

1 **A critical review on application of photocatalysis for toxicity reduction** 2 **of real wastewaters**

3 Juan José Rueda-Marquez^{1*}, Irina Levchuk², Pilar Fernández Ibañez³, Mika Sillanpää¹

4 ¹*Laboratory of Green Chemistry, Lappeenranta University of Technology, Sammonkatu 12 (Innovation*
5 *centre for safety and material technology, TUMA), 50130 Mikkeli, Finland*

6 ²*Water and Wastewater Engineering Research Group, School of Engineering, Aalto University, PO Box*
7 *15200, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland*

8 ³*Nanotechnology and Integrated BioEngineering Centre, School of Engineering, Ulster University,*
9 *Northern Ireland, BT37 0QB, United Kingdom*

10

11 **Abstract**

12 Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) such as photocatalysis are widely studied for
13 degradation of organic pollutants of contaminants of emerging concern (CECs).
14 However, degradation of organic pollutants leads to formation of by-products, which
15 may be more toxic than parental contaminants. The toxicity of wastewater treated by
16 photocatalysis is topical issue. In this review paper recent studies concerned with
17 photocatalytic detoxification of real industrial and municipal wastewater were
18 assembled and critically discussed. Such issues as challenges for application of
19 photocatalytic wastewater detoxification, feasibility of various toxicity tests, reuse of
20 photocatalysts, cost estimation, etc. were considered. Based on reviewed literature it can
21 be suggested that photocatalysis might not always be a promising treatment method for
22 degradation of organic pollutants in real wastewaters and/or wastewater detoxification
23 from the application point of view.

24

25 **Keywords:** real wastewater, photocatalysis, advanced oxidation processes (AOP),
26 toxicity, bioassays

27 **1. Introduction**

28 Pharmaceuticals, personal care products, endocrine disrupting compounds, pesticides,
29 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs and other
30 contaminants of emerging concern are present in trace concentrations in industrial and
31 municipal wastewater effluents (Lara-Martín et al., 2014, Pintado-Herrera et al., 2014).

32 Wastewater treatment plants do not provide complete elimination of contaminants of
33 emerging concern, which leads to its discharge to receiving environment (Gracia-Lor et
34 al., 2012). Even trace concentrations of these contaminants in aquatic bodies negatively
35 affect aquatic organisms (François et al., 2015, Quinn et al., 2009, Quinn et al., 2011).

36 Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) are known as promising methods for removal of
37 contaminants of emerging concern from wastewater effluents. Among AOPs,
38 photocatalysis is widely studied for wastewater treatment. Thus, during the last ten
39 years, more than 16,000 scientific articles containing "photocatalysis" or "photocatalyst"
40 were published (Scopus) and each year the number of publications is increasing. These
41 data are not surprising because the photocatalytic properties of semiconductors are
42 studied for wastewater treatment, surfaces with self-cleaning and antifogging properties
43 (Li and He, 2013), purification of outdoor air, indoor air deodorization, cancer therapy,
44 etc. (Rao et al., 2003). Number of scientific publications devoted to photocatalytic
45 wastewater purification and detoxification is shown in Fig.1. It is well known that
46 during photocatalytic decomposition of target pollutants in water, generation of more
47 toxic by-products can occur. Thus, it is of high importance to evaluate toxicity of
48 treated wastewater effluent. Among these, there are also a number of studies
49 investigating the photocatalytic degradation of model pollutants and the toxicity of their
50 TPs (transformation products). Nevertheless, these studies do not represent the real
51 scenario of industrial or urban wastewaters as they use higher pollutants' concentrations

52 than found in real cases. In this review, only those studies on detoxification of real
53 wastewater (urban or industrial) are considered. By contrast, all studies focused on
54 reduction of toxicity by photocatalysis in model solutions were excluded from this
55 review. The aim of this work is to systemize and analyse research results on
56 detoxification of real industrial and municipal wastewater (IWW and MWW) by
57 photocatalysis, with implications in the toxicity effects.

58 **2. Method**

59 The methods applied in this literature review included identification of the relevant
60 studies and preparing set of questions to be addressed to selected literature relevant to
61 the scope of this review. Identification of relevant literature was performed by searching
62 in Science Direct, Scopus and Google Scholar and NCBI databases using following
63 keywords: "photocatalysis", "toxicity", "bioassays", "wastewater". After that the
64 generated literature list was checked manually (reading materials and methods and
65 results) in order to exclude studies, in which (i) real or synthetic wastewater was not
66 used; (ii) toxicity assessment of wastewater before and after photocatalytic treatment
67 was not conducted. Moreover, literature reviews were not considered. It should be
68 noticed that only relevant articles published during the period 2009 – 2019 were
69 included to this review. Moreover, relevant studies found during screening other studies
70 were included to the list during literature identifying step. The literature search was
71 limited to articles published in peer-reviewed journals in English language. Reports
72 published in other languages as well as books were excluded from the literature search.

73 Prepared list of scientific articles was critically analysed through extracting relevant
74 information using the list of questions shown below:

- 75 • Which type of photocatalytic nanoparticles was used?

- 76 • Was the release of ions from photocatalyst studied?
- 77 • Was the issue of separation of photocatalytic nanoparticles (in case these were
- 78 used) from treated wastewater addressed?
- 79 • Which type of photocatalytic thin films was used?
- 80 • Was the detachment of nanoparticles from thin films studied?
- 81 • Which type of wastewater was used?
- 82 • Which irradiation source was applied for photocatalytic wastewater treatment?
- 83 • Was the pre-treatment of wastewater, for instance pH modification, performed
- 84 prior photocatalytic treatment?
- 85 • Which type of toxicity tests were applied?
- 86 • Was the toxicity assessment conducted during photocatalytic treatment of
- 87 wastewater?
- 88 • What was the scale of performed experiments?
- 89 • Was the intensity of irradiation source available?
- 90 • Was the treatment time realistic, applicable in real world cases?
- 91 • Was the sensitivity of toxicity tests compared?
- 92 • Was the issue of photocatalyst reuse addressed?

93 **3. Photocatalysis**

94 Usually photocatalysis is defined as the chemical reaction induced by the absorption of
95 photons by solid material (photocatalyst) (Ohtani, B., 2011). However, there is still
96 some debate regarding the definition of the photocatalytic process (Mills and Le Hunte,
97 1997). It should be mentioned that the photocatalyst does not undergo any chemical
98 changes during and after the reaction. In the literature, the term "photocatalyst" is often
99 used interchangeably with term "catalyst". It can be probably explained by the fact that

100 some photocatalytic materials are sometimes used in catalytic reactions as catalysts.
101 However, in terms of thermodynamics, the concept of catalysis and photocatalysis is
102 different. Thus, energy-storing reactions can be driven by photocatalysis ($\Delta G > 0$) while
103 catalysis is limited to thermodynamically possible reactions ($\Delta G < 0$) (Ohtani, B., 2010).
104 The reaction rate (absolute or relative) of the photocatalytic process is usually referred
105 as photocatalytic activity (Ohtani, B., 2011). Usually five steps are distinguished during
106 photocatalysis (Herrmann, 1999):

- 107 • transfer of pollutants to the photocatalyst's surface;
- 108 • adsorption of pollutants on the surface;
- 109 • photonic activation and decomposition of adsorbed molecules;
- 110 • reaction product's desorption;
- 111 • removal of reaction products from the photocatalyst's surface.

112 The main principle of photocatalysis can be explained according to the widely accepted
113 theory. The electron-hole pairs are generated when photocatalytic material is exposed to
114 the light with equal or larger energy than that of photocatalyst's band gap. Formed
115 electron-hole pairs dissociates into electrons (e^-) in conduction band and holes (h^+) in
116 valence band. The e^- and h^+ lead to the reduction and oxidation of molecules adsorbed
117 on the surface of photocatalytic material. Nevertheless, the electron-hole recombination
118 often takes place, which may lead to the non-occurrence of oxidation and reduction
119 reactions on the surface of photocatalytic material. The increase or decrease of the
120 reaction rate is often associated with an enhanced or suppressed electron-hole
121 recombination, respectively (Ohtani, 2013). According to a recent review in the field
122 (Ohtani, 2013), no direct evidence of electron-hole recombination during photocatalytic
123 process was presented so far.

124 Photocatalytic ozonation takes place in the presence of photocatalyst, UV-vis radiation
125 and ozone. Aside from occurring photocatalytic reaction, caused by the photoexcitation
126 of the photocatalyst's surface, molecules of ozone adsorbed on the surface of
127 photocatalyst. This leads to the formation of active oxygen radicals. It was
128 demonstrated that water molecules react with active oxygen radicals to form hydroxyl
129 radicals (Huang and Li, 2011). Moreover, active oxidising species are produced when
130 ozone absorbs a wavelength shorter than 300 nm (Mehrjouei et al., 2015).



132 ***3.1. Technical challenges and toxicity***

133 A plethora of studies has been conducted on the photocatalytic treatment of wastewater
134 effluents at lab and pilot scale (Berberidou et al., 2017, Karaolia et al., 2018, Levchuk et
135 al., 2015, Spasiano et al., 2015, Talwar et al., 2018). In many cases, the complete
136 mineralisation of pollutants present in wastewater was not achieved and/or was not
137 expected. In such cases, conventional chemical analysis, which allows to detect and
138 quantify target compounds and their by-products, is limited because it is neither able to
139 evaluate the possible toxicity of the formed compounds nor their potential synergetic
140 effect. Therefore, a toxicity assessment is of crucial importance when wastewater is
141 treated by photocatalysis, especially if the complete mineralisation of contaminants is
142 not an objective.

143 *3.1.1. Photocatalytic materials and their possible contribution to toxicity*

144 *3.1.1.1. In the form of powder*

145 In the majority (>60%) of studies devoted to the photocatalytic detoxification of real
146 wastewater, TiO₂ in the form of nanoparticles was used as an aqueous suspension in the
147 contaminated water, also called 'slurry'. This can be explained by the fact that TiO₂
148 possess almost all the characteristics of ideal photocatalytic material (Carp et al., 2004)

149 and it is one of the most studied materials for photocatalytic applications. The
150 nanoparticles of ZnO were applied for photocatalytic wastewater treatment in less than
151 25% of the studies reviewed in this article. ZnO was widely studied for photocatalytic
152 applications, it benefits from relatively high photocatalytic activity, easy production
153 process, low cost, environmentally friendliness, etc. (Qi et al., 2017). However, the
154 possible photocorrosion of ZnO should be mentioned as an important drawback (Kudo
155 and Miseki, 2009). Interestingly, the photodissolution of Zn was reported during the
156 photocatalytic treatment of sewage wastewater effluent with ZnO and it was also
157 suggested to be one of the factors leading to the increase of toxicity (*Vibrio fischeri*
158 bioassay) (Vela et al., 2018a). Thus, the elevated toxicity was observed when the
159 highest concentrations of Zn^{2+} ($186 \pm 8 \mu g L^{-1}$) were detected in treated water (Vela et
160 al., 2018a). In comparison with ZnO, the dissolution of Ti^{4+} in similar wastewater was
161 reported to be significantly lower ($6.1 \pm 1.3 \mu g L^{-1}$). These results are in agreement with
162 other studies, which have demonstrated that dissolution of metal from metal-containing
163 nanoparticles can play key role in enhancement of their toxicity (Boyle and Goss, 2018,
164 Brunner et al., 2006, Franklin et al., 2007, Käkinen et al., 2016, Wang, H. et al., 2009).
165 It should be noted that the dissolution and/or photodissolution of photocatalysts is not
166 often monitored during treatment; while it is an important parameter which can
167 significantly affect the toxicity of the water especially if toxic metals such as cadmium
168 are used for the synthesis of photocatalysts. It is noteworthy that TiO_2 was demonstrated
169 to be more efficient for the reduction of toxicity (based on *Artemia salina* bioassay) of
170 textile wastewater effluent than ZnO (Souza et al., 2016). Moreover, TiO_2 P25 was
171 reported to be more efficient for the elimination of toxicity (*Vibrio fischeri* bioassay) of
172 sewage wastewater effluent than other commercially available TiO_2 (Vela et al., 2018b).
173 It can be expected that more studies will focus on comparison of various photocatalysts

174 for toxicity elimination in the future. Besides TiO₂ and ZnO, rather few photocatalysts
175 were tested in the last ten years for the reduction of wastewater toxicity such as TiO₂
176 modified with hydrotalcite and iron oxide (Arcanjo et al., 2018), polypyrrole (Lima et
177 al., 2015), Nb₂O₅ (Souza et al., 2016), Fe₂O₃ (Nogueira et al., 2017), graphitic carbon
178 nitride (Moreira et al., 2019).

179 Despite the relevantly high efficiency of photocatalysts used in the form of dispersed
180 powder for the degradation of emerging organic pollutants and reduction of toxicity, the
181 practical application of this process is hardly feasible due to the technical challenges
182 arising when photocatalyst should be separated from water for further reuse. The
183 separation step is currently among the major limitations for the application of
184 photocatalysis in practice (Chong et al., 2010, Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2003, Iglesias et
185 al., 2016). Relatively few studies have been reported on the separation of photocatalysts
186 from treated water such as accelerated sedimentation (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2003),
187 coagulation with chemical - (Kagaya et al., 1999) and plant-based coagulants
188 (Patchaiyappan et al., 2016), and different filtration methods (Doll and Frimmel, 2005,
189 Ganiyu et al., 2015, Zhao et al., 2002). Among the works considered in the scope of this
190 review, a particle agglomeration process of materials after treatment (Souza et al., 2016)
191 as well as the magnetic separation of TiO₂ modified with hydrotalcite and iron (Arcanjo
192 et al., 2018) was conducted. Another point of concern is the photocatalyst's efficiency
193 loss during its reuse (deactivation) reported by various researchers (Li et al., 2009, Ollis,
194 2000, Sun et al., 2003). The deactivation of a photocatalyst can be reversible and
195 irreversible (Sauer and Ollis, 1996). As suggested by Ollis (2000), the probable reasons
196 for deactivation are: (1) accumulation of resistance to photocatalysis by-products on the
197 surface of photocatalyst and (2) generation of surface species possessing higher
198 adsorption capacity than reactants. The deactivation of photocatalyst is usually not

199 observed when experiments are conducted with model pollutants in water (Ahmed and
200 Ollis, 1984, Al-Sayyed et al., 1991, Hidaka et al., 1986, Levchuk et al., 2016). For
201 instance, the deactivation of TiO₂ was not observed after 14 cycles of the photocatalytic
202 degradation of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid (Barbeni et al., 1987). However, in
203 more complex water matrix and/or in the presence of salts (for instance, coagulants), the
204 deactivation of photocatalyst occurs (Fernández-Ibáñez et al., 2003). Only in 17% of
205 articles on photocatalytic detoxification and purification of wastewater, photocatalytic
206 activity during the reuse of materials was studied. For instance, Arcanjo and co-authors
207 have reused HT/Fe/TiO₂ five times for textile wastewater effluent treatment and
208 observed approx. 17% of its efficiency loss (based on color removal) (Arcanjo et al.,
209 2018). The photocatalytic activity of Fe-TiO₂ composited beds was reported to be very
210 similar even after 70 cycles (based on COD removal) of the hybrid photocatalysis
211 process (Bansal et al., 2018). Lima and co-authors (Lima et al., 2015) reported the
212 deactivation of polypyrrole of approx. 67% after six cycles of textile wastewater
213 decontamination. Interestingly, when a polymer was washed with HCl solution after
214 reaction, the efficiency loss was significantly lower (approx. 16% after six cycles)
215 (Lima et al., 2015). It should be noted that there are only few studies concerned with
216 such an important topic as the regeneration of photocatalytic materials. The following
217 strategies were tested for the regeneration of photocatalysts used for water/wastewater
218 treatment:

- 219 ○ alkaline treatment (NaOH and NH₄OH) (Miranda-García et al., 2014);
- 220 ○ thermal regeneration (Carp et al., 2004);
- 221 ○ exposure to UV in aqueous media or air (Wang, Y. et al., 2015);
- 222 ○ oxidation of by-products bounded to the surface by H₂O₂/UV (Miranda-García
223 et al., 2014);

224 ○ washing with deionised water (Kabra et al., 2004);
225 ○ refluxing in water at 100°C with oxygen bubbling (Pan et al., 2013).

226 Miranda-García and co-authors compared the thermal, alkaline and H₂O₂/UV
227 approaches for the regeneration of immobilized TiO₂ (based on photocatalytic
228 degradation of emerging pollutants) (Miranda-García et al., 2014). Thermal and
229 H₂O₂/UV treatment were reported to be more efficient. Interestingly, after NaOH
230 regeneration, TiO₂ was partially removed leading to the decrease of photocatalyst's
231 efficiency (Miranda-García et al., 2014). It can be expected that more research devoted
232 to separation/recovery and reuse of photocatalytic materials will be conducted in the
233 future, taking into account its significant importance for the practical application.
234 Beyond the technical challenges of the separation and reuse of photocatalysts, the
235 possible risks to aquatic organisms due to the release of some nanoparticles to water
236 should be mentioned as well as the generation of sludge, containing nanoparticles of
237 photocatalysts. When nanoparticles are introduced to aquatic environment their fate
238 (aggregation and its reversibility) is strongly dependant on pH, quality and quantity of
239 natural organic matter, type of released nanoparticles and their surface properties,
240 dissolved and particulate inorganic compounds etc. (Bundschuh et al., 2018). Studies on
241 fate of nanoparticles in the environment are emerging (Boxall et al., 2007, Klitzke et al.,
242 2015, Metreveli et al., 2015, Tso et al., 2010), and behaviour of nanoparticles in
243 complex environmental conditions is not fully understood (Bundschuh et al., 2018).
244 Studies devoted to the risk assessment of nanomaterials used for photocatalytic water
245 treatment to aquatic organisms are available (Lee et al., 2009, Nogueira et al., 2015,
246 Vevers and Jha, 2008). Thus, adverse effects on invertebrates and fish by TiO₂
247 nanoparticles were reported (Blaise et al., 2008). Lethal toxicity was reported for
248 *Chironomus riparius* (widely used organism for the assessment of sediment toxicity)

249 exposed for 10 days to artificial sediments mixed with residual (after photocatalytic
250 treatment) nanoparticles of TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ used for the treatment of olive oil mill
251 wastewater and Fe₂O₃ used for the treatment of kraft pulp mill effluent (Nogueira et al.,
252 2015). Interestingly, toxicity depended not only on the type on nanoparticles, but also
253 on the type of contaminants adsorbed on the NPs surface. For instance, TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃
254 NPs after the treatment of mine drainage did not promote any negative effects on
255 *Chironomus riparius* (Nogueira et al., 2015). It is not surprising that many studies focus
256 on toxicity assessment of nanoparticles in presence of different contaminants (organic
257 and inorganic) (Ahamed et al., 2019, Canesi et al., 2015, De La Torre Roche et al.,
258 2018, Hartmann et al., 2012, Martín-de-Lucía et al., 2019) as nanoparticles may
259 possibly play role of carrier (Hartmann and Baun, 2010) of organic and/or inorganic
260 pollutants into cells and/or organisms (Kahru and Dubourguier, 2010). For instance, it
261 was reported that metal uptake in various freshwater organisms increases in presence of
262 TiO₂ nanoparticles (Canesi et al., 2015, Fan et al., 2017, Hartmann et al., 2012). More
263 detailed information devoted to toxicity of nanoparticles can be find in excellent
264 reviews (Du et al., 2018, Menard et al., 2011, Turan et al., 2019).

265 3.1.1.2. Thin films

266 Photocatalytic slurry systems have been widely studied for treatment of urban and
267 industrial wastewaters (Belgiorno et al., 2007, Biancullo et al., 2019, Fenoll et al., 2019,
268 Moreira et al., 2018, Talwar et al., 2018, Threrujirapapong et al., 2017). Despite high
269 efficiency and relatively low price of slurry photocatalytic systems, it did not lead to
270 many practical applications in wastewater treatment. This can be mainly explained by
271 costly separation of photocatalyst from water after treatment (Bideau et al., 1995, Shan
272 et al., 2010). Therefore, immobilization of photocatalyst on inert supports/substrates in a
273 form of thin films could significantly simplify the separation procedure and enhance

274 applicability of photocatalytic process. Immobilisation of photocatalysts allows to avoid
275 the possible release of NPs to water, sludge generation and also significantly decrease
276 the cost of the treatment by eliminating the photocatalyst recovery step. However,
277 relatively high preparation costs together with generally reported lower efficiency of
278 immobilized photocatalyst (Levchuk et al., 2016) are the main barriers for practical
279 application of photocatalytic thin films. Interestingly, it was reported that immobilised
280 photocatalyst can achieve a similar level of photocatalytic activity as commercial TiO₂
281 (P25) for industrial wastewater (IWW) treatment (Barndök et al., 2016). Sordo et al.
282 (Sordo et al., 2010) demonstrated that the efficiency of fixed-bed reactor filled with
283 TiO₂ immobilized on glass beds is similar to that of slurry photocatalytic system.
284 Several studies were conducted with immobilised photocatalytic materials for
285 wastewater treatment (Barndök et al., 2016, Gholami et al., 2018, Vaiano and Iervolino,
286 2018). However, to our knowledge, only a few studies were reported for real wastewater
287 detoxification with immobilised thin films in the last ten years (Barndök et al., 2016, He
288 et al., 2016, Tichonovas et al., 2017). The TiO₂ (He et al., 2016, Tichonovas et al.,
289 2017) and Fe-TiO₂ (Barndök et al., 2016) were used as a photocatalysts. As far as the
290 authors are aware, in the last ten years there were no works investigating such
291 phenomena as the detachment of photocatalytic films from substrate or the possible
292 photodissolution of immobilised photocatalysts used for real wastewater treatment and
293 its possible effect on water toxicity.

294 *3.1.2. Radiation sources and type of wastewater*

295 *3.1.2.1. Radiation sources*

296 The photocatalytic wastewater treatment process occurs mostly under UV radiation. The
297 UV generation by conventional UV lamps is relatively expensive and causes the
298 generation of highly toxic waste (during utilisation). From the economic and

299 environmental point of view, solar energy can be considered as the best radiation source
300 for photocatalysis. However, in countries with a moderate or low availability of natural
301 solar energy, alternative radiation sources can be used. Taking into consideration the
302 Minamata Convention on Mercury (United Nations, 2018) signed by 128 countries, the
303 use of light emitting diodes (LEDs) is becoming more attractive. The number of studies
304 on photocatalytic water treatment in which alternative UV sources, such as solar energy
305 and light emitting diodes (LEDs) are used is increasing (Blanco-Galvez et al., 2006,
306 Levchuk et al., 2015, Spasiano et al., 2015, Vilhunen et al., 2011). Thus, many
307 photocatalysts active in solar and/or visible light have been developed recently
308 (Booshehri et al., 2017, Bouhadoun et al., 2015, Iwase et al., 2013, Morawski et al.,
309 2017, Ratova et al., 2019, Rosman et al., 2018, Sano et al., 2008). It is worth making a
310 point that majority of these photocatalytic materials possess relatively low
311 photocatalytic activity and quantum efficiency. Hence, photo-Fenton is often applied as
312 alternative, despite its pH aggressiveness and requirements for consumables.

313 Pilot scale reactors for photocatalytic water treatment with LEDs as a radiation source
314 are appearing in the market (Apria Systems S.L., 2018). Taking into account, the fast
315 development of LEDs, it can be expected that LEDs can reach the level of industrial
316 implementation in the near future. In approx. 35% of the articles, the experiments were
317 conducted under solar radiation. For the simulation of solar radiation, xenon arc lamps
318 are often used (approx. 12% of the articles) (He et al., 2016). To the best of our
319 knowledge only one article reported the detoxification and purification of real
320 wastewater using UVA-LEDs as a radiation source in the last ten years (Jallouli et al.,
321 2018). Considering the fast development of LED technology and advances achieved in
322 this field in recent years it may be expected that more research will be conducted on the

323 photocatalytic detoxification and purification of real wastewater using LEDs as a
324 radiation source.

325 Conventional lamps are still utilised in research with various optical filters in order to
326 study photocatalytic reaction under UVC, UVB and/or UVA radiation. It should be
327 noted that in some articles the radiation intensity of the lamp is not provided and
328 photocatalytic activity is shown as a function of time. Such representation of the
329 experimental results, especially in the absence of lamp intensity, makes it extremely
330 difficult to compare the results with other studies. If the electrical consumption of a
331 lamp is provided, it can be possible to estimate the total energy supplied for the removal
332 of one ppm of TOC or COD, but it is a tedious procedure given the actual conditions of
333 reporting in the scientific literature.

334 *3.1.2.2. Types of wastewater*

335 When working with matrices of real wastewater (urban and/or industrial) a few issues
336 should be taken into consideration. On the one hand high concentration of dissolved
337 organic carbon (DOC) should be considered as it is competing for the oxidizing radicals
338 generated by applied AOP. In order to avoid this problem, biological treatment followed
339 by AOP is often suggested to be applied for wastewater containing CECs, which are not
340 highly toxic for biological process (Oller et al., 2011). In case when pollutants present
341 in wastewater possess high toxicity for biological treatment, it is often proposed to
342 apply first AOP and then continue with a biological treatment when the toxicity level of
343 the wastewater treated by AOP allows it. For wastewaters with extremely low
344 concentrations of CECs nanofiltration (for preconcentration of CECs) can be applied,
345 after which reject water with high concentration of CECs can be treated by AOP
346 (Miralles-Cuevas et al., 2014). On the other hand, there are other issues, such as high
347 levels of carbonates in wastewater, which generally decrease the efficiency of applied

348 AOP (possible solution – acidification of wastewater), phosphates and sulphates can
349 poison and/or coagulate catalysts, etc.

350 As shown in Fig. 2, the majority of studies on the detoxification and purification of real
351 wastewaters in the last ten years using photocatalysis and hybrid processes were
352 conducted with industrial wastewaters. In more than 60% of the studies on
353 photocatalytic wastewater detoxification, pre-treatment such as pH adjustment,
354 decreasing concentration of carbonates in water, etc. was applied prior to the
355 photocatalytic process. Both raw and treated industrial wastewater was studied.
356 Therefore, the concentrations of TOC, COD and BOD strongly varied depending on the
357 type of industry, type of the pre-treatment (if applicable), etc. It was reported that
358 photocatalytic treatment can be successfully applied as a pre-treatment method (before
359 biological treatment) for raw industrial wastewater leading to an increase of its
360 biodegradability and decrease of toxicity (Talwar et al., 2018) as well as the post-
361 treatment method (after biological treatment) for industrial wastewater effluents
362 allowing decomposing toxic pollutants (Saverini et al., 2012).

363 To the best of our knowledge, no studies were reported in the last ten years on the
364 photocatalytic detoxification and purification of industrial wastewater and/or
365 wastewater effluents for water reuse and/or recycling. Approx. 40% of revised articles
366 were devoted to the purification and detoxification of municipal wastewater (MWW)
367 effluents. Among these studies, MWW was mostly used as a matrix for spiking
368 emerging pollutants. Depending on the MWW effluent, the level of COD and dissolved
369 organic carbon (DOC) concentrations were approx. 33-55 mg L⁻¹ and 10-13 mg L⁻¹,
370 respectively. However, when the concentration of spiked contaminants was relatively
371 high, DOC was as high as 215 mg L⁻¹ (Jallouli et al., 2018). Taking into account
372 relatively low levels of COD, TOC, emerging pollutants (µg L⁻¹ or ng L⁻¹)

373 concentrations and disinfection in MWW effluents, it may be considered as a viable
374 source for water reuse, e.g. for recreational and/or agricultural irrigation, although
375 health risk assessment should be conducted due to potential presence of
376 pathogens/CECs in treated water (Malchi et al., 2014). However, no studies on
377 photocatalytic MWW effluent purification and detoxification considered the possible
378 reuse of MWW effluent by now.

379 3.1.3. Toxicity

380 Different approaches for acute and chronic toxicity evaluation were applied so far for
381 photocatalytically treated wastewater effluents such as bioassays with bacteria (He et
382 al., 2016, Nogueira et al., 2017, Talwar et al., 2018), seawater invertebrates (Hasegawa
383 et al., 2014, Lima et al., 2015, Souza et al., 2016), freshwater invertebrates (Çifçi and
384 Meriç, 2015), microalgae (He et al., 2016), plants (phytotoxicity) (Tsoumachidou et al.,
385 2017), mammalian cells (genotoxicity) (Saverini et al., 2012), etc. As reported in the
386 majority of the studies, after the photocatalytic treatment, the toxicity of wastewater
387 generally decreases. In approx. 44% of the studies on photocatalytic wastewater
388 treatment, the toxicity was monitored on the course of photocatalytic treatment.
389 Interestingly, in some studies a drastic increase of toxicity was reported during the
390 treatment of MWW effluents (Vela et al., 2018a, Vela et al., 2018b) as well as industrial
391 wastewater (Çifçi and Meriç, 2015, Saverini et al., 2012, Tichonovas et al., 2017). Such
392 behaviour was observed when *Vibrio fischeri* (Vela et al., 2018a, Vela et al., 2018b),
393 *Daphnia magna* (Çifçi and Meriç, 2015) and Ames test (Saverini et al., 2012) bioassays
394 were applied. Generally, this phenomenon can be attributed to possible photodissolution
395 of photocatalyst (Vela et al., 2018a), possible generation of more toxic by-products than
396 parental compounds (Vela et al., 2018b) and/or synergetic toxic effects appearing due to
397 the presence of many individual contaminants in water. An additional toxic effect can

398 be produced in case of hybrid photocatalysis processes, requiring the addition of
399 chemical agents such as H₂O₂, which is toxic for aquatic organisms. In case residual
400 H₂O₂ concentrations after treatment are relatively high, the elimination of H₂O₂ will be
401 required for the safe discharge or reuse of treated wastewater. For this purpose, filtration
402 through granular activated carbon (GAC) can be successfully applied (Rueda-Márquez
403 et al., 2015). Therefore, it would be interesting to check the toxicity of treated
404 wastewater before and after filtration through GAC without the preliminary removal of
405 H₂O₂ from water samples. Toxicity assessment is an important tool for the optimisation
406 of photocatalytic wastewater treatment when complete mineralisation is not a goal. The
407 results of toxicity assessment during the process can clearly indicate at which moment
408 more toxic by-products are generated and when these are decomposed. Therefore, it can
409 be suggested that the evaluation of toxicity on the course of photocatalytic wastewater
410 treatment is of high significance and should be conducted especially if the practical
411 application of photocatalysis is planned.

412 Toxicity tests applied for the photocatalytic detoxification of industrial wastewater
413 were: *Daphnia magna*, *Daphnia similis*, *Artemia salina*, *Vibrio fischeri*, Ames test
414 (*S.typhimurium*) and Kirby-Bauer method (zone inhibition using *E.coli*). In general, the
415 toxicity of industrial wastewater is higher than that of MWW effluents. Therefore, all
416 the tested bioassays were reported as an efficient tool for the toxicity assessment of
417 industrial wastewaters.

418 In the reviewed articles devoted to photocatalytic wastewater detoxification, the
419 following toxicity tests were applied for the assessment of MWW effluents and
420 synthetic greywater during photocatalytic treatment: *Vibrio fischeri* bioluminescence's
421 assay, *Daphnia magna* immobilisation test, *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*, *Anabaena*
422 *flos-aquae*, *Brachionus calyciflorus*, estrogenic test (HELN ER α cell line), genotoxicity

423 assessment (LS 174T cell line) and phytotoxicity test. The bioluminescence's assay
424 with *Vibrio fischeri* was among most widely used toxicity tests for MWW effluents.
425 Interestingly, the inhibition of *Vibrio fischeri* growth was reported for MWW effluents
426 spiked with contaminants at environmentally relevant (ng L^{-1} – $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) (Vela et al.,
427 2018a, Vela et al., 2018b) and irrelevant concentrations (mg L^{-1} – g L^{-1}) (Jallouli et al.,
428 2018). However, in some cases, the very low sensitivity of *Vibrio fischeri* was observed
429 even when MWW effluents spiked with the concentration of pollutants at the mg L^{-1}
430 level (Brienza et al., 2016, He et al., 2016). In spite of a large number of pollutants
431 detected in not spiked MWW effluent, the EC_{50} value for *Vibrio fischeri* of 80% was
432 reported (Brienza et al., 2016), which is non-toxic according to (Calleja et al., 1986).
433 Therefore, for the toxicity assessment of real MWW effluents, *Vibrio fischeri*
434 bioluminescence's assay may not be very sensitive. It was shown that a toxicity assay
435 with *P. subcapitata* is not very sensitive for MWW effluent (EC_{50} 98%), while its
436 sensitivity drastically increases when MWW effluents are spiked with pollutants at mg
437 L^{-1} level (Brienza et al., 2016, He et al., 2016). Similar behaviour was reported for
438 *Daphnia magna* and *Brachionus calyciflorus* (Brienza et al., 2016). In spiked MWW
439 effluent, the growth inhibition of *Anabaena flos-aquae* was reported to be approx. 70%
440 and -20% (growth stimulation) before and after treatment, respectively (He et al., 2016).
441 The growth stimulation was attributed to the presence of organic matter, which is the
442 nutrition source for *Anabaena flos-aquae* as well as the decomposition of toxic
443 contaminants (He et al., 2016). Therefore, the toxicity assay with *Anabaena flos-aquae*
444 and other cyanobacteria may not be very representative for MWW due to relatively high
445 organic load serving as a source of nutrition. The very high sensitivity of the estrogenic
446 toxicity test was reported for not spiked MWW effluent, more specifically, estrogenic
447 activity was detected in MWW effluent when it was not possible to detect any known

448 estrogenic compound using sophisticated chemical analysis (liquid chromatography -
449 mass spectrometry) (Brienza et al., 2016). These results suggest that the estrogenic
450 toxicity test is a very promising tool for MWW effluents. Genotoxicity (LS 174T cell
451 line) of not spiked MWW effluent was not detected neither before no after
452 photocatalytic treatment (Brienza et al., 2016). The phytotoxicity of synthetic greywater
453 before and after hybrid photocatalytic process was tested using the seeds of *Sorghum*
454 *saccharatum*, *Lepidium sativum*, *Sinapis alba* (Tsoumachidou et al., 2017). The *Sinapis*
455 *alba* was the most sensitive among the tested plants. A phytotoxicity assay might be a
456 valuable tool if MWW effluent is planned to be reused for the purpose of irrigation.

457 Photocatalytic ozonation was efficient for the detoxification of IWW as well as MWW
458 effluents. Interestingly, in the majority of reviewed studies devoted to wastewater
459 detoxification by photocatalytic ozonation, bioassays with freshwater invertebrate
460 (*Daphnia*) were implemented. Other bioassays (*Vibrio fischeri*, *Pseudokirchneriella*
461 *subcapitata*) as well as genotoxicity and cytotoxicity tests were also used. In general,
462 photocatalytic ozonation was efficient for the decrease of wastewater toxicity and all the
463 implemented bioassays were efficient. Tichonovas and co-authors assessed toxicity
464 (*Daphnia magna*) of IWW during photocatalytic ozonation (Tichonovas et al., 2017).
465 They reported a drastic increase of *Daphnia magna* mortality during the process
466 followed by a significant decrease (reaching zero) at the end of the treatment. These
467 results were explained by the higher acute toxicity of degradation by-products than
468 parental pollutants.

469 Taking into account the possible practical application of photocatalytic wastewater
470 treatment, a preliminary cost evaluation should be performed. For instance, the
471 operational cost of the hybrid photocatalytic process was reported to be \$45.17 m⁻³
472 (Bansal et al., 2018). Energy consumption can also be very valuable information, based

473 on which a cost estimation can be conducted. The photocatalytic ozonation is often
474 considered to be expensive for wastewater treatment (Mehrjouei et al., 2015). In the
475 reviewed articles, estimations of energy required for the detoxification of WW were
476 suggested. Thus, photocatalytic ozonation was reported to be the most energy efficient
477 treatment among those studied with the energy requirements 4.49-41.08 MJ/g-TOC
478 (Tichonovas et al., 2017). Another study suggested that the required energy for
479 photocatalytic ozonation varies from 7.3 to 22.0 kWh/m³ (Mecha et al., 2017).

480 **4. Conclusions**

481 In this work, the feasibility of photocatalysis for toxicity elimination from real
482 wastewaters is critically discussed. Such aspect of photocatalysis detoxification of real
483 wastewater as photocatalytic materials and its reactivation, types of wastewater and
484 bioassays were discussed. Main outcomes of this work are as follows:

- 485 • While photocatalytic wastewater detoxification and purification shows
486 potential, most works (>70%) considered in the scope of this review were
487 conducted on the laboratory scale.
- 488 • Most studied photocatalytic materials for real wastewater detoxification both in
489 form of powder and thin films are TiO₂ and ZnO.
- 490 • Studies devoted to separation and/or recovery and reuse of photocatalytic
491 materials used for real wastewater detoxification are lacking.
- 492 • Only few studies were conducted on real wastewater detoxification using
493 photocatalysts in a form of thin film. There is lack of information on behaviour
494 of thin films (detachment of photocatalyst, photodissolution, etc.) during
495 photocatalytic detoxification of real wastewater.
- 496 • The evaluation of the photocatalytic treatment costs for real wastewater
497 detoxification by photocatalysis is not always available.

- 498 • Based on this revised literature, it can be suggested that standard tests with
499 species such as *Daphnia magna*, *Vibrio fishceri*, *Pseudokirchneriella*
500 *subcapitata* and *Brachionus calyciflorus* might not be sensitive enough when
501 detoxification of municipal wastewater is studied.
- 502 • In some cases, toxicity assessment may be even more sensitive than chemical
503 analysis. It is expected that future studies devoted to the detoxification of
504 wastewater by photocatalysis will implement batteries of bioassays (including
505 biosensors) for a more comprehensive evaluation of water toxicity.
- 506 • The use of TiO₂ and other photocatalysts for real wastewater treatment has not
507 been investigated as deep as with other AOPs due to the clear reasons, i.e.
508 efficiency of the process strongly decreases in presence of complex mixture of
509 organic pollutants and high levels of DOC, etc. It can be expected that future
510 studies devoted to development of nanomaterials for similar applications might
511 consider issues related to real wastewater matrix.
- 512 • The existing gap between materials research and application studies for real
513 wastewater is an actual barrier, which limits further development of application
514 of photocatalysis for real wastewater treatment.

515

516 **Acknowledgments**

517 Authors would like to express sincere gratitude to Professor Manuel Manzano
518 (University of Cadiz) for fruitful discussions and valuable advices during preparation of
519 this review. Dr. Rueda-Marquez is thankful for financial support from Academy of
520 Finland within the project "Combination of Advanced Oxidation Processes and
521 Photobiotreatment for Sustainable Resource Recovery and Wastewater Reuse". PFI
522 acknowledges the financial support of the the Global Challenges Research Fund
523 (GCRF) UK Research and Innovation (SAFEWATER; EPSRC Grant Reference
524 EP/P032427/1).

525

References

- Ahamed, M., Akhtar, M.J., Alhadlaq, H.A., 2019. Preventive effect of TiO₂ nanoparticles on heavy metal Pb-induced toxicity in human lung epithelial (A549) cells. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 18-27
- Ahmed, S., Ollis, D.F., 1984. Solar photoassisted catalytic decomposition of the chlorinated hydrocarbons trichloroethylene and trichloromethane. *Solar Energy*. 5, 597-601
- Al-Sayyed, G., D'Oliveira, J., Pichat, P., 1991. Semiconductor-sensitized photodegradation of 4-chlorophenol in water. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 1, 99-114
- Apria Systems S.L., 2018. Advanced Oxidation Equipment through UV Photocatalysis for R&D Activities
- Arcanjo, G.S., Mounteer, A.H., Bellato, C.R., Silva, L.M.M.d., Brant Dias, S.H., Silva, P.R.d., 2018. Heterogeneous photocatalysis using TiO₂ modified with hydrotalcite and iron oxide under UV–visible irradiation for color and toxicity reduction in secondary textile mill effluent. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 154-163
- Bansal, P., Verma, A., Talwar, S., 2018. Detoxification of real pharmaceutical wastewater by integrating photocatalysis and photo-Fenton in fixed-mode. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 838-848
- Barbeni, M., Morello, M., Pramauro, E., Pelizzetti, E., Vincenti, M., Borgarello, E., Serpone, N., 1987. Sunlight photodegradation of 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy-acetic acid and 2,4,5-trichlorophenol on TiO₂. Identification of intermediates and degradation pathway. *Chemosphere*. 6, 1165-1179
- Barndöck, H., Hermosilla, D., Han, C., Dionysiou, D.D., Negro, C., Blanco, A., 2016. Degradation of 1,4-dioxane from industrial wastewater by solar photocatalysis using immobilized NF-TiO₂ composite with monodisperse TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 44-52
- Belgiorno, V., Rizzo, L., Fatta, D., Della Rocca, C., Lofrano, G., Nikolaou, A., Naddeo, V., Meric, S., 2007. Review on endocrine disrupting-emerging compounds in urban wastewater: occurrence and removal by photocatalysis and ultrasonic irradiation for wastewater reuse. *Desalination*. 1, 166-176
- Berberidou, C., Kitsiou, V., Lambropoulou, D.A., Antoniadis, A, Ntonou, E., Zalidis, G.C., Poulis, I., 2017. Evaluation of an alternative method for wastewater treatment containing pesticides using solar photocatalytic oxidation and constructed wetlands. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 133-139
- Biancullo, F., Moreira, N.F.F., Ribeiro, A.R., Manaia, C.M., Faria, J.L., Nunes, O.C., Castro-Silva, S.M., Silva, A.M.T., 2019. Heterogeneous photocatalysis using UVA-LEDs for the removal of antibiotics and antibiotic resistant bacteria from urban wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 304-313

- Bideau, M., Claudel, B., Dubien, C., Faure, L., Kazouan, H., 1995. On the “immobilization” of titanium dioxide in the photocatalytic oxidation of spent waters. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A*, 2, 137-144
- Biglari, H., Afsharnia, M., Alipour, V., Khosravi, R., Sharafi, K., Mahvi, A.H., 2017. A review and investigation of the effect of nanophotocatalytic ozonation process for phenolic compound removal from real effluent of pulp and paper industry. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 4, 4105-4116
- Blaise, C., Gagné, F., Férard, J.F., Eullaffroy, P., 2008. Ecotoxicity of selected nano-materials to aquatic organisms. *Environ. Toxicol.* 5, 591-598
- Blanco-Galvez J., Fernández-Ibáñez P., Malato-Rodríguez S., 2006. Solar Photocatalytic Detoxification and Disinfection of Water: Recent Overview. *Journal of Solar Energy Engineering*, 4-15
- Booshehri, A.Y., Polo-Lopez, M.I., Castro-Alfárez, M., He, P., Xu, R., Rong, W., Malato, S., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2017. Assessment of solar photocatalysis using Ag/BiVO₄ at pilot solar Compound Parabolic Collector for inactivation of pathogens in well water and secondary effluents. *Catalysis Today*, 124-134
- Bouhadoun, S., Guillard, C., Dapozze, F., Singh, S., Amans, D., Bouclé, J., Herlin-Boime, N., 2015. One step synthesis of N-doped and Au-loaded TiO₂ nanoparticles by laser pyrolysis: Application in photocatalysis. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 367-375
- Boxall, A.B., Tiede, K., Chaudhry, Q., 2007. Engineered nanomaterials in soils and water: how do they behave and could they pose a risk to human health?
- Boyle, D., Goss, G.G., 2018. Effects of silver nanoparticles in early life-stage zebrafish are associated with particle dissolution and the toxicity of soluble silver. *NanoImpact*, 1-8
- Brienza, M., Mahdi Ahmed, M., Escande, A., Plantard, G., Scrano, L., Chiron, S., Bufo, S.A., Goetz, V., 2016. Use of solar advanced oxidation processes for wastewater treatment: Follow-up on degradation products, acute toxicity, genotoxicity and estrogenicity. *Chemosphere*, 473-480
- Brunner, T.J., Wick, P., Manser, P., Spohn, P., Grass, R.N., Limbach, L.K., Bruinink, A., Stark, W.J., 2006. In vitro cytotoxicity of oxide nanoparticles: comparison to asbestos, silica, and the effect of particle solubility. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 14, 4374-4381
- Bundschuh, M., Filser, J., Lüderwald, S., McKee, M.S., Metreveli, G., Schaumann, G.E., Schulz, R., Wagner, S., 2018. Nanoparticles in the environment: where do we come from, where do we go? *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 1, 1-17
- Calleja, A., Baldasano, J., Mulet, A., 1986. Toxicity analysis of leachates from hazardous wastes via Microtox and *Daphnia magna*. *Environ. Toxicol.* 1, 73-83

- Canesi, L., Ciacci, C., Balbi, T., 2015. Interactive effects of nanoparticles with other contaminants in aquatic organisms: Friend or foe? *Mar. Environ. Res.*, 128-134
- Carp, O., Huisman, C.L., Reller, A., 2004. Photoinduced reactivity of titanium dioxide. *Progress in Solid State Chemistry*. 1–2, 33-177
- Chong, M.N., Jin, B., Chow, C.W.K., Saint, C., 2010. Recent developments in photocatalytic water treatment technology: A review. *Water Res.* 10, 2997-3027
- Çifçi, D.I., Meriç, S., 2015. Optimization of Suspended Photocatalytic Treatment of Two Biologically Treated Textile Effluents Using TiO₂ and ZnO Catalysts. *Global NEST Journal*, 653-653 - 663
- De La Torre Roche, R., Pagano, L., Majumdar, S., Eitzer, B.D., Zuverza-Mena, N., Ma, C., Servin, A.D., Marmiroli, N., Dhankher, O.P., White, J.C., 2018. Co-exposure of imidacloprid and nanoparticle Ag or CeO₂ to Cucurbita pepo (zucchini): Contaminant bioaccumulation and translocation. *NanoImpact*, 136-145
- Doll, T.E., Frimmel, F.H., 2005. Cross-flow microfiltration with periodical backwashing for photocatalytic degradation of pharmaceutical and diagnostic residues—evaluation of the long-term stability of the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂. *Water Research*. 5, 847-854
- Du, J., Tang, J., Xu, S., Ge, J., Dong, Y., Li, H., Jin, M., 2018. A review on silver nanoparticles-induced ecotoxicity and the underlying toxicity mechanisms. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 231-239
- Fan, X., Wang, P., Wang, C., Hu, B., Wang, X., 2017. Lead accumulation (adsorption and absorption) by the freshwater bivalve *Corbicula fluminea* in sediments contaminated by TiO₂ nanoparticles. *Environmental Pollution*, 712-721
- Fenoll, J., Garrido, I., Flores, P., Hellín, P., Vela, N., Navarro, G., García-García, J., Navarro, S., 2019. Implementation of a new modular facility to detoxify agro-wastewater polluted with neonicotinoid insecticides in farms by solar photocatalysis. *Energy*, 722-729
- Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Blanco, J., Malato, S., de las Nieves, F.J., 2003. Application of the colloidal stability of TiO₂ particles for recovery and reuse in solar photocatalysis. *Water Research*. 13, 3180-3188
- François, G., Mélanie, D., Marlène, F., Michel, F., 2015. Effects of a municipal effluent on the freshwater mussel *Elliptio complanata* following challenge with *Vibrio anguillarum*. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 91-99
- Franklin, N.M., Rogers, N.J., Apte, S.C., Batley, G.E., Gadd, G.E., Casey, P.S., 2007. Comparative toxicity of nanoparticulate ZnO, bulk ZnO, and ZnCl₂ to a freshwater microalga (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*): the importance of particle solubility. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 24, 8484-8490

- Ganiyu, S.O., van Hullebusch, E.D., Cretin, M., Esposito, G., Oturan, M.A., 2015. Coupling of membrane filtration and advanced oxidation processes for removal of pharmaceutical residues: A critical review. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 891-914
- Gholami, N., Ghasemi, B., Anvaripour, B., Jorfi, S., 2018. Enhanced photocatalytic degradation of furfural and a real wastewater using UVC/TiO₂ nanoparticles immobilized on white concrete in a fixed-bed reactor. *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 291-301
- Gracia-Lor, E., Sancho, J.V., Serrano, R., Hernández, F., 2012. Occurrence and removal of pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants at the Spanish Mediterranean area of Valencia. *Chemosphere*. 5, 453-462
- Hartmann, N.B., Baun, A., 2010. The nano cocktail: ecotoxicological effects of engineered nanoparticles in chemical mixtures. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management: An International Journal*. 2, 311-313
- Hartmann, N.B., Legros, S., Von der Kammer, F., Hofmann, T., Baun, A., 2012. The potential of TiO₂ nanoparticles as carriers for cadmium uptake in *Lumbricus variegatus* and *Daphnia magna*. *Aquatic toxicology*, 1-8
- Hasegawa, M.C., Feijó de Souza Daniel, J., Takashima, K., Batista, G.A., da Silva, S.M., 2014. COD removal and toxicity decrease from tannery wastewater by zinc oxide-assisted photocatalysis: a case study. *Environ. Technol.* 13, 1589-1595
- He, Y., Sutton, N.B., Rijnaarts, H.H.H., Langenhoff, A.A.M., 2016. Degradation of pharmaceuticals in wastewater using immobilized TiO₂ photocatalysis under simulated solar irradiation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 132-141
- Herrmann, J., 1999. Heterogeneous photocatalysis: fundamentals and applications to the removal of various types of aqueous pollutants. *Catalysis Today*. 1, 115-129
- Hidaka, H., Kubota, H., Graätzel, M., Pelizzetti, E., Serpone, N., 1986. Photodegradation of surfactants II: Degradation of sodium dodecylbenzene sulphonate catalysed by titanium dioxide particles. *Journal of Photochemistry*. 2, 219-230
- Huang, H., Li, W., 2011. Destruction of toluene by ozone-enhanced photocatalysis: performance and mechanism. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 3-4, 449-453
- Iglesias, O., Rivero, M.J., Urriaga, A.M., Ortiz, I., 2016. Membrane-based photocatalytic systems for process intensification. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 136-148
- Iwase, M., Yamada, K., Kurisaki, T., Prieto-Mahaney, O.O., Ohtani, B., Wakita, H., 2013. Visible-light photocatalysis with phosphorus-doped titanium(IV) oxide particles prepared using a phosphide compound. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 39-44
- Jallouli, N., Pastrana-Martínez, L.M., Ribeiro, A.R., Moreira, N.F.F., Faria, J.L., Hentati, O., Silva, A.M.T., Ksibi, M., 2018. Heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation

of ibuprofen in ultrapure water, municipal and pharmaceutical industry wastewaters using a TiO₂/UV-LED system. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 976-984

Kabra, K., Chaudhary, R., Sawhney, R.L., 2004. Treatment of hazardous organic and inorganic compounds through aqueous-phase photocatalysis: a review. *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 24, 7683-7696

Kagaya, S., Shimizu, K., Arai, R., Hasegawa, K., 1999. Separation of titanium dioxide photocatalyst in its aqueous suspensions by coagulation with basic aluminium chloride. *Water Research.* 7, 1753-1755

Kahru, A., Dubourguier, H., 2010. From ecotoxicology to nanoecotoxicology. *Toxicology.* 2, 105-119

Käkinen, A., Kahru, A., Nurmsoo, H., Kubo, A., Bondarenko, O.M., 2016. Solubility-driven toxicity of CuO nanoparticles to Caco2 cells and Escherichia coli: Effect of sonication energy and test environment. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 172-179

Karaolia, P., Michael-Kordatou, I., Hapeshi, E., Drosou, C., Bertakis, Y., Christofilos, D., Armatas, G.S., Sygellou, L., Schwartz, T., Xekoukoulotakis, N.P., Fatta-Kassinou, D., 2018. Removal of antibiotics, antibiotic-resistant bacteria and their associated genes by graphene-based TiO₂ composite photocatalysts under solar radiation in urban wastewaters. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 810-824

Klitzke, S., Metreveli, G., Peters, A., Schaumann, G.E., Lang, F., 2015. The fate of silver nanoparticles in soil solution—sorption of solutes and aggregation. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 54-60

Kudo, A., Miseki, Y., 2009. Heterogeneous photocatalyst materials for water splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 1, 253-278

Lara-Martín, P.A., González-Mazo, E., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D., Brownawell, B.J., 2014. Occurrence, distribution and partitioning of nonionic surfactants and pharmaceuticals in the urbanized Long Island Sound Estuary (NY). *Marine Pollution Bulletin.* 2, 710-719

Lee, S., Kim, S., Choi, J., 2009. Genotoxicity and ecotoxicity assays using the freshwater crustacean *Daphnia magna* and the larva of the aquatic midge *Chironomus riparius* to screen the ecological risks of nanoparticle exposure. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology.* 1, 86-91

Levchuk, I., Rueda-Márquez, J.J., Suihkonen, S., Manzano, M.A., Sillanpää, M., 2015. Application of UVA-LED based photocatalysis for plywood mill wastewater treatment. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 1-5

Levchuk, I., Guillard, C., Dappozze, F., Parola, S., Leonard, D., Sillanpää, M., 2016. Photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ films immobilized on aluminum foam by atomic layer deposition technique. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 16-23

- Levchuk, I., Sillanpää, M., Guillard, C., Gregori, D., Chateau, D., Chaput, F., Lerouge, F., Parola, S., 2016. Enhanced photocatalytic activity through insertion of plasmonic nanostructures into porous TiO₂/SiO₂ hybrid composite films. *Journal of Catalysis*, 117-124
- Li, Y., Jiao, Z., Yang, N., Gao, H., 2009. Regeneration of nano-ZnO photocatalyst by the means of soft-mechanochemical ion exchange method. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, S69-S72
- Li, X., He, J., 2013. Synthesis of raspberry-like SiO₂-TiO₂ nanoparticles toward antireflective and self-cleaning coatings. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*. 11, 5282-5290
- Lima, C.S., Batista, K.A., García Rodríguez, A., Souza, J.R., Fernandes, K.F., 2015. Photodecomposition and color removal of a real sample of textile wastewater using heterogeneous photocatalysis with polypyrrole. *Solar Energy*, 105-113
- Ma, J., Chen, Y., Nie, J., Ma, L., Huang, Y., Li, L., Liu, Y., Guo, Z., 2018. Pilot-scale study on catalytic ozonation of bio-treated dyeing and finishing wastewater using recycled waste iron shavings as a catalyst. *Scientific reports*. 1, 7555
- Malchi, T., Maor, Y., Tadmor, G., Shenker, M., Chefetz, B., 2014. Irrigation of root vegetables with treated wastewater: evaluating uptake of pharmaceuticals and the associated human health risks. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 16, 9325-9333
- Martín-de-Lucía, I., Gonçalves, S.F., Leganés, F., Fernández-Piñas, F., Rosal, R., Loureiro, S., 2019. Combined toxicity of graphite-diamond nanoparticles and thiabendazole to *Daphnia magna*. *Science of The Total Environment*, 1145-1154
- Mecha, A.C., Onyango, M.S., Ochieng, A., Momba, M.N., 2017. Ultraviolet and solar photocatalytic ozonation of municipal wastewater: Catalyst reuse, energy requirements and toxicity assessment. *Chemosphere*, 669-676
- Mehrjouei, M., Müller, S., Möller, D., 2015. A review on photocatalytic ozonation used for the treatment of water and wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 209-219
- Menard, A., Drobne, D., Jemec, A., 2011. Ecotoxicity of nanosized TiO₂. Review of in vivo data. *Environmental Pollution*. 3, 677-684
- Metreveli, G., Philippe, A., Schaumann, G.E., 2015. Disaggregation of silver nanoparticle homoaggregates in a river water matrix. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 35-44
- Mills, A., Le Hunte, S., 1997. An overview of semiconductor photocatalysis. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A*. 1, 1-35
- Miralles-Cuevas, S., Audino, F., Oller, I., Sánchez-Moreno, R., Sánchez Pérez, J.A., Malato, S., 2014. Pharmaceuticals removal from natural water by nanofiltration combined with advanced tertiary treatments (solar photo-Fenton, photo-Fenton-like Fe(III)-EDDS complex and ozonation). *Separation and Purification Technology*, 515-522

- Miranda-García, N., Suárez, S., Maldonado, M.I., Malato, S., Sánchez, B., 2014. Regeneration approaches for TiO₂ immobilized photocatalyst used in the elimination of emerging contaminants in water. *Catalysis Today*. 0, 27-34
- Morawski, A.W., Kusiak-Nejman, E., Wanag, A., Kapica-Kozar, J., Wróbel, R.J., Ohtani, B., Aksienionek, M., Lipińska, L., 2017. Photocatalytic degradation of acetic acid in the presence of visible light-active TiO₂-reduced graphene oxide photocatalysts. *Catalysis Today*, 108-113
- Moreira, N.F.F., Narciso-da-Rocha, C., Polo-López, M.I., Pastrana-Martínez, L.M., Faria, J.L., Manaia, C.M., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., Nunes, O.C., Silva, A.M.T., 2018. Solar treatment (H₂O₂, TiO₂-P25 and GO-TiO₂ photocatalysis, photo-Fenton) of organic micropollutants, human pathogen indicators, antibiotic resistant bacteria and related genes in urban wastewater. *Water Research*, 195-206
- Moreira, N.F.F., Sampaio, M.J., Ribeiro, A.R., Silva, C.G., Faria, J.L., Silva, A.M.T., 2019. Metal-free g-C₃N₄ photocatalysis of organic micropollutants in urban wastewater under visible light. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 184-192
- Nogueira, V., Lopes, I., Rocha-Santos, T., Gonçalves, F., Pereira, R., 2017. Treatment of real industrial wastewaters through nano-TiO₂ and nano-Fe₂O₃ photocatalysis: case study of mining and kraft pulp mill effluents. *Environ. Technol.*, 1-11
- Nogueira, V., Lopes, I., Rocha-Santos, T., Gonçalves, F., Pereira, R., 2015. Toxicity of solid residues resulting from wastewater treatment with nanomaterials. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 172-178
- Ohtani, B., 2011. Photocatalysis by inorganic solid materials: Revisiting its definition, concepts, and experimental procedures. *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, 395-430
- Ohtani, B., 2013. Titania photocatalysis beyond recombination: A critical review. *Catalysts*. 4, 942-953
- Ohtani, B., 2010. Photocatalysis A to Z—What we know and what we do not know in a scientific sense. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology C: Photochemistry Reviews*. 4, 157-178
- Oller, I., Malato, S., Sánchez-Pérez, J.A., 2011. Combination of Advanced Oxidation Processes and biological treatments for wastewater decontamination—A review. *Sci. Total Environ*. 20, 4141-4166
- Ollis, D.F., 2000. Photocatalytic purification and remediation of contaminated air and water. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series IIC-Chemistry*. 6, 405-411
- Pan, X., Zhang, N., Fu, X., Xu, Y., 2013. Selective oxidation of benzyl alcohol over TiO₂ nanosheets with exposed {001} facets: Catalyst deactivation and regeneration. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 181-187

- Patchaiyappan, A., Saran, S., Devipriya, S.P., 2016. Recovery and reuse of TiO₂ photocatalyst from aqueous suspension using plant based coagulant - A green approach. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 7, 2107-2113
- Pintado-Herrera, M.G., González-Mazo, E., Lara-Martín, P.A., 2014. Atmospheric pressure gas chromatography–time-of-flight-mass spectrometry (APGC–ToF-MS) for the determination of regulated and emerging contaminants in aqueous samples after stir bar sorptive extraction (SBSE). *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1-13
- Qi, K., Cheng, B., Yu, J., Ho, W., 2017. Review on the improvement of the photocatalytic and antibacterial activities of ZnO. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 792-820
- Quinn, B., Gagné, F., Blaise, C., 2009. Evaluation of the acute, chronic and teratogenic effects of a mixture of eleven pharmaceuticals on the cnidarian, *Hydra attenuata*. *Science of The Total Environment*. 3, 1072-1079
- Quinn, B., Schmidt, W., O'Rourke, K., Hernan, R., 2011. Effects of the pharmaceuticals gemfibrozil and diclofenac on biomarker expression in the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) and their comparison with standardised toxicity tests. *Chemosphere*. 5, 657-663
- Rao, T.N., Tryk, D.A., Fujishima, A., 2003. Applications of TiO₂ photocatalysis. *Encyclopedia of Electrochemistry*
- Ratova, M., Tosheva, L., Kelly, P.J., Ohtani, B., 2019. Characterisation and properties of visible light-active bismuth oxide-titania composite photocatalysts. *Sustainable Materials and Technologies*, e00112
- Rosman, N., Salleh, W.N.W., Ismail, A.F., Jaafar, J., Harun, Z., Aziz, F., Mohamed, M.A., Ohtani, B., Takashima, M., 2018. Photocatalytic degradation of phenol over visible light active ZnO/Ag₂CO₃/Ag₂O nanocomposites heterojunction. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 602-612
- Rueda-Márquez, J.J., Pintado-Herrera, M.G., Martín-Díaz, M.L., Acevedo-Merino, A., Manzano, M.A., 2015. Combined AOPs for potential wastewater reuse or safe discharge based on multi-barrier treatment (microfiltration-H₂O₂/UV-catalytic wet peroxide oxidation). *Chem. Eng. J.* 0, 80-90
- Sano, T., Puzenat, E., Guillard, C., Geantet, C., Matsuzawa, S., 2008. Degradation of C₂H₂ with modified-TiO₂ photocatalysts under visible light irradiation. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*. 1, 127-133
- Santiago-Morales, J., Gómez, M.J., Herrera, S., Fernández-Alba, A.R., García-Calvo, E., Rosal, R., 2012. Oxidative and photochemical processes for the removal of galaxolide and tonalide from wastewater. *Water Research*. 14, 4435-4447
- Sauer, M.L., Ollis, D.F., 1996. Catalyst deactivation in gas–solid photocatalysis. *Journal of Catalysis*. 1, 215-217

Saverini, M., Catanzaro, I., Sciandrello, G., Avellone, G., Indelicato, S., Marci, G., Palmisano, L., 2012. Genotoxicity of citrus wastewater in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and efficiency of heterogeneous photocatalysis by TiO₂. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology*, 8-15

Shan, A.Y., Ghazi, T.I.M., Rashid, S.A., 2010. Immobilisation of titanium dioxide onto supporting materials in heterogeneous photocatalysis: A review. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 1–2, 1-8

Sordo, C., Van Grieken, R., Marugan, J., Fernández-Ibáñez, P., 2010. Solar photocatalytic disinfection with immobilised TiO₂ at pilot-plant scale. *Water Science and Technology*. 2, 507-512

Souza, R.P., Freitas, T.K.F.S., Domingues, F.S., Pezoti, O., Ambrosio, E., Ferrari-Lima, A.M., Garcia, J.C., 2016. Photocatalytic activity of TiO₂, ZnO and Nb₂O₅ applied to degradation of textile wastewater. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 9-17

Spasiano, D., Marotta, R., Malato, S., Fernandez-Ibáñez, P., Di Somma, I., 2015. Solar photocatalysis: Materials, reactors, some commercial, and pre-industrialized applications. A comprehensive approach. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 90-123

Sun, R., Nakajima, A., Watanabe, T., Hashimoto, K., 2003. Decomposition of gas-phase octamethyltrisiloxane on TiO₂ thin film photocatalysts—catalytic activity, deactivation, and regeneration. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A*. 2, 203-209

Talwar, S., Sangal, V.K., Verma, A., 2018. Feasibility of using combined TiO₂ photocatalysis and RBC process for the treatment of real pharmaceutical wastewater. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 263-270

Threrujirapapong, T., Khanitchaidecha, W., Nakaruk, A., 2017. Treatment of high organic carbon industrial wastewater using photocatalysis process. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management*, 163-168

Tichonovas, M., Krugly, E., Grybauskas, A., Jankūnaitė, D., Račys, V., Martuzevičius, D., 2017. Advanced oxidation-based treatment of furniture industry wastewater. *Environ. Technol.*, 1-8

Tso, C., Zhung, C., Shih, Y., Tseng, Y., Wu, S., Doong, R., 2010. Stability of metal oxide nanoparticles in aqueous solutions. *Water science and technology*. 1, 127-133

Tsoumachidou, S., Velegraki, T., Antoniadis, A., Poulios, I., 2017. Greywater as a sustainable water source: A photocatalytic treatment technology under artificial and solar illumination. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 232-241

Turan, N.B., Erkan, H.S., Engin, G.O., Bilgili, M.S., 2019. Nanoparticles in the aquatic environment: Usage, properties, transformation and toxicity—A review. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 238-249

United Nations, 2018. Minamata Convention on Mercury

- Vaiano, V., Iervolino, G., 2018. Facile method to immobilize ZnO particles on glass spheres for the photocatalytic treatment of tannery wastewater. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 192-199
- Vela, N., Calín, M., Yáñez-Gascón, M.J., Garrido, I., Pérez-Lucas, G., Fenoll, J., Navarro, S., 2018a. Photocatalytic oxidation of six endocrine disruptor chemicals in wastewater using ZnO at pilot plant scale under natural sunlight. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (25), 35, 34995-35007
- Vela, N., Calín, M., Yáñez-Gascón, M.J., Garrido, I., Pérez-Lucas, G., Fenoll, J., Navarro, S., 2018b. Photocatalytic oxidation of six pesticides listed as endocrine disruptor chemicals from wastewater using two different TiO₂ samples at pilot plant scale under sunlight irradiation. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 271-278
- Vevers, W.F., Jha, A.N., 2008. Genotoxic and cytotoxic potential of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles on fish cells in vitro. *Ecotoxicology*. 5, 410-420
- Vilhunen S., Puton J., Virkutyte J., Sillanpää, M., 2011. Efficiency of hydroxyl radical formation and phenol decomposition using UV light emitting diodes and H₂O₂. *Environmental Technology*, 865-872
- Wang, H., Wick, R.L., Xing, B., 2009. Toxicity of nanoparticulate and bulk ZnO, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ to the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Environmental Pollution*. 4, 1171-1177
- Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Wang, Y., Duan, D., Fan, C., 2015. Facile regeneration and photocatalytic activity of CuO-modified silver bromide photocatalyst. *Materials Science in Semiconductor Processing*, 613-620
- Wu, J., Ma, L., Chen, Y., Cheng, Y., Liu, Y., Zha, X., 2016. Catalytic ozonation of organic pollutants from bio-treated dyeing and finishing wastewater using recycled waste iron shavings as a catalyst: removal and pathways. *Water Res.*, 140-148
- Zhao, Y., Zhong, J., Li, H., Xu, N., Shi, J., 2002. Fouling and regeneration of ceramic microfiltration membranes in processing acid wastewater containing fine TiO₂ particles. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 1, 331-341
- Zhuang, H., Han, H., Hou, B., Jia, S., Zhao, Q., 2014. Heterogeneous catalytic ozonation of biologically pretreated Lurgi coal gasification wastewater using sewage sludge based activated carbon supported manganese and ferric oxides as catalysts. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 178-186.

Table 1 - Toxicity bioassays applied for assessment of wastewater detoxification after photocatalysis, photocatalytic ozonation and photocatalysis-based AOPs

AOP Process and Reference	Experimental conditions	Type of the water	Toxicity assessment	Main outcomes
TiO ₂ photocatalysis (Talwar et al., 2018)	Laboratory scale (UVC 20 W/m ² ; commercial TiO ₂ (Degussa) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ 0.6 g/L, pH 3.2, time 455 min	Real pharmaceutical industry WW. COD 12425 mg/L; BOD 1727 mg/L; pH 5.8; TDS 1600 mg/L; TSS 3180 mg/L; TS 4780 mg/L; BOD ₅ /COD 0.178.	<i>E.Coli</i> DH- α strain (Kirby-Bauer method)	Based on toxicity assessment it was suggested that photocatalytically treated pharmaceutical wastewater was not toxic.
TiO ₂ photocatalysis (Degussa P25) (Jallouli et al., 2018)	Laboratory scale (UVA-LEDs 375 W/m ² ; TiO ₂) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> natural pH and TiO ₂ loading 2.5 g/L for both municipal and pharmaceutical wastewater	Municipal WW effluent spiked with ibuprofen (6 μ g/L, 6 mg/L or 213 mg/L); DOC 215 mg/L; pH 7.3; conductivity 610 μ S/cm. Pharmaceutical industry WW was also used: DOC 170 mg/L; pH 7.9; conductivity 3770 μ S/cm; concentration of ibuprofen 213 mg/L.	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i> bioluminescence inhibition rate of municipal (spiked with 213 mg/L of ibuprofen) and pharmaceutical WW before photocatalysis was 78.3% and 73.9%, respectively. After 240 min of (optimal conditions) toxicity of both types of water significantly decreased leading to inhibition rates of 40.8% and 30.3% for municipal and pharmaceutical WW, correspondently.
TiO ₂ photocatalysis (Vela et al., 2018b)	Pilot scale (CPC plant; commercial TiO ₂ : Degussa P25 and Krono vlp 7000). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ 200 mg/L and Na ₂ S ₂ O ₈ 250 mg/L.	Sewage WW effluent spiked with malathion, fenothion, quinalphos, vinclozoline, dimethoate, fenarimol phtalate (0.3 mg/L of each one). COD 33.1 mg/L; DOC 10.8 mg/L; BOD ₅ 5 mg/L; SS 3.6 mg/L; turbidity 1.1 UNT; pH 7.2.	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	Initial value of <i>Vibrio fischeri</i> inhibition (60%, untreated wastewater) dropped to 27 \pm 6% (after treatment with vlp 7000) and 15 \pm 4% (after treatment with P25) after 240 min. For both photocatalysts significant increase of toxicity was observed after about 90 min of treatment, which was associated with generation of some stable intermediates.
TiO ₂ photocatalysis (Saverini et al., 2012)	Laboratory scale (MP lamp intensity of irradiation reaching solution (320-390 nm) 10 mW/cm ² ; TiO ₂ :Degussa P25) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ 0.4 g/L,	Treated WW from citrus fruit transformation factory was used for photocatalytic experiments TOC 21 mg/L.	Ames test (<i>S.typhimurium</i> strain TA100), viability of V79 Chinese hamster cells and Comet assay	High level of genotoxicity was observed for both types of WW (before and after treatment with activated sludge). Results of Comet assay demonstrated that 30% of V79 cells (after 1h treatment with 100 μ L of wastewater) were damaged. Exposure of <i>S.typhimurium</i> to water samples collected within 2h of photocatalytic test indicate relatively high level of genotoxicity,

TiO ₂ coated sand (He et al., 2016)	Laboratory scale (Xenon lamp 159 lux) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> depth of water column 0.1 m and 96 h of irradiation.	Effluent from urban WWTP spiked with PhACs (propranolol, diclofenac, carbamazepine, ibuprofen with concentration 5 mg/L each). BOD 6 mg/L; COD 35.2 mg/L; DOC 12.2 mg/L; pH 7.3.	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> , <i>Anabaena flos-aquae</i> and <i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	which significantly decreased after 2h of photocatalysis. Spiked PhACs inhibited growth of all tested microorganisms, among which green algae was the most sensitive (almost 100% of inhibition before treatment). After 96 h of treatment, growth inhibition of green algae decreased from almost 100% to 60%. Significant toxicity decline was observed for blue-green algae (from 70% of growth inhibition to -20%). No significant changes of <i>Vibrio fischeri</i> growths inhibition were observed during and after treatment.
Photocatalysis with TiO ₂ and TiO ₂ modified with hydrotalcite and iron oxide (HT/Fe/TiO ₂) (Arcanjo et al., 2018)	Laboratory scale (mercury vapor lamp; TiO ₂ and HT/Fe/TiO ₂). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ (2g/L and pH 4) and HT/Fe/TiO ₂ (2g/L and pH 10)	Textile mill WW effluent (secondary). COD 78 mg/L; DOC 25.7 mg/L; turbidity 15 TU; pH 9; conductivity 1608 µS/cm.	<i>Daphnia similis</i>	Based on results obtained with <i>D. similis</i> the toxicity of wastewater effluent was relatively low (EC ₅₀ 70.7%). After photocatalytic treatment with TiO ₂ , the toxicity of effluent decreased and EC ₅₀ was 95%. Interestingly, when HT/Fe/TiO ₂ was applied, the toxicity of treated effluent was higher (EC ₅₀ 78.6%) than in case of TiO ₂ .
ZnO photocatalysis (Vela et al., 2018a)	Pilot scale (CPC plant; UVC, UVB, UVA, VIS+NIR were 0.2 ± 0.1 W/m ² , 2.1 ± 0.6 W/m ² , 29.2 ± 4.1 W/m ² , 1011.6 ± 66.2 W/m ² , respectively). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> ZnO 200 mg/L and Na ₂ S ₂ O ₈ 250 mg/L. Concentration of oxygen 8 – 10 mg/L.	Sewage WW effluent spiked with endocrine disruptors. COD 33.1 mg/L; DOC 10.8 mg/L; BOD ₅ 5 mg/L; SS 3.6 mg/L; turbidity 1.1 UNT; pH 7.2.	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	The inhibition of <i>V. fischeri</i> exposed to WW before treatment was 70%. Slight increase of <i>V. fischeri</i> inhibition was detected after 30 min. After solar photocatalysis at optimal conditions (240 min) inhibition of <i>Vibrio fischeri</i> significantly decreased (11 ± 5%).
ZnO photocatalysis (Hasegawa et al., 2014)	Laboratory scale (mercury vapor lamp 1850 µW/cm ² ; ZnO). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> ZnO 1 g/L; pH 8.0 and irradiation time 4h.	Effluent from leather industry (filtered and diluted in distilled water). COD 15 023 ± 60 mg/L; TOC 4685 mg/L; BOD ₅ 4374 ± 0.1 mg/L; turbidity 331.0 ± 0.02 NTU; pH 3.5 ± 0.7.	<i>Artemia salina</i> L.	The LC ₅₀ of <i>Artemia salina</i> L. was 14.9% after 24h of exposure to raw wastewater. After photocatalytic treatment at optimal conditions the LC ₅₀ was 56.82%. Results indicate that toxicity of wastewater was decreased after photocatalysis with ZnO.

TiO ₂ and ZnO photocatalysis (Çifçi and Meriç, 2015)	Laboratory scale (16 UVA lamps 5.62 mW/cm ² ; TiO ₂ and ZnO) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ : pH 5, TiO ₂ 2 g/L, reaction time 3h; ZnO: pH 9, ZnO 2 g/L, reaction time 3h.	Two WW effluents from dyeing and finishing textile industry (WW1 and WW2). <u>WW1</u> : total COD 370 ± 74 mg/L, soluble COD 230 ± 15 mg/L, TOC 61 mg/L, pH 7.94, conductivity 5.15 µS/cm, alkalinity 436 mg CaCO ₃ /L. <u>WW2</u> : total COD 90 ± 9 mg/L, soluble COD 70 ± 4 mg/L, TOC 60 mg/L, pH 7.65, conductivity 4.50 µS/cm, alkalinity 246 mg CaCO ₃ /L.	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	When TiO ₂ was applied for treatment of WW1 at optimal conditions, the toxicity of water drastically increased at 120 min of contact time. This was attributed to formation of long chain byproducts after decomposition of aromatic compounds. After 180 min no toxicity was observed. When ZnO was used for treatment of WW2 at optimized conditions, slight increase of toxicity occur at 150 min of reaction (similar reason as in case of TiO ₂). No toxicity was detected after 180 min of photocatalytic treatment.
Photocatalysis with TiO ₂ , ZnO and Nb ₂ O ₅ (Souza et al., 2016)	Laboratory scale (mercury vapor lamp; TiO ₂ Kronos, TiO ₂ Degussa P25, ZnO Dynamic and Nb ₂ O ₅ , BCM). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> pH 3, concentration of photocatalyst 0.25 g/L, 300 min	Textile effluent from jeans industrial laundry. COD 558.50 ± 5.05 mg/L, BOD _{5, 20°} 170 mg/L, turbidity 113.0 ± 2.7 NTU	<i>Artemia salina</i>	Values of LC ₅₀ of <i>Artemia salina</i> before and after photocatalytic treatment (300 min, pH 3 and concentration of photocatalyst 0.25 g/L) were as follow: Effluent: 27.59%; TiO ₂ -P25: 90.86%; TiO ₂ Kronos: 61.62%; ZnO: 66.56%; Nb ₂ O ₅ : 77.52%. Results indicate that toxicity of textile wastewater effluent significantly decreased after photocatalytic treatment.
Photocatalysis with polypyrrole (Lima et al., 2015)	Laboratory scale (300 W Osram lamp 108 kJ/m ² s; polypyrrole) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> polypyrrole 5 mg/mL; 120 min	Textile WW. COD 1111.04 mg/L; TOC 156.75 mg/L.	<i>Artemia salina.</i>	Results demonstrated 96.7% of <i>Artemia</i> survival after treatment, indicating that treated water is relatively not toxic. Interestingly toxicity tests were not shown for wastewater before treatment.
O ₃ /UV/TiO ₂ (Tichonovas et al., 2017)	Laboratory scale (LP lamp; TiO ₂ (Aeroxide P25, Evonik) deposited on glass rods; O ₃ concentration 1.3 mg/L, air flow rate 11 L/min) <u>The best conditions among tested AOPs:</u> the most efficient AOPs were as follows TiO ₂ /UV/O ₃ > UV/O ₃ > TiO ₂ /UV. The	<i>Photocatalytic ozonation</i> Furniture industry WW after primary treatment. WW diluted 124.4 times: TOC 50 mg/L; COD 130 mg/L; conductivity 186 µS/cm; pH 6.7	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<u>TiO₂/UV/O₃ process (most efficient):</u> mortality (%) of <i>Daphnia magna</i> for initial wastewater was 13% after 72h. It drastically increased during treatment, thus, reaching almost 100% (48h and 72h) from 20 to 40 min. During 80-100 min, mortality drastically decreased reaching zero after 60 min (24h of exposure), 100 min

UV/O ₃ /ZnO (Biglari et al., 2017)	Laboratory scale (UV lamp 254 nm, 1020 μW/cm ² ; ZnO) <i>Optimal conditions:</i> ZnO 0.1 g/L, pH 5, O ₃ 9.2 mg/min, irradiation time 30 min.	WW effluent (pulp and paper industry). COD 4751 mg/L, BOD 386 mg/L, pH 6.2 – 8.7, iron 0.28 mg/L, bicarbonate 280 mg/L, phenol 61 ± 2 mg/L	Daphnia	(48h of exposure) and about 13% of mortality after 100 min for 72h of exposure. It was reported that treated wastewater was safe based on conducted toxicity assessment with daphnia.
UV and solar photocatalytic ozonation (Mecha et al., 2017)	Laboratory scale (MP lamp and sun; TiO ₂ and modified TiO ₂ photocatalysts)	Secondary WW effluent (spiked with 5000 μg/L of phenol). pH 6.8, COD 42 mg/L, DOC 20 mg/L.	MTT assay with Vero cells	Significant toxic effect was observed for untreated WW effluent (cell viability 28.7%). After application of photocatalytic ozonation the toxicity significantly decreased, leading to cell viability of 76% (UV/O ₃ /TiO ₂) and 80% (UV/O ₃ /TiO ₂ -Fe). After solar photocatalytic ozonation the cell viabilities were 58% (solar/O ₃ /TiO ₂) and 69% (solar/O ₃ /TiO ₂ -Fe).
O ₃ /H ₂ O ₂ O ₃ /UV O ₃ /Xe/Ce-TiO ₂ O ₂ /Xe/Ce-TiO ₂ (Santiago-Morales et al., 2012)	Laboratory scale (gas flow 0.19 N/m ³ h, O ₃ concentration 22 g/Nm ³ ; LP (6.01 ± 10 ⁻⁶ E/Ls) and Xe-arc (1.05 ± 10 ⁻⁶ E/Ls) lamps) <i>Optimal conditions:</i> H ₂ O ₂ (when applied) 30 μL/L, concentration of photocatalyst (when applied) 200 mg/L	Effluent from secondary clarifier from municipal WWTP spiked with 500 ng/L of galaxilide and tonalide was used. pH 7.79, COD 28 mg/L, NPOC 8.1 mg/L, CaCO ₃ 219 mg/L.	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> , <i>Vibrio fischeri</i> and <i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>P. subcapitata</i> bioassay: the toxicity increased after 15 min of treatment by photolysis (UV and Xe lamps), O ₃ /H ₂ O ₂ and Xe/Ce-TiO ₂ photocatalysis. After 15 min of O ₃ , O ₃ /UV and O ₃ /Ce-TiO ₂ treatment, toxicity of water decreased. <i>Daphnia magna</i> : For all tested processes toxicity decreased. Immobilization observed in raw WW was about 15%, after majority of tested processes this value was about 5%. <i>Vibrio fischeri</i> : Toxicity of waster increased after 15 min of photolysis and Xe/ Ce-TiO ₂ process. Decrease of toxicity was observed after applied O ₃ /UV, O ₃ /Xe and O ₃ / Ce-TiO ₂ .
Combination of photocatalysis, photo-Fenton and	Laboratory (UVA lamps 23 ± 2 W/m ²) and pilot scale experiments (mean intensity of solar UV+Visible light 788W/m ²)	<i>Hybrid photocatalysis-based processes</i> Real effluent from pharmaceutical industry . COD 4800 mg/L; BOD 830	<i>E.coli</i> (The Kirby-Bauer method) and zebra fish.	<u>The Kirby-Bauer method</u> : The biggest inhibition zone against <i>E.coli</i> was reported for untreated WW. During treatment, the inhibition zone was

iron oxide catalysis using Fe-TiO ₂ composite beds (Bansal et al., 2018)	<u>Optimal conditions:</u> H ₂ O ₂ dose: 1155 mg/L, pH 3-3.5, process time 6h and dose of Fe-TiO ₂ equal to 102% area of reactor bed covered with composite beds (under artificial radiation source).	mg/L; TDS 1320 mg/L; TSS 620 mg/L; turbidity 742 NTU; pH 5.07; chloride 25 mg/L; sulfate 526 mg/L.		decreasing, which corresponds to decrease of toxicity. <u>Zebra fish bioassay:</u> After 96h of bioassay zebra fish survival level was 100. The zebra fish toxicity assay was not conducted for untreated wastewater.
Solar-induced Fenton-assisted TiO ₂ photocatalytic hybrid process (Tsoumachidou et al., 2017)	Bench (UVA lamp 1.232·10 ⁻⁴ E/min) and pilot scale (solar radiation) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ 0.5 g/L, H ₂ O ₂ 0.5 g/L, Fe ³⁺ 0.0035 g/L	Synthetic effluent simulating the actual grey WW. DOC 93 mg/L; pH 3.36; conductivity 47.6 μS/cm	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i> , <i>Sorghum saccharatum</i> , <i>Lepidium sativum</i> , <i>Sinapis alba</i>	<i>V. fischeri</i> (5 min): 87% of inhibition (before treatment) and 10% (after 247.34 min); <i>V. fischeri</i> (15): 91% of inhibition (before treatment) and 18% (after 247.34 min). The EC ₅₀ values (15 min) significantly increased during treatment process. <i>Sinapis alba</i> was more sensitive to raw wastewater than other tested plants.
TiO ₂ /UV and Fe ₂ O ₃ /UV TiO ₂ /H ₂ O ₂ /UV and Fe ₂ O ₃ /H ₂ O ₂ /UV (Nogueira et al., 2017)	Laboratory scale (UV lamp with emission peak at 312 nm; commercial TiO ₂ and Fe ₂ O ₃). <u>Optimal conditions:</u> for pulp mill effluent: pH 3.0, TiO ₂ 0.75 g/L; Fe ₂ O ₃ 0.75 g/L, concentration of H ₂ O ₂ 75 mM). For mining WW: TiO ₂ 1 g/L; Fe ₂ O ₃ 1 g/L.	Bleach kraft pulp mill secondary WW effluent: COD 391 ± 2 mg/L, pH 8.8 ± 0.05. Acid mine drainage: pH 2.58 ± 0.07, S 402.3 ± 1.8 mg/L, Cu 1.0 ± 0.05 mg/L, Zn 48.0 ± 1.6 mg/L, As 1.2 ± 0.15 μg/L, Al 74.0 ± 0.7 mg/L, Pb 13.5 ± 1.05 μg/L, Cd 56.2 ± 1.5 μg/L	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i>	<i>Kraft pulp mill effluent:</i> toxicity of water after photocatalytic treatment with TiO ₂ (0.5g/L and 0.75 g/L) and Fe ₂ O ₃ (0.25 g/L and 1.0 g/L) slightly decreased. But the increase of toxicity was observed when other concentrations of TiO ₂ (0.25 g/L and 1.0 g/L) and Fe ₂ O ₃ (0.5 g/L and 0.75 g/L) were applied. Photocatalysis in combination with H ₂ O ₂ was more efficient for removal of toxicity with best results attributed to Fe ₂ O ₃ and 75 mM of H ₂ O ₂ . <i>Mining effluent:</i> toxicity decreased when photocatalytic treatment was applied using TiO ₂ and Fe ₂ O ₃ . Addition of H ₂ O ₂ led to decrease of toxicity, except in case of 5 min exposure when toxicity increased.
Solar photocatalysis and photo-Fenton (Brienza et al., 2016)	Pilot scale (average UV intensity 70 W/m ²) <u>Optimal conditions:</u> TiO ₂ (Evonik P25) 0.7 g/L; solar photo-Fenton was conducted with 100μM of iron sulfate, 200 μM of monopersulfate and sulfuric acid (initial pH	Municipal WW effluent after biological treatment. TOC 26.3 ± 0.6 mg/L; conductivity 669 ± 21 μS/cm; pH 7.2 ± 0.2.	<i>Vibrio fischeri</i> , <i>Daphnia magna</i> , <i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i> , <i>Brachionus calyciflorus</i> ,	The EC ₅₀ values obtained for <i>V. fischeri</i> , <i>D. magna</i> , <i>P. subcapitata</i> , and <i>B. calyciflorus</i> for initial WW were 80%, 90%, 98% and 90%, respectively (non-toxic). Estrogenic activity was detected in raw WW even when estrogen was

of water was adjusted to 2.6).

estrogenic tests (HELN ER α cell line); *In vitro* genotoxicity assessment (LS 174T cell line)

not possible to analyze by chemical analysis. Estrogenic activity did not decrease after solar photolysis, while after photocatalysis and photo-Fenton it was reduced. Genotoxicity before and after applied treatments was not detected.

Catalytic ozonation

Heterogeneous catalytic ozonation (Zhuang et al., 2014)	Laboratory scale <i>Optimal conditions</i> : sewage sludge based AC impregnated with Mn and Fe (1 g/L) and ZnCl ₂ as activation agent was used. O ₃ flow 500 mL/min, O ₃ concentration 15 mg/L.	Lurgi coal gasification WW after biological treatment was used. COD 130-180 mg/L, BOD ₅ /COD 0.05-0.07, TOC 45-60 mg/L, bicarbonate 40-60mg/L, pH 6.5-7.5.	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Changes in acute toxicity were monitored on the course of catalytic ozonation. Residual ozone was eliminated before toxicity assessment. Inhibition rate observed for WW prior catalytic ozonation was about 65%. Toxicity was decreasing during treatment reaching highest detoxification (15%) with Mn impregnated catalyst. During zonation slight increase of toxicity was detected in the beginning of the treatment. Catalytic ozonation was more efficient than ozonation for wastewater detoxification.
Heterogeneous catalytic ozonation (Wu et al., 2016)	Catalyst: iron shavings (38CrMoAl steel) 20 g/L; O ₃ 10.8 mg/L	WW effluent from dyeing and finishing industry. COD 142 ± 6 mg/L, DOC 44 ± 1 mg/L, BOD ₅ 1.0 ± 0.5 mg/L, pH 7.37 ± 0.14.	<i>Photobacterium phosphoreum</i>	The inhibition of bacteria for wastewater effluent before catalytic ozonation was 51%, whereas after treatment it was 33%. Results suggested decrease of wastewater effluent toxicity.
Heterogeneous catalytic ozonation (Ma et al., 2018)	Pilot scale (catalyst: iron shavings). <i>Optimal conditions</i> : O ₃ dosage 10.2 O ₃ /min, hydraulic retention time 30 min.	WW effluent from dyeing and finishing industry. COD 165 ± 20 mg/L, DOC 76 ± 6 mg/L.	<i>Photobacterium phosphoreum</i>	After treatment of wastewater by catalytic ozonation at optimal conditions, the toxicity slightly decreased. Thus, the inhibitory effect for untreated wastewater was 29.3 ± 3% and for treated affluent 25 ± 2%