzzy uncertain linguistic aggregation operators, Du [4] proposed novel MADM method with interval-
60 value Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information. In addition, some decision methods based on 2-tuple linguistic [2, 35] and 2-dimension linguistic aggregation operator [22] are also developed.

Muirhead mean (MM) [26] is a well-known aggregation operator for it can consider the interrelationships among any number of aggregation arguments and it also a uni-
65 versal operator since it contain other general operators by assessing different parameter vectors. when the parameter vector is assess different values, MM reduced to some existing operators, such as arithmetic and geometric operators which do not consider the interrelationships of aggregation arguments, Maclaurin symmetric mean [34, 41], are the special cases of MM operator. So, some extended MM operators [18, 35] have been
70 developed and applied to solve the MAGDM problems. Because PFNs have stronger abilities than IFSs in describing the information, linguistic variables are more suitable to describe practical problems that are ill-defined by using quantitative information and the MM can capture interrelationships among multi-input arguments assigned by a variable vector. Therefore, it is necessary and significant to develop some new linguistic
75 aggregation operators based on MM that not only accommodate Pythagorean linguistic information but also can capture the interrelationships among multi-input arguments.

The goal of this paper is to develop some methods for MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information based on some new Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic
80 MM (PFLMM) operators by combining MM and Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information. To begin with, some new Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead mean operators to deal with MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information, included the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead Mean (PFLMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLWMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual Muirhead Mean (PFLDMM) operator, the
85 Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLDWMM) operator, are presented. In addition, some properties of these new aggregation operators are proved and some special cases are discussed. Finally, two new methods are presented

to solve an MADM problem with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information. To do so, the rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some definitions on PFSs, PFLNs and Muirhead mean, which are used in the analysis throughout this paper. Section 3 is devoted to the main results concerning PFLMM operator and PFLWMM operator along with their properties. Section 4 is focused on PFLDMM operator and PLDWMM operator along with their properties. In Section 5, we construct MADM approaches based on PFLWMM operator and PFLDWMM operator proposed in Section 3 and Section 4. Consequently, a practical example is provided in Section 6 to verify the validity of the proposed methods and to show their advantages. In Section 7, we give some conclusions of this study.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some basic concepts related to PFS, Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic set and Muirhead mean are recapped, which are the basis of this work.

2.1. Pythagorean fuzzy set

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite universe of discourse, an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) [1] A in X characterized by a membership function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and a non-membership function $\nu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which satisfy the condition $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$. An IFS A can be expressed as

$$A = \{\langle x, (\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) \rangle | x \in X\}.$$

$\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$ is called the degree of indeterminacy. For convenience, called $(\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x))$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy number (IFN) and denoted by (μ_A, ν_A) .

However, there are some decision-making problems in which the DMs or the experts' attitudes are possibly not suitable to be described by applying an IFS. Under such situations, Pythagorean fuzzy set (PFS), introduced by Yager[44], which is a novel concept to deal with this situation and also an extension of IFS:

In a finite universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, a PFS P with the structure

$$P = \{\langle x, (\mu_P(x), \nu_P(x)) \rangle | x \in X\}.$$

where $\mu_P : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denotes the membership degree and $\nu_P : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denotes the nonmembership degree of the element $x \in X$ to the set P , respectively, with the condition that $0 \leq (\mu_P(x))^2 + (\nu_P(x))^2 \leq 1$. $\pi_P(x) = \sqrt{1 - (\mu_P(x))^2 - (\nu_P(x))^2}$ is called the degree of indeterminacy. For the convenience, Zhang and Xu[50] called $p = (\mu_P(x), \nu_P(x))$ a Pythagorean fuzzy number (PFN) denoted by $p = (\mu_p, \nu_p)$.

2.2. The Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Set

Let $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_g\}$ be a finite linguistic term set with odd cardinality, where s_i represents a possible value for linguistic term, $g + 1$ is the cardinality of S . For example, $S = \{s_0 = \text{extremely poor}, s_1 = \text{very poor}, s_2 = \text{poor}, s_3 = \text{fair}, s_4 = \text{good}, s_5 = \text{very good}, s_6 = \text{extremely good}\}$. Obviously, the mid linguistic term represents an assessment of "indifference", and the rest of other linguistic labels are placed by symmetrically around it.

Let s_i and s_j be any two linguistic numbers in linguistic set S , they must satisfy the following properties[10, 11]:

- 125 (1) If $i > j$, then $s_i > s_j$;
 (2) There exists negative operator: $Neg(s_i) = s_j$, such that $j = gCi$;
 (3) If $s_i > s_j$, $max(s_i, s_j) = s_i$ and $min(s_i, s_j) = s_j$.

To preserve all the given information, the discrete linguistic term set S can be extended to a continuous linguistic term set $\bar{S} = \{s_\alpha | \alpha \in [0, g]\}$. If $s_\alpha \in S$, then we call s_α the original linguistic term; $s_\alpha \notin S$, we call s_α the virtual linguistic term. In general, 130 the decision makers use the original linguistic terms to evaluate alternatives, and the virtual linguistic terms can only appear in calculation[42].

Now, we recall some definitions of Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic term set.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite nonempty universe of discourse and \bar{S} be a continuous linguistic term set of $s = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_g\}$, a Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic set (PFLS) P on X with the structure

$$P = \{\langle x, s_{\theta(x)}, (\mu_P(x), \nu_P(x)) \rangle | x \in X\}.$$

where $s_{\theta(x)} \in \bar{S}$, $\mu_P : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ denotes the membership degree and $\nu_P : X \rightarrow$ 135 $[0, 1]$ denotes the nonmembership degree of the element $x \in X$ to the linguistic term $s_{\theta(x)}$, respectively, with the condition that $0 \leq (\mu_P(x))^2 + (\nu_P(x))^2 \leq 1$. $\pi_P(x) = \sqrt{1 - (\mu_P(x))^2 - (\nu_P(x))^2}$ is called the degree of indeterminacy to linguistic term $s_{\theta(x)}$. For the convenience, we note a Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic number (PFLN) as $a = \langle s_{\theta(a)}, (\mu(a), \nu(a)) \rangle$.

140 Obviously, if $0 \leq \mu_P(x) + (\nu_P(x)) \leq 1$, a PFLN is reduced to an intuitionistic fuzzy linguistic number (IFLN).

Let $a_1 = \langle s_{\theta_1}, (\mu_1, \nu_1) \rangle$ and $a_2 = \langle s_{\theta_2}, (\mu_2, \nu_2) \rangle$ be any two PFLNs and $\lambda \geq 0$, the

$$(1) a_1 \oplus a_2 = \langle s_{\theta_1 + \theta_2}, (\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 - \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2}, \nu_1 \nu_2);$$

$$(2) a_1 \otimes a_2 = \langle s_{\theta_1 \times \theta_2}, (\mu_1 \mu_2, \sqrt{\nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2 - \nu_1^2 \nu_2^2});$$

$$(3) \lambda a_1 = \langle s_{\lambda \times \theta_1}, (\sqrt{1 - (1 - \mu_1^2)^\lambda}, \nu_1^\lambda);$$

$$(4) a_1^\lambda = \langle s_{\theta_1^\lambda}, (\mu_1^\lambda, \sqrt{1 - (1 - \nu_1^2)^\lambda}).$$

Let $a = \langle s_{\theta(a)}, (\mu(a), \nu(a)) \rangle$ be a PFLN, the score of a can be evaluated by a new score function $S(a)$, which is shown as

$$S(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(a)^2 + 1 - \nu(a)^2) \times s_{\theta(a)}. \quad (1)$$

145 The larger the score value of $S(a)$, the greater the PFLN a .

Let $a = \langle s_{\theta(a)}, (\mu(a), \nu(a)) \rangle$ be a PFLN, the degree of accuracy of a can be evaluated by a new accuracy function $H(a)$, which is shown as

$$H(a) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu(a)^2 + \nu(a)^2) \times s_{\theta(a)}. \quad (2)$$

The larger the degree of accuracy of $S(a)$, the greater the PFLN a .

Based on the score function S and accuracy function H , the comparison rules between two PFLNs are given as follows:

- Let $a_1 = \langle s_{\theta_1}, (\mu_1, \nu_1) \rangle$ and $a_2 = \langle s_{\theta_2}, (\mu_2, \nu_2) \rangle$ be any two PFLNs, then
- (1) If $S(a_1) \leq S(a_2)$, then $a_1 < a_2$;
 - (2) If $S(a_1) = S(a_2)$, then
 - (2.1) If $H(a_1) \leq H(a_2)$, then $a_1 < a_2$;
 - (2.2) If $H(a_1) = H(a_2)$, then $a_1 = a_2$.

2.3. Muirhead Mean Operator

The Muirhead mean (MM) operator [26] is a general aggregation function and firstly proposed by Muirhead in 1902, it is defined as follows:

DEFINITION 1. Let $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of nonnegative real numbers, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector, if

$$MM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \right), \quad (3)$$

The we call MM^P the Muirhead mean (MM), where $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and S_n is the collection of all permutation of $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$. There are some special cases when the parameter vector assessed different values.

- (1) If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, MM operator will reduces to arithmetic averaging operator

$$MM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j. \quad (4)$$

- (2) If $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Maclaurin symmetric mean (MSM) operator

$$PFLMM^{(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k a_{i_j}}{C_n^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}; \quad (5)$$

- (3) If $P = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, MM operator will reduces to geometric averaging operator

$$MM^{(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left[\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]. \quad (6)$$

From the above discussion we can see that the advantage of the MM operator is that it can capture the interrelationships among the multiple aggregated arguments and it is a generalization of most existing aggregation operators.

3. PYTHAGOREAN FUZZY LINGUISTIC WEIGHTED MUIRHEAD MEAN OPERATORS

Because the traditional MM can only process the crisp number, and PFLNs can easily express the fuzzy information, it is necessary and significant to extend MM to

process PFLNs. In this section, we propose the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead mean (PFLMM) operator and the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Muirhead mean (PFLWMM) operator, and discuss the properties of these operators. 180

3.1. Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Muirhead Mean Operators

DEFINITION 2. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PFLNs, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then a Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead mean operator is a function PFLMM^P: $A^n \rightarrow A$, 185 and

$$PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n!} (\oplus_{\theta \in S_n} (\otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and S_n is the collection of all permutation of $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 1. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PFLNs and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) is still a PFLN and 190

$$\begin{aligned} PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \left\langle s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left(\sqrt[1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sqrt[1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \right)} \right\rangle. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove Eq. (8). According to the operational law of PFLNs, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{\theta(j)})^{p_j} &= \langle s_{\theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}}, (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}, \sqrt{1 - (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}}) \rangle, \text{ and} \\ \otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} &= \left\langle s_{\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}}, \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}, \sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}} \right) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_{\theta \in S_n} \otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} &= \left\langle s_{\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left(\sqrt[1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}}, \prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sqrt[1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}] \right) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n!} \oplus_{\theta \in S_n} \otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} &= \left\langle s_{\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\theta \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left(\sqrt[1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}}, \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sqrt[1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}] \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

195 Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \oplus_{\theta \in S_n} \otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)^{p_j}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} &= \langle s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\theta \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \\ & \left(\sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \right. \\ & \left. \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we need to prove $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is also a PFLN.

Since $\mu_{\theta(j)} \in [0, 1]$, we have $\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \in [0, 1]$ and $(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2 \in [0, 1]$. And then

$$1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{a_{\theta(j)}}^{p_j}\right)^2 \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \in [0, 1]$$

And so,

$$\sqrt{\left(1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \in [0, 1]$$

Similarly,

$$\sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \in [0, 1]$$

200 Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^2\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}, \\ \nu &= \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}; \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\mu, \nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now we need to prove $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \in [0, 1]$.

Since $\mu_{\theta(j)}^2 + \nu_{\theta(j)}^2 \leq 1$, then $\mu_{\theta(j)}^2 \leq 1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^2 + \nu^2 &= (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
&\quad + 1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
&\leq (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j})))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
&\quad + 1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \in [0, 1]$. Obviously, $s_{(\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})))^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \in S$. Hence, $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$

205 is also a PFLN.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $a_1 = \langle s_2, (0.5, 0.3) \rangle$, $a_2 = \langle s_4, (0.7, 0.5) \rangle$, $a_3 = \langle s_3, (0.8, 0.2) \rangle$ and $P = (1, 0.5, 0.4)$. Let

$$s_{(\frac{1}{3!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_3} (\prod_{j=1}^3 \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})))^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} = s_b$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b &= (\frac{1}{6} \times (2 \times 4^{0.5} \times 3^{0.4} + 2 \times 3^{0.5} \times 4^{0.4} + 4 \times 2^{0.5} \times 3^{0.4} \\
&\quad + 4 \times 3^{0.5} \times 2^{0.4} + 3 \times 4^{0.5} \times 2^{0.4} + 3 \times 2^{0.5} \times 4^{0.4}))^{\frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4}} \\
&= 2.9033.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$s_{(\frac{1}{3!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_3} (\prod_{j=1}^3 \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})))^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} = s_{2.9033}.$$

210 Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sqrt[3]{1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_3} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^3 \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{3!}}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}} = ((1 - ((1 - (0.5 \times 0.7^{0.5} \times 0.8^{0.4})^2) \\
&\quad \times (1 - (0.5 \times 0.8^{0.5} \times 0.7^{0.4})^2) \times (1 - (0.7 \times 0.5^{0.5} \times 0.8^{0.4})^2) \\
&\quad \times (1 - (0.7 \times 0.8^{0.5} \times 0.5^{0.4})^2) \times (1 - (0.8 \times 0.5^{0.5} \times 0.7^{0.4})^2) \\
&\quad \times (1 - (0.8 \times 0.7^{0.5} \times 0.5^{0.4})^2))^{\frac{1}{6}})^{\frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4}} = 0.6592
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_3} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^3 (1 - v_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{3!}}))^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} = (1 - (1 - ((1 - (1 - 0.3^2) \\ & \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.3^2) \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.4}) \\ & \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^2) \times (1 - 0.3^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^2) \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.5} \\ & \times (1 - 0.3^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.2^2) \times (1 - 0.3^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.2^2) \\ & \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.3^2)^{0.4}))^{\frac{1}{3!}})^{\frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.3581. \end{aligned}$$

So, $PFLMM^P(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \langle s_{2.9033}, (0.6592, 0.3581) \rangle$.

In the process of decision making, the aggregation results would be more reliable if the selected operator is monotonic, the lack of monotonicity may debase the reliability and dependability of the final decision-making results. However, we can prove $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are idempotent, bounded, and monotonic.

PROPERTY 1 (IDEMPOTENCY). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\vartheta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector and all $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are equal, i.e., $a_i = a = \langle s_{\vartheta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, then

$$PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \langle s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}))\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \\ & \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}, \right. \\ & \left. \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

and $\vartheta_i = \vartheta, \mu_i = \mu, \nu_i = \nu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} &= \left(\frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta^{p_j} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} = \left(\frac{1}{n!} \left(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \vartheta^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n!} \cdot n! \cdot \vartheta^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} = \vartheta. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \right) = \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu^{p_j} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \right) \\
& = \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \mu^{2 \sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
& = \left(\sqrt{1 - \left((1 - \mu^{2 \sum_{j=1}^n p_j}) n! \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
& = \left(\sqrt{1 - (1 - \mu^{2 \sum_{j=1}^n p_j})} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\
& = \left(\mu^{2 \sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} = \mu. \\
\\
& \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} = \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v^2)^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \\
& = \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - (1 - v^2)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \\
& = \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left((1 - (1 - v^2)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}) n! \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \\
& = \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - (1 - (1 - v^2)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \\
& = \sqrt{1 - ((1 - v^2)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})} \\
& = \sqrt{1 - (1 - v^2)} = v.
\end{aligned}$$

therefore $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle = a$.

PROPERTY 2 (MONOTONICITY). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $a'_i = \langle s_{\theta'_i}, (\mu'_i, \nu'_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be two collections of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. If $s_{\theta_i} \leq s_{\theta'_i}, \mu_i \leq \mu'_i$ and $\nu_i \geq \nu'_i$, then

$$PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

Proof. Let $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle, PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) = \langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle$. Since $s_{\theta} = s$ $\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}$ and $s_{\theta_j} \leq s_{\theta'_j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{\theta_j} \leq s_{\theta'_j} & \Rightarrow s_{\theta_j^{p_j}} \leq s_{\theta'_j^{p_j}} \Rightarrow s_{\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta_j^{p_j})} \leq s_{\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta'_j^{p_j})} \\
& \Rightarrow s_{\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta_j^{p_j}))} \leq s_{\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta'_j^{p_j}))} \\
& \Rightarrow s_{\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta_j^{p_j})))} \leq s_{\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta'_j^{p_j})))} \\
& \Rightarrow s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta_j^{p_j}))) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \leq s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{j=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^n (\theta'_j^{p_j}))) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $s_{\theta} \leq s_{\theta'}$.

230 Since $\mu_i \leq \mu'_i$, then we have $\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \leq (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j}$ and $(\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}))^2 \leq (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})^2$.
 Furthermore, $1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})^2 \leq 1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2)$ and $\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})^2) \leq$
 $\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))$, and so $(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}} \leq (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}})$.
 So we have

$$\left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \leq \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (\mu'_{\theta(j)})^{p_j} \right)^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}},$$

that is, $\mu \leq \mu'$. Similarly, we also get $\nu \geq \nu'$.

235 In order to prove the $\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle \leq \langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle$. There are the following cases need to be discussed.

(A.) If $\mu < \mu'$ and $\nu \geq \nu'$, then $\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2 < (\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2$. Furthermore, $\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2) \times s_{\theta} < \frac{1}{2}((\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2) \times s_{\theta'}$. That is, $S(\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle) \leq S(\langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle)$.
 That is, $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$.

240 (B.) If $\mu = \mu'$ and $\nu > \nu'$, then $\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2 < (\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2$. Furthermore, $\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2) \times s_{\theta} < \frac{1}{2}((\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2) \times s_{\theta'}$. That is, $S(\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle) \leq S(\langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle)$.
 That is, $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$.

(C.) If $\mu = \mu'$ and $\nu = \nu'$, then $\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2 = (\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2$. Furthermore, $\frac{\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2}{2} = \frac{(\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2}{2}$.

245 (C1.) If $s_{\theta} < s_{\theta'}$, then $\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2) \times s_{\theta} < \frac{1}{2}((\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2) \times s_{\theta'}$. That is, $S(\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle) \leq S(\langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle)$. That is,

$$PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

(C2.) If $s_{\theta} = s_{\theta'}$, then $\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + 1 - \nu^2) \times s_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}((\mu')^2 + 1 - (\nu')^2) \times s_{\theta'}$. That is, $S(\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle) = S(\langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle)$. Furthermore, $H(\langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + \nu^2) \times s_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}((\mu')^2 + (\nu')^2) \times s_{\theta'} = H(\langle s_{\theta'}, (\mu', \nu') \rangle)$, therefore That is,

$$PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

250 From above discussion, we have $PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n)$.

From the idempotency and monotonicity of PFLMM operator, it is easy to obtain that PFLMM operator is bounded, that is,

PROPERTY 3 (BOUNDEDNESS). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector,

$$a^- = \langle \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\} \rangle,$$

$$a^+ = \langle \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\} \rangle,$$

255 then

$$a^- \leq PFLMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \leq a^+.$$

Now, we will develop some special cases of PFLMM operator with respect to the parameter vector. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector.

260 (1) If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic arithmetic averaging (PFLAA) operator

$$PFLMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j}{n}}, (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_j^2)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \prod_{j=1}^n v_j^{\frac{1}{n}}) \rangle. \quad (9)$$

(2) If $P = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$, PFLMM operator will reduces to generalized Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic arithmetic averaging (GPFLAA) operator

$$PFLMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^\lambda}{n})^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_j^{2\lambda})^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \sqrt{1 - (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (1 - v_j^{2\lambda})^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})}) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

(3) If $P = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k})$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Maclaurin symmetric mean (PFLMSM) operator

$$PFLMM^{(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{(\frac{1}{c_n^k} (\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (\prod_{j=1}^k \theta_{i_j}))^{\frac{1}{k}})}, (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_{i_j}^2)^{\frac{1}{c_n^k}}}, \sqrt{1 - (1 - (\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - v_{i_j}^2)^{\frac{1}{c_n^k}}))^{\frac{1}{k}})}) \rangle. \quad (11)$$

265 (4) If $P = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic geometric averaging (PFLGA) operator

$$PFLMM^{(1,1,\dots,1)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_j^{\frac{1}{n}}}, ((\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \sqrt{1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_j^2))^{\frac{1}{n}}}) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

(5) If $P = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic geometric averaging (PFLGA) operator

$$PFLMM^{(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_j^{\frac{1}{n}}}, ((\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \sqrt{1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_j^2))^{\frac{1}{n}}}) \rangle. \quad (13)$$

3.2. Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Weighted Muirhead Mean Operators

270 Weights of attributes play a vital role in decision making and will directly the results of decision making results. In the Section 3.1, we proposed the PFLMM aggregation

operators which can not consider the weights of attributes, so it is very important to consider to weights of attributes in the process of information aggregation.

DEFINITION 3. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then a Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Muirhead mean operator is a function $PFLWMM^P: A^n \rightarrow A$, and

$$PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n!} (\oplus_{\theta \in S_n} (\otimes_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} a_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}, \quad (14)$$

where $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and S_n is the collection of all permutation of $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then $PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is still a PFLN and

$$\begin{aligned} PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = & \left\langle s_{\left(\frac{1}{n!} (\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \vartheta_{\theta(j)})^{p_j})) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \right. \\ & \left(\sqrt[1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)} p_j}) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}{\right)} \right. \\ & \left. \sqrt[1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (\nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)} p_j}) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \right)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Proof. Since $a_{\theta(j)}$ is a PFLN, we have $w_{\theta(j)} a_{\theta(j)}$ is also a PFLN. By the operation of PFLNs, we have $w_{\theta(j)} a_{\theta(j)} = \left(\sqrt[1 - (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}}], \nu_{\theta(j)}^{w_{\theta(j)}} \right)$. Therefore, we can directly obtain the result according to Theorem 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $a_1 = \langle s_2, (0.3, 0.5) \rangle$, $a_2 = \langle s_4, (0.2, 0.4) \rangle$, $a_3 = \langle s_3, (0.6, 0.2) \rangle$, $w = (0.25, 0.4, 0.35)$ and $P = (1, 1, 0)$. According to Eq. (6), since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{3!} \left(\sum_{\theta \in S_3} \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 (w_{\theta(j)} \vartheta_{\theta(j)}) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}} = \left(\frac{1}{6} \times ((2 \times 0.25) \times (4 \times 0.4) + (2 \times 0.25) \right. \right. \\ & \times (3 \times 0.35) + (4 \times 0.4) \times (2 \times 0.25) + (4 \times 0.3) \times (3 \times 0.35) + (3 \times 0.35) \\ & \left. \left. \times (4 \times 0.4) + (3 \times 0.35) \times (2 \times 0.25) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{1+1+0}} = 1.0008. \end{aligned}$$

290 and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sqrt[1+1]{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \left(1 - (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} \right) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+1}} = ((1 - ((1 - ((1 - 0.3^2)^{0.25} \\
& \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4})) \times (1 - ((1 - 0.3^2)^{0.25} \times (1 - 0.6^2)^{0.35})) \times (1 - ((1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4} \\
& \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.25})) \times (1 - ((1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4} \times (1 - 0.6^2)^{0.35})) \times (1 - ((1 - 0.6^2)^{0.35} \\
& \times (1 - 0.3^2)^{0.25})) \times (1 - ((1 - 0.6^2)^{0.35} \times (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.4})))^{\frac{1}{6}})^{\frac{1}{1+1+0}} = 0.1973; \\
& \sqrt[1+1+0]{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \left(1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2 \right)^{p_j} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} = (1 - (1 - ((1 - (1 - 0.5^{0.5}) \\
& \times (1 - 0.4^{0.8})) \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^{0.5}) \times (1 - 0.2^{0.7})) \times (1 - (1 - 0.4^{0.8}) \times (1 - 0.5^{0.5})) \\
& \times (1 - (1 - 0.4^{0.8}) \times (1 - 0.2^{0.7})) \times (1 - (1 - 0.2^{0.7}) \times (1 - 0.5^{0.5}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.2^{0.7}) \\
& \times (1 - 0.4^{0.8})))^{\frac{1}{3!}})^{\frac{1}{1+1+0}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.7151.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $PFLWMM^P(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \langle s_{1.0008}, (0.1973, 0.7151) \rangle$.

In the process of decision making, the aggregation results would be more reliable if the selected operator is monotonic, the lack of monotonicity may debase the reliability and dependability of the final decision-making results. Similar to Property 2 and Property 3, we can prove $PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are bounded, and monotonic.

PROPERTY 4 (MONOTONICITY). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $a'_i = \langle s_{\theta'_i}, (\mu'_i, \nu'_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be two collections of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. If $s_{\theta_i} \leq s_{\theta'_i}, \mu_i \leq \mu'_i$ and $\nu_i \geq \nu'_i$, then

$$PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLWMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

PROPERTY 5 (BOUNDEDNESS). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a^- &= \langle \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, (\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\}) \rangle, \\
a^+ &= \langle \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\}) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$a^- \leq PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLWMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \leq a^+.$$

Now, we will develop some special cases of PFLWMM operator with respect to the parameter vector. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector.

(1) If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, PFLWMM operator will reduces to

$$PFLWMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{n} \theta_j}, \left(\sqrt[1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_j^2)^{\frac{w_j}{n}}]{1}, \prod_{j=1}^n \nu_j^{\frac{w_j}{n}} \right) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

(2) If $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})$, PFLWMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean
 310 fuzzy linguistic weighted Maclaurin symmetric mean (PFLWMSM) operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 PFLWMM(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \langle s_{\left(\frac{1}{c_n^k}(\sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (\prod_{j=1}^k (w_j \theta_{i_j})))\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \\
 &\sqrt{\left(1 - \left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \mu_{i_j}^2)^{w_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{c_n^k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \\
 &\sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \nu_{i_j}^{2w_j})\right)^{\frac{1}{c_n^k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}}\right). \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

4. PYTHAGOREAN FUZZY LINGUISTIC DUAL WEIGHTED MUIRHEAD MEAN OPERATORS

It is well-known that geometric average operator is the dual operator of arithmetic
 average operator. Similarly, we study the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual weighted
 315 Muirhead mean operators in this section.

4.1. Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Dual Muirhead Mean Operators

DEFINITION 4. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs,
 $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then a
 Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Dual Muirhead mean operator is a function $PFLDMM^P$:
 320 $A^n \rightarrow A$, and

$$PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\otimes_{\theta \in S_n} (\oplus_{j=1}^n p_j a_{\theta(j)}))^{\frac{1}{n}}, \quad (18)$$

where $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and S_n is the collection
 of all permutation of $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 3. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs and
 $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then $PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is still
 325 a PFLN and

$$\begin{aligned}
 PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \langle s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_{\theta(j)}))^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \\
 &\sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \\
 &\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}\rangle. \quad (19)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Firstly, we prove Eq. (19). According to the operational law of PFLNs, we

obtain

$$p_j a_{\theta(j)} = \langle s_{p_j \vartheta_{\theta(j)}}, (\sqrt{1 - (1 - v_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}}, v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}) \rangle, \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j a_{\theta(j)} = \langle s_{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \vartheta_{\theta(j)}}, (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - v_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}}, \prod_{j=1}^n v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j}) \rangle,$$

then we get

$$\otimes_{\theta \in S_n} \oplus_{j=1}^n p_j a_{\theta(j)} = \langle s_{\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \vartheta_{\theta(j)}}, (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}}, \sqrt{1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2)}) \rangle,$$

and

$$(\otimes_{\theta \in S_n} \oplus_{j=1}^n p_j a_{\theta(j)})^{\frac{1}{n!}} = \langle s_{(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \vartheta_{\theta(j)})^{\frac{1}{n!}}}, ((\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}})^{\frac{1}{n!}}, \sqrt{1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}}}) \rangle.$$

330 Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\oplus_{\theta \in S_n} \otimes_{j=1}^n a_{\theta(j)^{p_j}})^{\frac{1}{n!}} = \langle s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\sum_{\theta \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^{\frac{1}{n!}}}, (\sqrt{1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, (\sqrt{1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}) \rangle.$$

In addition, we need to prove $PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is also a PFLN.

Since $\mu_{\theta(j)} \in [0, 1]$, we have $(1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j} \in [0, 1]$ and $\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j} \in [0, 1]$. And then

$$1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}) \in [0, 1] \text{ and } (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}} \in [0, 1].$$

And so,

$$\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}} \in [0, 1].$$

335 Further,

$$1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}} \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$(1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \in [0, 1].$$

And so

$$\sqrt{1 - (1 - \prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sqrt{(1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}} \in [0, 1].$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \sqrt{1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \\ \nu &= \sqrt{(1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \end{aligned}$$

340 that is, $\mu, \nu \in [0, 1]$.

Now we need to prove $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \in [0, 1]$.

Since $\mu_{\theta(j)}^2 + \nu_{\theta(j)}^2 \leq 1$, then $\nu_{\theta(j)}^2 \leq 1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^2 + \nu^2 &= 1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\ &\quad + (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_{\theta(j)}^{p_j})^2))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\ &\leq 1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \\ &\quad + (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{p_j}))^{\frac{1}{n!}})^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\mu^2 + \nu^2 \in [0, 1]$. In addition, obviously, we have $s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \theta_{\theta(j)}))}^{\frac{1}{n!}} \in S$.

Hence, $PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is also a PFLN.

345 **EXAMPLE 3.** Let $a_1 = \langle s_2, (0.5, 0.3) \rangle$, $a_2 = \langle s_4, (0.7, 0.5) \rangle$, $a_3 = \langle s_3, (0.8, 0.2) \rangle$
and $P = (1, 0.5, 0.4)$. According to Eq. (6), we have

$$s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}} \left(\left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j \theta_{\theta(j)} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right) = s_a,$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4} \times ((2 \times 1 + 4 \times 0.5 + 3 \times 0.4) \times (2 \times 1 + 3 \times 0.5 + 4 \times 0.4) \times (4 \times 1 + 2 \times 0.5 + 3 \times 0.4) \times (4 \times 1 + 3 \times 0.5 + 2 \times 0.4) \times (3 \times 1 + 4 \times 0.5 + 2 \times 0.4) \times (3 \times 1 + 2 \times 0.5 + 4 \times 0.4))^{\frac{1}{6}} = 2.9904$$

350 and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt[1+0.5+0.4]{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \left(1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2 \right)^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} \\ &= (1 - (1 - ((1 - (1 - 0.5^2) \times (1 - 0.7^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.8^2)^{0.4}) \\ & \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^2) \times (1 - 0.8^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.7^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.7^2) \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.5} \\ & \times (1 - 0.8^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.7^2) \times (1 - 0.8^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.8^2) \\ & \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.5} \times (1 - 0.7^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.8^2) \times (1 - 0.7^2)^{0.5} \\ & \times (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.4}))^{\frac{1}{3!}})^{\frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.6790. \\ & \left(\sqrt[1+0.5+0.4]{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 v_{\theta(j)}^{p_j} \right)^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}} = ((1 - ((1 - (0.3 \times 0.5^{0.5} \times 0.2^{0.4})^2) \\ & \times (1 - (0.3 \times 0.2^{0.5} \times 0.5^{0.4})^2) \times (1 - (0.5 \times 0.3^{0.5} \times 0.2^{0.4})^2) \\ & \times (1 - (0.5 \times 0.2^{0.5} \times 0.3^{0.4})^2) \times (1 - (0.2 \times 0.3^{0.5} \times 0.5^{0.4})^2) \\ & \times (1 - (0.2 \times 0.5^{0.5} \times 0.3^{0.4})^2))^{\frac{1}{6}})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{1+0.5+0.4}} = 0.3178; \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $PFLDMM^P(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \langle s_{2.9904}, (0.6790, 0.3178) \rangle$.

Similar to Property 1, 2, 3, it is easy to prove $PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are idempotent, bounded, and monotonic, the details of their proofs are omitted.

355 **PROPERTY 6 (IDEMPOTENCY).** Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector and all $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are equal, i.e., $a_i = a = \langle s_{\theta}, (\mu, \nu) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, then

$$PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a.$$

PROPERTY 7 (MONOTONICITY). Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $a'_i = \langle s_{\theta'_i}, (\mu'_i, \nu'_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be two collections of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. If $s_{\theta_i} \leq s_{\theta'_i}, \mu_i \leq \mu'_i$ and $\nu_i \geq \nu'_i$, then

$$PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLDMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

360 **PROPERTY 8 (BOUNDEDNESS).** Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector,

$$\begin{aligned} a^- &= \langle \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\} \rangle, \\ a^+ &= \langle \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$a^- \leq PFLDMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \leq a^+.$$

Now, we will develop some special cases of PFLDMM operator with respect to the parameter vector. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\vartheta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector.

365

(1) If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, PFLDMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic geometric averaging (PFLGA) operator

$$PFLDMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\prod_{j=1}^n \vartheta_j^{\frac{1}{n}}}, (\prod_{j=1}^n \mu_j^{\frac{1}{n}}, \sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_j^2)^{\frac{1}{n}}}) \rangle. \quad (20)$$

(2) If $P = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$, PFLDMM operator will reduces to generalized Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic geometric (GPFLG) operator

$$\begin{aligned} & PFLDMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= \langle s_{\frac{1}{\lambda} (\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda \vartheta_j)^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, (\sqrt{1 - (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (1 - \mu_j^2)^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}, (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \nu_j^{2\lambda})^{\frac{1}{n}}})^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

370

(3) If $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})$, PFLDMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic geometric Maclaurin symmetric mean (PFLGMSM) operator

$$\begin{aligned} & PFLDMM^{(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\frac{1}{k} (\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} \vartheta_{i_j})^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \\ & (\sqrt{1 - (1 - (\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \mu_{i_j}^2))^{\frac{1}{k}}))^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \\ & (\sqrt{1 - \prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^k \nu_{i_j}^2)^{\frac{1}{k}})^{\frac{1}{k}}}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

(4) If $P = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, PFLDMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic arithmetic averaging (PFLMA) operator

$$PFLDMM^{(1,1,\dots,1)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\frac{1}{n} (\sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_j)}, (\sqrt{1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_j^2))^{\frac{1}{n}}}, (\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_j)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \rangle. \quad (23)$$

375

(5) If $P = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$, PFLMM operator will reduces to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic arithmetic averaging (PFLAA) operator

$$PFLDMM^{(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\frac{1}{n} (\sum_{j=1}^n \vartheta_j)}, (\sqrt{1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \mu_j^2))^{\frac{1}{n}}}, (\prod_{j=1}^n \nu_j)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \rangle. \quad (24)$$

4.2. Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Dual Weighted Muirhead Mean Operators

Similar to PFLWMM operators. In this Section, we proposed the PFLDWMM aggregation operators which consider the weights of attributes in the process of information aggregation.

DEFINITION 5. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then a Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual weighted Muirhead mean operator is a function $PFLDWMM^P: A^n \rightarrow A$, and

$$PFLDWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\otimes_{\theta \in S_n} (\oplus_{j=1}^n p_j (a_{\theta(j)})^{w_{\theta(j)}}))^{\frac{1}{n!}}, \quad (25)$$

where $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is any a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and S_n is the collection of all permutation of $\theta(j) (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 4. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. Then $PFLDWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is still a PFLN and

$$\begin{aligned} PFLDWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \langle s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j (\theta_{\theta(j)})^{w_{\theta(j)}}))^{\frac{1}{n!}}}, \\ &\left(\sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - (\mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} p_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}}}, \right. \\ &\left. \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} p_j \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Proof. Since $a_{\theta(j)}$ is a PFLN, we have $a_{\theta(j)}^{w_{\theta(j)}}$ is also a PFLN. By the operation of PFLNs, we have $a_{\theta(j)}^{w_{\theta(j)}} = (\mu_{\theta(j)}^{w_{\theta(j)}}, \sqrt{1 - (1 - \nu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}}})$. Therefore, we can directly obtain the result according to Theorem 2.

EXAMPLE 4. Let $a_1 = \langle s_2, (0.3, 0.5) \rangle$, $a_2 = \langle s_4, (0.2, 0.4) \rangle$, $a_3 = \langle s_3, (0.6, 0.2) \rangle$, $w = (0.25, 0.4, 0.35)$ and $P = (1, 1, 0)$. According to Eq. (6), since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j} \left(\left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j (\theta_{\theta(j)})^{w_{\theta(j)}} \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} &= \frac{1}{1 + 1 + 0} \times ((2^{0.25} + 4^{0.4}) \times (2^{0.25} + 3^{0.35}) \\ &\times (4^{0.4} + 2^{0.25}) \times (4^{0.4} + 3^{0.35}) \times (3^{0.35} + 4^{0.4}) \times (3^{0.35} + 2^{0.25}))^{\frac{1}{3!}} = 1.5373 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \left(1 - (\mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} \right)^{p_j} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^3 p_j}}} \\
&= (1 - (1 - ((1 - (1 - 0.3^{0.5}) \times (1 - 0.2^{0.8})) \times (1 - (1 - 0.3^{0.5}) \times (1 - 0.6^{0.7}))) \\
&\times (1 - (1 - 0.2^{0.8}) \times (1 - 0.3^{0.5}))) \times (1 - (1 - 0.2^{0.8}) \times (1 - 0.6^{0.7})) \\
&\times (1 - (1 - 0.6^{0.7}) \times (1 - 0.3^{0.5}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.6^{0.7}) \times (1 - 0.2^{0.8}))))^{\frac{1}{3!}})^{\frac{1}{1+1+0}})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.7206. \\
& \left(\sqrt{1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_3} \left(\left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^3 \left(1 - (1 - \mu_{\theta(j)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} \right) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{3!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+1}}} \right. \\
&= ((1 - (1 - ((1 - (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.25}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.4^2)^{0.4}))) \times (1 - (1 - (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.25})) \\
&\times (1 - (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.35})) \times (1 - (1 - (1 - 0.4^2)^{0.4}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.25})) \times (1 - (1 - (1 - 0.4^2)^{0.4}) \\
&\times (1 - (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.35})) \times (1 - (1 - (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.35}) \times (1 - (1 - 0.5^2)^{0.25})) \times (1 - (1 - (1 - 0.2^2)^{0.35}) \\
&\times (1 - (1 - 0.4^2)^{0.4})))^{\frac{1}{6}})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{1+1+0}} = 0.2167;
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $PFLDWMM^P(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \langle s_{1.5373}, (0.7206, 0.2167) \rangle$.

Similar to Property 7 and Property 8, we can prove $PFLDWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ are bounded, and monotonic.

400 **PROPERTY 9 (MONOTONICITY).** Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $a'_i = \langle s_{\theta'_i}, (\mu'_i, \nu'_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be two collections of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector. If $s_{\theta_i} \leq s_{\theta'_i}, \mu_i \leq \mu'_i$ and $\nu_i \geq \nu'_i$, then

$$PFLDWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLDWMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n).$$

405 **PROPERTY 10 (BOUNDEDNESS).** Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a^- &= \langle \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, (\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\}) \rangle, \\
a^+ &= \langle \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{s_{\theta_i}\}, (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\mu_i\}, \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\nu_i\}) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$a^- \leq PFLWMM^P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq PFLDWMM^P(a'_1, \dots, a'_n) \leq a^+.$$

Now, we will develop some special cases of PFLDWMM operator with respect to the parameter vector. Let $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ be a collection of PLFNs, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$ be the weight vector of $a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ with $w_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$, and $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be a parameter vector.

(1) If $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, we have

$$PFLDWMM^{(1,0,\dots,0)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \langle s_{\prod_{j=1}^n \theta_j^{\frac{w_j}{n}}}, \left(\prod_j \mu_j^{\frac{w_j}{n}}, \sqrt{1 - \prod_j (1 - \nu_j^2)^{\frac{w_j}{n}}} \right) \rangle. \quad (27)$$

(2) If $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})$, PFLDWMM operator will reduce to Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted geometric Maclaurin symmetric mean (PFLWGMSM) operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 PFLDWMM(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k})(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= \langle s_{\frac{1}{k}(\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (\sum_{j=1}^k \theta_{i_j}^{w_{i_j}})) \frac{1}{c_n^k}}, \\
 & \left(\sqrt[k]{1 - (1 - (\prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^k (1 - \mu_{i_j}^{2w_j})) \frac{1}{c_n^k})) \frac{1}{k}}, \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\sqrt[k]{1 - \prod_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - (1 - \nu_{i_j}^2)^{w_{i_j}})) \frac{1}{c_n^k})) \frac{1}{k}} \right) \right). \quad (28)
 \end{aligned}$$

5. MODEL FOR MULTIPLE ATTRIBUTE DECISION MAKING WITH PYTHAGOREAN FUZZY LINGUISTIC INFORMATION

In this section, we develop a MADM method with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information based on the proposed PFLWMM operator or PFLDWMM operator. The following assumptions or notations are used to represent the MADM problems for potential evaluation of emerging technology commercialization with Pythagorean fuzzy information.

Based on the given linguistic term set $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_g\}$, let $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ be a set of m alternatives, and $G = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ be the set of attributes, and $w = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be the weight vector of attributes with $w_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$. Suppose that $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ is the decision making matrix, where $a_{ij} = \langle s_{\theta_{ij}}, (\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij}) \rangle$, $s_{\theta_{ij}} \in S$, μ_{ij} indicates the degree that the alternative A_i satisfies the attribute G_j given by the decision maker, ν_{ij} indicates the degree that the alternative A_i does not satisfy the attribute G_j given by the decision maker, $\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ and $\mu_{ij}^2 + \nu_{ij}^2 \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In the following, two novel MADM methods are developed with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information based on PFLWMM operator or PFLDWMM operator, which are shown in the following:

Step 1. Aggregate all assessment values $a_{ij} = \langle s_{\theta_{ij}}, (\mu_{ij}, \nu_{ij}) \rangle$ of the alternative $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ on all attributes $G_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ into the overall assessment $a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle$ based on the

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_i &= \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle = PFLMM^P(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) = \langle s_{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \theta_{\theta(ij)}^{p_j})) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}}, \\
 & \left(\left(\sqrt[\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \theta_{\theta(ij)}^{p_j})) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}{\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \theta_{\theta(ij)}^{p_j})) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}}{1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - (\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (1 - \mu_{\theta(ij)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)} p_j})) \frac{1}{n!}) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}} \right), \right. \\
 & \left. \left(\sqrt[\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \theta_{\theta(ij)}^{p_j})) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}{\frac{1}{n!}(\sum_{\theta \in S_n} (\prod_{j=1}^n (w_{\theta(j)} \theta_{\theta(ij)}^{p_j})) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}}{1 - (1 - (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (1 - \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - (\nu_{\theta(ij)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)} p_j})) \frac{1}{n!}) \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j})}} \right) \right). \quad (29)
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$a_i = \langle s_{\theta_i}, (\mu_i, \nu_i) \rangle = PFLDWMM^P(a_{i1}, \dots, a_{in}) = \langle s_{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} (\prod_{\theta \in S_n} (\sum_{j=1}^n p_j (\theta_{\theta(ij)})^{w_{\theta(j)}}))^{\frac{1}{n}}},$$

$$\left(\sqrt[1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - (\mu_{\theta(ij)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} p_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}},$$

$$\left(\sqrt[1 - \left(\prod_{\theta \in S_n} \left(1 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(1 - (1 - \nu_{\theta(ij)}^2)^{w_{\theta(j)}} p_j \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j}} \rangle. \quad (30)$$

Step 2. Calculate the score values $S(a_i)$ of all collective overall values to rank the all alternatives $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, the bigger the $S(a_i)$, the better the A_i , where

$$S(a_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_i^2 + 1 - \nu_i^2) \times s_{\theta_i} = s_{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i^2 + 1 - \nu_i^2) \times \theta_i}. \quad (31)$$

440 If there is no difference between two scores a_i and a_j , then we need to calculate the accuracy degree $H(a_i)$ and $H(a_j)$ by the following equation:

$$H(a_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) \times s_{\theta_i} = s_{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i^2 + \nu_i^2) \times \theta_i}. \quad (32)$$

and then rank the alternatives A_i and A_j accordance with degrees $H(a_i)$ and $H(a_j)$.

Step 3. Rank all alternatives $A_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ and determine the desirable alternative according to $S(a_i)$ and $H(a_i) (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$.

445 **Step 4.** End.

6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

6.1. Numerical Example

In order to show the application of the proposed approach in this paper, an illustrative example was cited and adapted from [4], which an evaluation on the emergency response capabilities of relevant department when some disasters occurred. There is a panel with four emerging departments $A_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ should be considered that have taken part in the rescue work. A_1 is the transportation department, A_2 is the health departments, A_3 is the telecommunications department, and A_4 is the supplies department. The government needs to give an evaluation according to four attributes: (1) G_1 is the emergency forecasting capability; (2) G_2 is the emergency process capability; (3) G_3 is the after-disaster loss evaluation capability; and (4) G_4 is the after-disaster reconstruction capability, $w = (0.1, 0.4, 0.2, 0.3)$ is the weight vector of them. Several experts are invited to evaluate the four departments in anonymity with the linguistic term set $S = \{s_0 = \text{extremely low}, s_1 = \text{very low}, s_2 = \text{low}, s_3 = \text{medium}, s_4 = \text{high}, s_5 = \text{very high}, s_6 = \text{extremely high}\}$. The four possible alternatives $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ are evaluated by using the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information, and the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic decision matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 5}$ is shown in Table 1.

(1) Based on PFLWMM operator to drive the collective overall value when parameter $P = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, we obtain following:

Table 1: Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic decision matrix

	\tilde{G}_1	\tilde{G}_2	\tilde{G}_3	\tilde{G}_4
A_1	$\langle s_2, (0.6, 0.4) \rangle$	$\langle s_3, (0.6, 0.4) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.8, 0.2) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.7, 0.4) \rangle$
A_2	$\langle s_3, (0.7, 0.5) \rangle$	$\langle s_5, (0.7, 0.5) \rangle$	$\langle s_5, (0.7, 0.4) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.7, 0.3) \rangle$
A_3	$\langle s_2, (0.6, 0.3) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.6, 0.5) \rangle$	$\langle s_5, (0.7, 0.3) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.6, 0.4) \rangle$
A_4	$\langle s_3, (0.8, 0.2) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.8, 0.3) \rangle$	$\langle s_4, (0.6, 0.4) \rangle$	$\langle s_5, (0.8, 0.3) \rangle$

465 **Step 1.** Based on Eq.(29), we have

$$a_1 = \langle s_{0.6928}, (0.3530, 0.7978) \rangle; a_2 = \langle s_{0.8712}, (0.3704, 0.8334) \rangle;$$

$$a_3 = \langle s_{0.7872}, (0.3210, 0.8073) \rangle; a_4 = \langle s_{0.8712}, (0.4057, 0.7715) \rangle.$$

Step 2. Based on Eq.(31), we utilize the score function to calculate the score values of collective overall assessment values $a_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$,

$$S(a_1) = s_{0.1691}; S(a_2) = s_{0.1928}; S(a_3) = s_{0.1777}; S(a_4) = s_{0.2481}.$$

Step 3. According the score values of $a_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ calculated in Step 2, all feasible alternative $A_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are ranked as follows:

$$A_1 < A_3 < A_2 < A_4,$$

470 Therefore, the desirable alternative is A_4 .

(2) Based on PFLDWMM operator to drive the collective overall value when parameter $P = (1, 1, 1, 1)$, we obtain following:

Step 1. Based on Eq.(30), we have

$$a_1 = \langle s_{1.3647}, (0.9208, 0.1623) \rangle; a_2 = \langle s_{1.4382}, (0.9249, 0.2036) \rangle;$$

$$a_3 = \langle s_{1.4271}, (0.9034, 0.1772) \rangle; a_4 = \langle s_{1.4493}, (0.9416, 0.1396) \rangle.$$

475 **Step 2.** Based on Eq.(31), we utilize the score function to calculate the score values of collective overall assessment values $a_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$,

$$S(a_1) = s_{1.2429}; S(a_2) = s_{1.3044}; S(a_3) = s_{1.2735}; S(a_4) = s_{1.3531}.$$

Step 3. According the score values of $a_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ calculated in Step 2, all feasible alternative $A_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are ranked as follows:

$$A_1 < A_3 < A_2 < A_4,$$

Therefore, the desirable alternative is A_4 .

6.2. The Influence of the Parameter Vector P on the Decision Making Results

480 In order to show the influence of the parameter vectors P on the decision making results, we use different parameter vectors P in our proposed methods based on

Table 2: Ranking results by using different parameter vector P in PFLWMM operator

Parameter Vector P	The score values of $A_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$	Ranking Results
(1, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.6807}, S(a_2) = s_{0.7052}, S(a_3) = s_{0.6806}, S(a_4) = s_{0.7536}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_1 > A_3$
(1, 1, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.4520}, S(a_2) = s_{0.4801}, S(a_3) = s_{0.4564}, S(a_4) = s_{0.5427}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(1, 1, 1, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.2895}, S(a_2) = s_{0.3154}, S(a_3) = s_{0.2978}, S(a_4) = s_{0.3764}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(1, 1, 1, 1)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.1691}, S(a_2) = s_{0.1928}, S(a_3) = s_{0.1777}, S(a_4) = s_{0.2481}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$	$S(a_1) = s_{0.1691}, S(a_2) = s_{0.1928}, S(a_3) = s_{0.1777}, S(a_4) = s_{0.2481}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(2, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.5079}, S(a_2) = s_{0.5436}, S(a_3) = s_{0.5095}, S(a_4) = s_{0.6267}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(3, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.3954}, S(a_2) = s_{0.4410}, S(a_3) = s_{0.3992}, S(a_4) = s_{0.5491}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$

Table 3: Ranking results by using different parameter vector P in PFLDWMM operator

Parameter Vector P	The score values of $A_i(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$	Ranking Results
(1, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.1217}, S(a_2) = s_{0.1242}, S(a_3) = s_{0.1143}, S(a_4) = s_{0.1391}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_1 > A_3$
(1, 1, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.4616}, S(a_2) = s_{0.4967}, S(a_3) = s_{0.4743}, S(a_4) = s_{0.5315}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(1, 1, 1, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.8262}, S(a_2) = s_{0.8809}, S(a_3) = s_{0.8532}, S(a_4) = s_{0.9065}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(1, 1, 1, 1)	$S(a_1) = s_{1.2429}, S(a_2) = s_{1.3044}, S(a_3) = s_{1.2735}, S(a_4) = s_{1.3531}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$	$S(a_1) = s_{1.2429}, S(a_2) = s_{1.3044}, S(a_3) = s_{1.2735}, S(a_4) = s_{1.3531}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
(2, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.3584}, S(a_2) = s_{0.3728}, S(a_3) = s_{0.3409}, S(a_4) = s_{0.4060}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_1 > A_3$
(3, 0, 0, 0)	$S(a_1) = s_{0.6303}, S(a_2) = s_{0.6622}, S(a_3) = s_{0.6080}, S(a_4) = s_{0.7072}$	$A_4 > A_2 > A_1 > A_3$

PFLWMM and PFLDWMM operators to rank the alternatives. The ranking results are shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

We explain the following aspects to illustrate the influence of parameter vector P on the decision making results:

(1) We see from the Section 3 and Section 4 that our methods are more general. Specially, when $P = (\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^k, \overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^k)$, the PFLWMM operator will become Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Maclaurin mean, which is also family aggregation operators when the parameter k takes different value.

(2) It follows from Table 2 and Table 3 that the aggregation results obtained by PFLWMM and PFLDWMM operators are almost remain unchanged in this example though the parameter vector P change, this phenomenon also illustrates PFLWMM and PFLDWMM operators have good robust property.

(3) Parameter vector P can capture interrelationship between the individual arguments that can be fully taken into account. As far as the PFLWMM operator is concerned, we can find from Table 2 that the more interrelationships of attributes which we consider, the smaller value of score functions, that is, the parameter vector P have greater control ability, the values of score function will become greater. However, for the IFDWMM operator, the result is just the opposite, the more interrelationships of attributes we consider, the greater value of score functions will become. The parameter vector P have greater control ability, the values of score function will become small. So, different parameter vector P can be regarded as the decision makers' risk preference.

6.3. Comparisons With Other Existing Methods

505 In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed methods with PFLWMM operator and PFLDWMM operator, we compare our proposed methods with other existing methods including the PFLWA operator, PFLGA operator and PFMSM operator. The results are shown in Table 4, which indicates that five methods have the same desirable alternative, which further verifies the validity of the method proposed in this paper with PFLWMM operator and PFLDWMM operator.

Table 4: Ranking results by using different methods

Aggregation Operator	Parameter Vector	Ranking Results
PFLWA	No	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
PFLGW	No	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
PFLMSM	(1, 1, 1, 0)	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
PFLWMM in this paper	(1, 1, 1, 1)	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$
PFLDWMM in this paper	(1, 1, 1, 1)	$A_4 > A_2 > A_3 > A_1$

510

In the following, we will give some comparisons of the three methods and our proposed methods with respect to some characteristic, which are listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Ranking results by using different methods

Methods	captures interrelationship of MAs	makes method flexible by PV
PFLWA	×	×
PFLGW	×	×
PFLMSM	√	√
PFLWMM in this paper	√	√
PFLDWMM in this paper	√	√

where MA means multiple attributes and PV means parameter vector.

PFLWA and PFLGA are special cases of PFLWMM and PFLDWMM operator. Compared with the method based on the PFLWA operator and PFLGA operator, in which there are two limitations: (1) the method based on PFLWA and PFLGA operator thinks that the input arguments are independent; (2) the method based on PFLWA and PFLGA operator doesn't consider the interrelationship among input arguments. However, the new proposed operators in this paper can also consider the interrelationship among all input arguments and they are also generalization of most existing aggregation operators. Therefore, the proposed methods are more general and flexible to solve MADM problems than PFLWA and PFLGA. Compared with the method in [41] based on the PFMSM operator, which consider interrelationship of multi-input arguments, but it can not deal with linguistic information. Therefore, we extend PFMSM to PFLWSMM and PFLDWMSM which are special cases of *PFLWMM* and *PFLDWMM* operators when parameter vector $P = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_k)$. Thus, the new methods proposed in this paper can make the linguistic information aggregation process more flexible by the parameter vector P .

520

525

7. CONCLUSIONS

In recent years, aggregation operators play a vital role in decision making and many aggregation operators under different environment have been developed. But they still have some limitations in solving some practical problems. Some traditional Maclaurin Symmetric Mean (MSM) operator and intuitionistic MSM operator are generally suitable for aggregating the information taking the form of crisp numbers and intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, but fails in dealing with the Pythagorean linguistic information. In this paper, we have investigated the MADM problems with the Pythagorean linguistic information based on some new aggregation operators which capture interrelationships of multiple attributes among any number of attributes by a parameter vector P . To begin with, we presented some new Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic MM aggregation operators to deal with MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information, including the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic Muirhead Mean (PFLMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLWMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual Muirhead Mean (PFLDMM) operator, the Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic dual weighted Muirhead Mean (PFLDWMM) operator. In addition, the some properties of these new aggregation operators were proved and some special cases were discussed. Moreover, we presented two new methods to solve the MADM problems with Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic information. Finally, we used an illustrative example to show the feasibility and validity of the new methods by comparing with the other existing methods.

In further research, it is necessary to solve the real decision making problems by applying these operators. In addition, we can develop some new aggregation operators on the basis of Muirhead mean operator by considering that MM operator has the superiority of compatibility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P.R.China (Grant no. 61673320); Sichuan Province Youth Science and Technology Innovation Team (No. 2019JDTD0015); The Application Basic Research Plan Project of Sichuan Province (No.2017JY0199); The Scientific Research Project of Department of Education of Sichuan Province (No.18ZA0273, No. 15TD0027); Scientific Research Innovation Team of Neijiang Normal University (No.18TD008).

REFERENCES

- [1] Atanassov, K. and Gargov, G. Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 31(1989) 343-349.
- [2] I. Beg, T. Rashid, An Intuitionistic 2-Tuple Linguistic Information Model and Aggregation Operators, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 569-592.
- [3] Z. Chen, P. Liu, Z. Pei, An approach to multiple attribute group decision making based on linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, *Int J Comput Int Sys*, 8 (2015) 747-760.

- 570 [4] Y. Du, F. Hou, W. Zafar, Q. Yu, Y. Zhai, A Novel Method for Multiattribute Decision Making with Interval-Valued Pythagorean Fuzzy Linguistic Information, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, (2017).
- [5] H. Garg, A Novel Correlation Coefficients between Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets and Its Applications to Decision-Making Processes, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 1234-1252.
- 575 [6] H. Garg, A New Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy Information Aggregation Using Einstein Operations and Its Application to Decision Making, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 886-920.
- [7] H. Garg, Confidence levels based Pythagorean fuzzy aggregation operators and its application to decision-making process, *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory*, (2017).
- 580 [8] H. Garg, Generalized Pythagorean Fuzzy Geometric Aggregation Operators Using Einstein-Norm and t -Conorm for Multicriteria Decision-Making Process, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 32 (2017) 597-630.
- [9] X. Gou, Z. Xu, P. Ren, The Properties of Continuous Pythagorean Fuzzy Information, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 401-424.
- 585 [10] Herrera F, Martínez L, An approach for combining linguistic and numerical information based on 2-tuple fuzzy representation model in decision making, *Int J Uncertain Fuzz Knowl-Based Syst* 8(5)(2000) 539-562.
- [11] Herrera F, Martínez L, A 2-tuple fuzzy linguistic representation model for computing with words, *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst* 8(6)(2000) 746-752.
- 590 [12] Y.B. Ju, X.Y. Liu, D.W. Ju, Some new intuitionistic linguistic aggregation operators based on Maclaurin symmetric mean and their applications to multiple attribute group decision making, *Soft Computing*, 20 (2016) 4521-4548.
- [13] Deqing Li, W. Zeng, Distance Measure of Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, DOI 10.1002/int.21934 (2017).
- 595 [14] W. Liang, X. Zhang, M. Liu, The Maximizing Deviation Method Based on Interval-Valued Pythagorean Fuzzy Weighted Aggregating Operator for Multiple Criteria Group Decision Analysis, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2015 (2015) 1-15.
- 600 [15] C. Liu, G. Tang, P. Liu, An Approach to Multicriteria Group Decision-Making with Unknown Weight Information Based on Pythagorean Fuzzy Uncertain Linguistic Aggregation Operators, *Math Probl Eng*, 2017 (2017) 1-18.
- [16] P. Liu, Some geometric aggregation operators based on interval intuitionistic uncertain linguistic variables and their application to group decision making, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37 (2013) 2430-2444.
- 605

- [17] P. Liu, F. Jin, Methods for aggregating intuitionistic uncertain linguistic variables and their application to group decision making, *Information Sciences*, 205 (2012) 58-71.
- [18] P. Liu, D. Li, Some Muirhead Mean Operators for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers and Their Applications to Group Decision Making, *PLoS One*, 12 (2017) e0168767.
- [19] P. Liu, L. Rong, Y. Chu, Y. Li, Intuitionistic linguistic weighted Bonferroni mean operator and its application to multiple attribute decision making, *Scientific World Journal*, 2014 (2014) 545049.
- [20] P. Liu, P. Wang, Some Improved Linguistic Intuitionistic Fuzzy Aggregation Operators and Their Applications to Multiple-Attribute Decision Making, *International Journal of Information Technology Decision Making*, 16 (2017) 817-850.
- [21] Y. Liu, Y. Qin, Y. Han, Multiple Criteria Decision Making with Probabilities in Interval-Valued Pythagorean Fuzzy Setting, *Int. J. Fuzzy Syst.* 20(2018) 558-571.
- [22] P.D. Liu, X.C. Yu, 2-Dimension uncertain linguistic power generalized weighted aggregation operator and its application in multiple attribute group decision making, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 57 (2014) 69-80.
- [23] Z. Ma, Z. Xu, Symmetric Pythagorean Fuzzy Weighted Geometric/Averaging Operators and Their Application in Multicriteria Decision-Making Problems, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 1198-1219.
- [24] J.M. Merig, M. Casanovas, Decision Making with Distance Measures and Linguistic Aggregation Operators, *Int J Fuzzy Syst*, 12 (2010) 190-198.
- [25] J.M. Merig, M. Casanovas, L. Martı́nez, Linguistic Aggregation Operators for Linguistic Decision Making Based on the Dempster-Shafer Theory of Evidence, *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems*, 18 (2010) 287-304.
- [26] R. F. Muirhead Some methods applicable to identities and inequalities of symmetric algebraic functions of n letters. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 21(3)(1902) 44-162.
- [27] X. Peng, J. Dai, Approaches to Pythagorean Fuzzy Stochastic Multi-criteria Decision Making Based on Prospect Theory and Regret Theory with New Distance Measure and Score Function, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 32 (2017) 1187-1214.
- [28] X. Peng, Y. Yang, Some Results for Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 30 (2015) 1133-1160.
- [29] X. Peng, Y. Yang, Pythagorean Fuzzy Choquet Integral Based MABAC Method for Multiple Attribute Group Decision Making, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 989-1020.

- [30] X. Peng, Y. Yang, Fundamental Properties of Interval-Valued Pythagorean Fuzzy Aggregation Operators, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 444-487.
- [31] X. Peng, H. Yuan, Fundamental Properties of Pythagorean Fuzzy Aggregation Operators, *Fund Inform*, 147 (2016) 415-446.
- [32] X. Peng, Y. Yang, Multiple attribute group decision making methods based on Pythagorean fuzzy linguistic set, *Computer Engineering and Applications*, 52 (2016) 50-54.
- [33] X. Peng, H. Yuan, Y. Yang, Pythagorean Fuzzy Information Measures and Their Applications, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 32 (2017) 991-1029.
- [34] J. Qin, X. Liu, An approach to intuitionistic fuzzy multiple attribute decision making based on Maclaurin symmetric mean operators, *Journal of Intelligent Fuzzy Systems*, 27 (2014) 2177-2190.
- [35] J. Qin, X. Liu, 2-tuple linguistic Muirhead mean operators for multiple attribute group decision making and its application to supplier selection, *Kybernetes*, 45 (2016) 2-29.
- [36] K. Rahman, S. Abdullah, R. Ahmed, M. Ullah, Pythagorean fuzzy Einstein weighted geometric aggregation operator and their application to multiple attribute group decision making, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 33 (2017) 635-647.
- [37] P. Ren, Z. Xu, X. Gou, Pythagorean fuzzy TODIM approach to multi-criteria decision making, *Applied Soft Computing*, 42 (2016) 246-259.
- [38] S.-P. Wan, Z. Jin, J.-Y. Dong, Pythagorean fuzzy mathematical programming method for multi-attribute group decision making with Pythagorean fuzzy truth degrees, *Knowledge and Information Systems*, (2017).
- [39] J. Q. Wang, J. J. Li, The multi-criteria group decision making method based on multi-granularity intuitionistic two semantics. *Sci Technol Inf*, 33(2009) 8-9.
- [40] S. Wang, J. Liu, Extension of the TODIM Method to Intuitionistic Linguistic Multiple Attribute Decision Making, *Symmetry*, 9 (2017) 95.
- [41] G. Wei, M. Lu, Pythagorean Fuzzy Maclaurin Symmetric Mean Operators in Multiple Attribute Decision Making, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, (2017).
- [42] Z. Xu, Uncertain linguistic aggregation operators based approach to multiple attribute group decision making under uncertain linguistic environment, *Information Sciences*, 168 (2004) 171-184.
- [43] Yager, R. R.: Pythagorean membership grades in multi-criteria decision making, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, 22 (2014) 958-965.

- [44] Yager, R. R., Abbasov, A. M.: Pythagorean membership grades, complex numbers, and decision making. *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, 28 (2013) 436-452.
- [45] S. Zeng, J. Chen, X. Li, A Hybrid Method for Pythagorean Fuzzy Multiple-Criteria Decision Making, *International Journal of Information Technology Decision Making*, 14 (2015) 403-422. 685
- [46] H. Zhang, Linguistic Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and Application in MAGDM, *J Appl Math*, 2014 (2014) 1-11.
- [47] H. Y. Zhang, H. G. Peng, J. Wang, J. Q. Wang, An extended outranking approach for multi-criteria decision-making problems with linguistic intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, *Applied Soft Computing*, 59 (2017) 462-474. 690
- [48] X. Zhang, Multicriteria Pythagorean fuzzy decision analysis: A hierarchical QUALIFLEX approach with the closeness index-based ranking methods, *Information Sciences*, 330 (2016) 104-124.
- [49] X. Zhang, A Novel Approach Based on Similarity Measure for Pythagorean Fuzzy Multiple Criteria Group Decision Making, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 31 (2016) 593-611. 695
- [50] X. Zhang, Z. Xu, Extension of TOPSIS to Multiple Criteria Decision Making with Pythagorean Fuzzy Sets, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, 29 (2014) 1061-1078.