



Fused Deposition Modeling as an Effective Tool for Anti-Infective Dialysis Catheter Fabrication

Essyrose, M., Domínguez-Robles, J., Stewart, S., Mancuso, E., O'Donnell, K., Larraneta, E., & Lamprou, D. (2019). Fused Deposition Modeling as an Effective Tool for Anti-Infective Dialysis Catheter Fabrication. *ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering*, 5(11), 6300-6310. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbomaterials.9b01185>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering

Publication Status:
Published (in print/issue): 11/11/2019

DOI:
[10.1021/acsbomaterials.9b01185](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbomaterials.9b01185)

Document Version
Author Accepted version

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

Fused Deposition Modelling as an Effective Tool for Anti-Infective Dialysis Catheter Fabrication

Essyrose Mathew, Juan Domínguez-Robles, Sarah Stewart, Elena Mancuso, Kieran O'Donnell, Eneko Larraneta, and Dimitrios A. Lamprou

ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/
acsbiomaterials.9b01185 • Publication Date (Web): 23 Sep 2019

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on September 23, 2019

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

1
2
3 **Fused Deposition Modelling as an Effective Tool for Anti-Infective Dialysis Catheter**
4 **Fabrication**
5

6 Essyrose Mathew,^{1,⊥} Juan Domínguez-Robles,^{1,⊥} Sarah A. Stewart,¹ Elena Mancuso,² Kieran
7 O'Donnell,² Eneko Larrañeta,^{1,*} Dimitrios A. Lamprou^{1,*}
8
9

10
11 ¹ School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, UK
12

13 ² Nanotechnology and Integrated Bio-Engineering Centre (NIBEC), Ulster University,
14 Jordanstown campus, UK
15

16 [⊥]These authors contributed equally to this work
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Corresponding authors:**
25

26 *School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, UK
27

28 e.larraneta@qub.ac.uk
29

30 d.lamprou@qub.ac.uk
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 **Abstract:** Catheter associated infections are a common complication that occurs in dialysis
6 patients. Current strategies to prevent infection include catheter coatings containing heparin,
7 pyrogallol or silver nanoparticles, which all have an increased risk of causing resistance in
8 bacteria. Therefore, a novel approach for manufacture, such as the use of additive
9 manufacturing (AM), also known as 3D-printing, is required. Filaments were produced by
10 extrusion using thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) and Tetracycline Hydrochloride (TC) in various
11 concentrations (e.g. 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 1%). The extruded filaments were used in a fused
12 deposition modelling (FDM) 3D-printer to print catheter constructs at varying concentrations.
13 Release studies in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), microbiology studies, thermal analysis,
14 contact angle, ATR-FTIR, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-ray Micro Computer
15 Tomography (μ CT) analysis were conducted on the printed catheters. The results suggested that
16 TC was uniformly distributed within the TPU matrix. The microbiology testing of the catheters
17 showed that devices containing TC had an inhibitory effect on the growth of *Staphylococcus*
18 *aureus* NCTC 10788 bacteria. Catheters containing 1% TC maintained inhibitory effect after
19 10-day release studies. After an initial burst release in the first 24 h, there was a steady release
20 of TC in all concentrations of catheters. 3D-printed antibiotic catheters were successfully printed
21 with inhibitory effect on *S. aureus* bacteria. Finally, TC containing catheters showed resistance
22 to *S. aureus* adherence to their surfaces when compared with catheters containing no TC.
23 Catheters containing 1% of TC showed a bacterial adherence reduction of up to 99.97%.
24 Accordingly, the incorporation of TC to TPU materials can be effectively used to prepare anti-
25 infective catheters using FDM. This study highlights the potential for drug impregnated medical
26 devices to be created through AM.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Keywords:** 3D-printing; Additive Manufacturing; Fused Deposition Modelling; Extrusion;
47 Catheters; Dialysis; Drug Release.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM), also known as 3D-printing, is an area which has gained a lot of interest in recent years due to its wide range of applications.¹⁻³ 3D-printing, allows a model which is created using computer aided design to be formed into a physical object. For this purpose, a wide variety of materials can be used, ranging from synthetic polymers to biomolecules.³⁻⁷ Due to this, AM has a wide variety of applications in diverse fields ranging from aerospace engineering to medical applications.⁴ AM includes a wide variety of different types of techniques. All these techniques use different types of substrates such as resins, powders, gels or ceramics among others.⁸ However, they all have one thing in common, they produce 3D object adding material layer by layer.⁸ One of the most common and inexpensive types of AM is fused deposition modelling (FDM). FDM requires the use of a polymeric filament that is pushed through a hot nozzle to melt the polymer and, subsequently, generating the required object.⁸

Diverse medical applications of 3D-printing have been described including orthopaedics, tissue engineering or the manufacture of medical devices.⁹⁻¹³ 3D-printing technology allows design and fabrication of structures based on images captured from patients with medical imaging techniques (i.e. magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computer tomography (CT)).³ Accordingly, 3D-printing can be used to prepare medical devices adapted to a particular patient's needs. Conventional fabrication techniques cannot offer this versatility.

Biocompatible materials such as poly(lactic acid) or thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) have been used extensively for 3D-printing applications.^{4,14-16} This polymer very desirable for medical applications due to its resiliency, inertness in the body and blood compatibility.¹⁷ Moreover, it has been previously used for healthcare 3D printing applications such as the preparation of bolus for radiation therapy¹⁸⁻²⁰ or the development of stents for enterocutaneous fistula surgery.²¹ The later work shows not only that the material is biocompatible, but also that 3D printing of TPU devices can be prepared for patient benefit.

One potential application of 3D-printing is the manufacture of medical devices such as catheters,²² as it opens up the potential for creating patient matched devices specific to their anatomy. This would allow the potential for on demand patient matched devices to be created in hospital settings. Moreover, the versatility of 3D-printing to use different types of materials can be used to add drugs, such as antibiotics, to the resulting structure.^{6,23,24} This is interesting for catheter manufacturing due to the tendency of these medical devices to be colonised by bacteria. A high percentage of catheter-related infections occur due to gram positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*.²⁵ This is especially problematic for dialysis catheters.

1
2
3 Catheter-related infections (CRI) in dialysis patients are the second major cause of death among
4 these patients and significantly increase the treatment cost.^{26,27} *Staphylococcus aureus* in
5 Haemodialysis (HD) patients and *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in
6 peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients are the most common causative organisms isolated. Patients
7 with CRI are hospitalised more frequently than the general population, and CRI contributes to
8 the higher associated mortality of dialysis patients compared to the general population.
9 Considering that in the UK around 30,000 people are receiving dialysis treatment,²⁸ it is
10 estimated that catheter related infections of these patients are costing the UK National Health
11 Service (NHS) ca. £1.4 million per year.²⁷ Accordingly, the use of 3D-printing has potential to
12 manufacture anti-infective catheters adapted to patient's needs.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 In the present work, FDM will be explored for first time to our knowledge, to prepare catheters
22 containing tetracycline (TC) to prevent bacterial colonisation of the resulting catheters. FDM
23 works by extruding a polymer in successive layers onto a build plate where it is then cooled and
24 solidified. For this purpose, the selected polymer was TPU, a flexible polymer that has been
25 extensively used for biomedical applications (including catheter manufacturing).^{29–32} Before
26 printing the catheters prototypes, TPU filaments containing the antibiotic were required.
27 Accordingly, hot-melt extrusion (HME) was used to prepare these filaments. The resulting
28 catheters were characterised by a variety of microscopic and spectroscopic techniques, and their
29 antimicrobial properties were evaluated.

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 **2. Experimental Section**

37 38 39 **2.1. Materials**

40
41 Elastollan[®], thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) elastomer pellets with 75A shore hardness were
42 kindly provided by DISTRUPOL Ltd (Dublin, Ireland). Tetracycline Hydrochloride (TC) drug was
43 purchased from Sigma Aldrich, (Dorset, UK). Castor oil was obtained from Ransom (Hitchin, UK).
44 Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) at pH 7.4 prepared using PBS tablets Merck (Darmstadt,
45 Germany).

46
47
48
49
50 *Staphylococcus aureus* NCTC 10788 was maintained on cryopreservative beads in 10% glycerol
51 at -80°C and grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB) at 37°C when
52 required for the microbiological assessments. Moreover, to perform the different *in vitro*
53 microbiological assays, several broths and solutions were used. For the zone of inhibition assay,
54 LB agar and LB soft agar were used. Additionally, for the *in vitro* adherence assay Mueller-Hinton
55 agar (MHA) and tryptone soya broth (TSB), as well as quarter-strength Ringers Solution (QSRS)
56 and PBS were used.

2.2. Preparation of TC Containing TPU filaments and catheters

HME was used to combine the drug and polymer in order to create filaments which would be used for 3D-printing. To ensure an even coating of TC on the surface of the TPU pellets, an oil method for coating was used.²³ Briefly, a 50 mL Falcon tube was filled with TPU pellets (40 g). Then, castor oil (40 μ L) was added into the tube and it was vortexed until the pellets were properly coated. Subsequently, these oil coated pellets were transferred to a new 50 mL Falcon tube to avoid loss of drug, by sticking to leftover oil, on the walls of tube. TC was then added in ratios of 0.25% w/w, 0.5% w/w and 1% w/w and vortexed to evenly coat all pellets. Coated pellets were added to filament extruder (3Devo, Utrecht, The Netherlands) at extrusion speed of 5 rpm and filament fan speed of 90%. Finally, the temperature was adjusted through a control panel positioned at the side of the extruder and it was between 170 and 190°C, due to the existence of 4 heaters.

2.3. Preparation of TC Containing Catheters Using 3D-Printing.

Once the filaments were extruded, catheters with 5 mm external diameter and 2mm internal diameter, as well as squares of 10 mm x 10 mm x 1 mm were 3D-printed using an Ultimaker 3 (Ultimaker B.V., Geldermalsen, The Netherlands) fused filament fabrication (FFF) system and Cura[®] software. The Ultimaker 3 FFF system was equipped a 0.4 mm nozzle. It is important to note that this equipment is a RepRap Open Source FFF equipment.³³ The optimised printing parameters can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. 3D printing parameters used for catheter production.

Print-head temperature (°C)	Bed temperature (°C)	Layer height (mm)	Speed (mm/s)	Infill density (%)
215	60	0.1	12	100

2.4. Characterisation of TPU filaments and 3D printed catheters.

2.4.1. Microscopy

The morphologies of the filaments and the 3D printed catheters were assessed using a Leica EZ4 W digital microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). The microscope was equipped with fluorescence filters (excitation 440–460 nm and emission 500 nm) (Nightsea, Lexington, MA, USA) to evaluate the distribution of TC within the filaments. Moreover, the surface morphology of the 3D-printed catheters containing TC (0.25, 0.5 and 1.0%) and without TC, before and after the 10-days

1
2
3 release study were analysed using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Hitachi TM3030, Tokyo,
4 Japan).

6 7 *2.4.2. Mechanical properties*

9 TA.XTplus texture analyser (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) was used to evaluate the elastic
10 modulus and the fracture force of the resulting filaments. Pieces of filament of 6 cm were cut
11 and clamped vertically into the texture analyser. The distance between both clamps was 2 cm.
12 The filament was vertically stretched at a rate of 50 cm/min. The texture analyser stretched the
13 filament up to 20 cm. Subsequently the elastic modulus was calculated from the slope from the
14 obtained stress/strain curves.
15
16
17
18

19 20 *2.4.3. Infrared Spectroscopy*

21 The Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra of the resulting TC containing TPU materials were
22 recorded using a Spectrum Two™ instrument (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) by the
23 attenuated total reflectance (ATR) technique. The spectra were recorded from 4000 cm⁻¹ to
24 600 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and a total of 16 scans were collected.
25
26
27
28

29 30 *2.4.4. Thermogravimetric analysis*

31 As the elastomer is exposed to high temperatures during the printing process, the thermal
32 degradation behaviour of the polymer was examined. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was
33 performed to measure the weight loss of the TC containing TPU materials. For this purpose,
34 small fragments of the filaments containing 0% and 1% TC concentration were used. TGA was
35 performed on a Q500 Thermogravimetric analysis (TA instruments, Bellingham, WA, USA). Scans
36 were run from room temperature to 550°C, at a heating rate of 10°C/min under a nitrogen flow.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 44 *2.4.4. Contact angle*

45 The contact angle of water with the surface of the resulting TC containing TPU materials was
46 evaluated using an Attension Theta equipment (Attension Theta, Biolin Scientific, Gothenburg,
47 Sweden). OneAttension software analysed results to give an indication of the wettability of the
48 surface.
49
50
51

52 53 *2.4.4. X-ray microcomputer tomography*

54 X-ray Micro Computer Tomography (μCT) scans were also performed on 3D-printed catheters.
55 A Bruker Skyscan 1275, with a Hamamatsu L11871 source (40kV, 250μA) and 3 MP active pixel
56 CMOS flat panel detector was used. Samples were mounted vertically on dental wax and
57 positioned 59.791 mm from the source, where camera to source distance was 286 mm. No filter
58
59
60

1
2
3 was applied for an exposure time of 49 ms. The images generated were 1944x1413 pixels with
4 a resolution of 17 μm per pixel. A total of 1056 images were taken in 0.2° steps around one
5 hemisphere of the sample, with an average of 3 frames taken at each rotation step.
6
7

8
9 The images were collected, and subsequently a volumetric reconstruction of each sample was
10 generated using Bruker's CTvol software. Attenuation thresholding was conducted manually, in
11 order to eliminate speckle around the samples. The same thresholding was applied within
12 Bruker's CTan software, where the samples were further processed.
13
14

15 16 **2.5. TC release Study**

17
18 A release study was performed to calculate the amount of TC eluting from the resulting
19 catheters. Catheters were placed in Eppendorf's containing 1 mL of PBS which were placed in a
20 shaking incubator at 37°C and 40 rpm. Samples were taken at predetermined time intervals
21 (each 24 h for 10 days). Catheters were removed from the Eppendorf's, dried and transferred to
22 new Eppendorf's containing 1 mL of fresh PBS. The concentration of TC was evaluated using a
23 UV-visible plate reader (PowerWave XS Microplate Spectrophotometer, Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT,
24 USA) at a wavelength of 363 nm.
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **2.6. *In Vitro* Microbiological Analysis**

32
33 Printed catheters were tested for inhibitory effect on a bacterial culture of *S. aureus*. For this
34 purpose, catheters at each concentration (0 -1% of TC) were cut into 4 x 2 mm discs using a
35 scalpel. Two sets of catheters discs were tested. Catheters that were used directly after
36 3D-printing were referred to as unwashed (UW) catheters, and catheters that were submitted
37 to a release in PBS media for 10 days were referred to as washed (W) catheters. Then, UW and
38 W catheter discs were placed in a Class II Microbiological Safety Cabinet (BioMAT2) and left
39 under UV light for 30 min on each side of the disc to be sterilised. To perform this experiment,
40 80 μl of a saturated culture of *S. aureus* was added to 5 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) soft agar. This
41 mixture was then poured on top of the LB agar plate. Catheter discs were placed in the centre
42 of the plate and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. The inhibition zone diameters were then
43 measured in mm. Furthermore, three inoculated plates with no catheter discs were also
44 incubated as a positive control.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54 Additionally, an *in vitro* assay of bacterial adhesion onto these printed catheters was performed.
55 For this purpose, squares (with the following dimensions: 1cm x 1cm x 0.1cm) were 3D-printed
56 using the same filaments as for the catheters containing 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% TC. This
57 analysis was carried out in accordance with previous published work.^{34,35} In brief, a bacterial
58
59
60

1
2
3 suspension of *S. aureus* (1×10^8 cfu mL⁻¹) in PBS and supplemented with 0.5% TSB (pH 7), was
4 diluted (1:100) with PBS containing 0.5% TSB. Replicate samples of the abovementioned
5 3D-printed squares were placed in individual wells of a sterile 24-well plate containing 1 mL of
6 the respective bacterial suspension with a density of 1×10^6 cfu mL⁻¹. The plate was continuously
7 shaken in an orbital incubator at 37°C for 24 hours. The samples were then removed from the
8 24-well plate containing the bacterial suspension and the nonadherent bacteria were removed
9 by serial washing, first in PBS (1 x 10 mL), and secondly, in QSRS (3 x 10 mL).³⁶ Samples were
10 then transferred into fresh QSRS (5mL), sonicated for 15 min and vortexed for 30 s to remove
11 adherent bacteria. The sonication technique has previously been demonstrated not to affect
12 bacterial viability or morphology.³⁷ A viable count of the QSRS was performed by the Miles and
13 Misra serial dilution technique³⁸ followed by plating onto Mueller-Hinton agar to enumerate the
14 previously adhered bacteria per sample. Percentage reductions in the number of adherent
15 bacteria to each sample (UW and W catheters containing 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% TC) relative to the
16 control samples (UW and W catheters containing 0% TC) were calculated.

27 **2.7. Statistical Analysis**

28
29 Quantitative data was expressed as a mean \pm standard deviation, n=3. The statistical analysis
30 was performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), $p < 0.05$ was considered to be
31 statistically significant.

35 **3. Results and Discussion**

37 **3.1. Preparation and Characterisation of TC Containing TPU Materials**

38
39 Extrusion of the TPU pellets containing the different TC concentrations produced smooth
40 filaments of 2.85 mm in diameter (Figure 1A). Moreover, the colour of the filament darkened as
41 the mass of TC added increased, confirming that an increased amount of TC is present in the
42 extruded filaments. It can be inferred that the TC was mixed successfully with the TPU matrix.
43 This can be confirmed by microscopy (Figure 1A), which show that TC was dissolved in the
44 molten TPU within the extruder, as the filaments are homogeneous showing no visible TC
45 aggregates. Moreover, fluorescence images did not show any TC aggregate within the material
46 (Figure 1B). Additionally, Figure 1C shows the cross section of the filaments. The filaments
47 showed homogeneous distribution of TC along the filament and accordingly this suggest that
48 the mixing process in the extrusion was successful and that the drug and the polymer should be
49 dissolved within the TPU matrix. Moreover, this can be confirmed by SEM, Figure S1 (supporting
50 information). These SEM images of the cross section of blank TPU and TPU containing 1% of TC
51 filaments showed no visible TC crystals or aggregates in the filaments.

1
2
3 The good miscibility between TPU and TC can be explained by their chemical structures. The TPU
4 used in the present work contains aromatic groups within its polyurethane units.³⁹ On the other
5 hand, TC contains a linear fused tetracyclic nucleus structure including a benzene ring and
6 multiple conjugated double bonds.⁴⁰ Accordingly, the interaction between the aromatic
7 moieties of TPU and TC can explain the good miscibility between these two compounds. The
8 interactions between TPU and TC have been previously reported by Okoli *et al.*⁴¹ These reported
9 interactions were based on π - π interactions between the aromatic moieties within TPU and TC.

10
11 TPU filaments prepared containing TC were tested to evaluate if the presence of TC influenced
12 their mechanical properties. Figure 1D shows the elastic modulus of the filaments as a function
13 of the TC content. It is noticeable that the presence of TC did not alter the elastic modulus of
14 the materials ($p > 0.05$). Moreover, the filaments were stretched up to 2000% of the initial
15 length. None of the tested specimens fractured during the test.

16
17 To the best of our knowledge, there is no report of this type of TPU being used for 3D-printing
18 applications. However, Christ *et al.* described the use of TPU Elastollan 1185A, a similar type of
19 TPU, reinforced with carbon nanotubes for 3D-printing applications.⁴² The obtained elastic
20 modulus for these filaments were higher than the ones reported here. However, this type of
21 TPU has a higher shore hardness (85A vs 70A) and higher inherent elastic modulus. Moreover,
22 the addition of 1% of carbon nanotubes to the TPU significantly increased the elastic modulus
23 by more than a 50%. Interestingly, the presence of 1% of TC did not alter the elastic modulus of
24 the filaments. Considering that TC was dissolved within the TPU during the extrusion, it can be
25 concluded that there are interactions between the drug and the polymer. However, the results
26 obtained suggest that the amount of drug incorporated into the polymers was not alter the
27 mechanical properties of the material.

28
29 FTIR spectroscopy was used to evaluate if there were any interaction between TPU and TC
30 (Figure 1E). The FTIR of TC showed peaks assigned to O-H (ca. 3460 cm^{-1}), N-H (ca. 3450 cm^{-1}),
31 C=O (1600-1700 cm^{-1}) and =C-N (ca.1460 cm^{-1}) bonds.⁴³ On the other hand, TPU showed the
32 characteristic peaks for the urethane group (C=O stretching vibration ca. 1700 cm^{-1} and N-H
33 vibrations 3300-3400 cm^{-1}).⁴⁴ The FTIR spectra of TPU containing 1% of TC did not any of the
34 characteristic TC peaks or any peak shift. This could be due to the low drug content of the
35 materials. However, it is obvious by the colour of the resulting materials (Figure 1A-1C) that the
36 drug was blended in the TPU matrix. These results are similar to the ones obtained by Okoli *et*
37 *al.*⁴¹ This work described the development of a TPU-based magnetic composite for TC removal
38 from aqueous environments. In this work, the only reported changes in the FTIR spectra were
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 related with the interactions between TC and the Fe within the structure. No other FTIR peaks
4 showed changes that can suggest any interactions between TPU and TC.
5
6

7 FTIR spectroscopy and mechanical testing were not showing differences between TPU and TC
8 containing materials. Accordingly, thermal analysis was performed to establish if there were any
9 interactions between TC and TPU. TGA analysis of TPU and TPU containing 1% of TC showed
10 differences between these two materials (Figure 1F). The material containing TC showed an
11 improved thermal resistance. For this purpose, the onset temperatures (T_{onset}) for both materials
12 were measured. T_{onset} denotes the temperature at which the weight loss begins. As can be seen
13 in Figure 1F, the T_{onset} of the TPU is shifted to a higher T_{onset} (from 306.5 to 322.7°C) after the
14 addition of 1% of TC (1%). This is largely due to the existing interaction between the drug and
15 the TPU polymeric matrix. These results suggest that there are interactions between TC and TPU.
16 TC is preventing TPU degradation. Similar results were reported before when TPU was combined
17 with Schiff base additives.⁴⁴ These compounds acted as flame retardant when combined with
18 TPU. Hydrogen bonding interactions of O-H groups in the Schiff base compounds with the C=O
19 of the urethane group in TPU were reported. TC has multiple O-H groups capable of establishing
20 this type of interaction.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Finally, water contact angle with TPU and TC loaded TPU materials was evaluated (Figure 1G).
32 The obtained values ranged between 90 and 105°. Accordingly, it can be established that all the
33 prepared materials were hydrophobic in nature as water contact angle values were higher than
34 90°. ⁴⁵ No significant differences were obtained between all the TC containing TPU materials
35 ($p > 0.05$). However, the contact angle showed lower values when the TC concentration was
36 increased from 0.5 to 1%. In the present study, TC was used in its hydrochloride salt form.
37 Accordingly, this drug is a hydrophilic drug. This explains why materials containing higher TC
38 loadings showed “lower” hydrophilicity.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 1 (A). Microscopy (A) and fluorescent microscopy (B) images of TPU filaments containing 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% of TC. Cross section microscopy image of the filaments (C). Elastic modulus of the TC containing filaments (D). FTIR-ATR of TC containing TPU materials (E). TG curves TC containing TPU materials (0% and 1% TC) (F). Contact angle of water with the surface of TC containing TPU materials (G).

3.2. Preparation of TC Containing Catheters Using 3D-Printing.

TPU and TPU/TC filaments were used to produce catheters using fused deposition modelling. Figure 2 shows fragments of catheters produced with the previously mentioned materials. It can be seen that catheters containing higher TC loadings showed darker colours (Figure 2A). Moreover, due to the inherent flexibility of TPU the resulting catheters were flexible (Figure 2B and 2C). Central venous lines require the presence of cuffs to provide stability once they are in place. Figure 2D shows that the technique can be used to prepare more sophisticated catheters such as cuffed catheters. Moreover, FDM can be used to prepare independent cuffs with and without antibiotic (Figure 2D). The development of antiseptic cuffs to prevent catheter-related infections has been previously described.⁴⁶ However, the literature report that the most used bactericidal agent for this purpose was silver and it showed limited effectivity.^{47,48} Accordingly, we believe that the use of antibiotic, such as TC, will significantly reduce bacterial colonisation of the material. The results showed in the present paper are a proof of concept and, accordingly, the catheters used for further studies were on lumen catheters. However, catheters used for HD or central venous lines present more complex designs including more than one lumen.⁴⁹ Figure 2E-2G shows that FDM can be used to prepare these types of designs. Finally, it is important to note that liquid can flow through the catheters without leaking. To illustrate this a methylene

1
2
3 blue solution was injected through a 3D printed catheter (Figure 2H). During the process, no
4 leakage was observed.
5
6

7
8 The use of 3D-printing catheters has been described before.^{22,24} However, these publications
9 described the concept and showed some examples of catheters prepared using poly(lactic acid)
10 (PLA),^{23,24} a commonly used 3D-printing material. This is not a good material for this application
11 as full catheters cannot be produced using this material as it is not flexible. Realistically, these
12 catheters cannot be used in patients.²² The catheters described here are flexible (Figure 2) as
13 they are made of TPU. This is the same material that is used to prepare catheters and central
14 venous lines.⁵⁰ Moreover, FDM allows the on demand preparation of catheters and adaption
15 to the needs of the patient. This is especially interesting for children that require catheters with
16 non-conventional sizes.⁵¹
17
18
19
20
21
22



23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Figure 2. Catheters produced by Ultimaker3 Printer at concentrations of 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% TC showing their flexibility (A, B and C). Longer catheters with and without integrated cuffs, and spare printed cuffs with and without antibiotics (D). Different designed catheters used for dialysis and central venous lines (with two lumens) (E). Injection of a methylene blue solution (0.5mg/mL) through a TPU catheter.

SEM was used to characterise the surface of the printed catheters. These images show smooth uniform layers in the images before release (Figure 3). As can be seen in the Figure 3, there were no visible modifications in the layered structure of the catheters after the release study. FDM is an AM technique that creates 3D objects by sequential layer deposition.⁵² SEM images showed the layers of the catheters printed using this technique. No differences are observed between blank catheters and TC loaded catheters.

The surface of the catheter's present certain degree of roughness and some minor defects. However, the prepared catheters did not leak as shown in Figure 2H. The work described here is a proof of concept and more work need to be developed before these materials can be used in real patients. One of the key aspects that can be improved for future developments is the surface roughness. There are different techniques that can be applied to improve it. The easiest one is use solvent vapour to smooth the surface of the prints.⁵³ However, this adds an additional step to the process and it is not ideal. An alternative to this is to implement alternative ways of printing. Nonplanar 3D printing can be used to obtain smoother surfaces.^{54,55} This is not a new type of 3D printing but a new software algorithm to treat computer generated objects before printing. Accordingly, this novel software technique can be implemented in conventional FDM printers.





Figure 3. SEM micrography of 3D-printed catheters at concentrations of 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% before and after the release study. The scale bar represents 500 μm.

The 3D-printed sample architectures and topologies were analysed through the Bruker Skyscan 1172 system μ CT. As it could be seen in the 3D volume reconstruction (Figure S2 in the supplementary material section), performed by using the Bruker's CTvol software, the drug incorporation did not affect the morphology of the 3D-printed samples, which were very similar

1
2
3 for all TC concentrations. In addition, even at the highest drug concentration (Figure S2E-S2F) all
4 the samples were characterised by a continuous outer shell. In accordance to other studies
5 published in the literature,⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ and where similar manufacturing processes were applied, this
6 might be due the different dimensional range between the polymer, acting as a matrix, and the
7 drug.
8
9

10
11
12 The representative images of the samples' cross sections are shown in Figure 4. It has been
13 found that the topology of control specimens (Figure 4A-4B) was comparable to those samples
14 characterised by a low drug dose (see Figure 4C-4D). The presence of the drug particles was
15 more evident in the samples loaded with 1% of TC, as can be seen in Figure 4E, and for which
16 the washing process did not affect the drug retention (Figure 4F).
17
18
19



41
42 **Figure 4.** μ CT cross section images of 0% TC W catheter (A) 0% TC UW catheter (B) 0.25% TC UW
43 catheter (C) 0.5% TC UW catheter (D) 1% TC UW catheter (E) and 1% TC W catheter (F).
44

45 Furthermore, it is possible to appreciate the distribution of the drug within the 3D structure
46 (Figure 5). The presence of the drug in each one of the 3D-printed specimens was assessed by
47 further analysis and 3D reconstructions. As reported in Figure 5, the distribution of the drug
48 within the 3D volumes was uniform and proportional to the concentration loaded. Moreover,
49 even in this case it was demonstrated that the release step (Figure 5F) did not affect the drug
50 retention, if compared to the UW catheter (Figure 5E).
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. 3D reconstruction of the drug distribution in the 3D-printed volume of the 0% TC W catheter (A) 0% TC UW catheter (B) 0.25% TC UW catheter (C) 0.5% TC UW catheter (D) 1% TC UW catheter (E) and 1% TC W catheter (F).

3.3. Release Studies

Drug release from catheter structures was analysed using UV analysis. A similar pattern of release was followed by the concentration of drug at 0.25% TC and 0.5% TC (Figure 6). There is an initial burst release of TC released in the first day and then the amount released begins to plateau after the third day. However, catheters containing 1% of TC had a much higher amount of TC released initially compared to the previous ones ($p < 0.05$). At the end of the ten days, there is still an increasing level of TC release for the catheters containing 1% of TC. Although these catheters had a much larger release of TC, this was around 4% release of the total loaded drug after ten days.




Figure 6. Cumulative TC release from 3D-printed catheters containing 0.25%, 0.5% and 1% of TC (Means \pm S.D; n = 3).

The initial burst release of drug from all of the catheters may be due to the presence of TC on the surface of the catheters. The TC present in the outermost layers of the catheter constructs would have a smaller diffusion distance into the surrounding medium and so may have caused the initial burst release of drug. The abovementioned PLA catheters containing gentamicin sulphate (GS) or methotrexate (MTX) showed a similar pattern to the present study, an initial burst release during the first few hours followed by a steady release.²⁴

After ten days, the catheter containing 1% of TC was still releasing and only 4% of the drug was released. This sustained drug release profile may be due to the hydrophobic nature (Figure 1G) of the TPU polymer, which would therefore slow water penetration into polymer matrix. Therefore, this catheter has potential to have prolonged antibacterial effects, as it will be discussed in the following section.

3.4. *In Vitro* Microbiological Analysis

Printed catheters were tested for antimicrobial effect on a bacterial culture of *S. aureus*. UW catheters and W catheters were used for this assay. The results of the zone of inhibition are presented in the Figure 7A-7B. A zone of inhibition indicates that *S. aureus* either at the surface of the catheters or even for an area extending outwards from the catheters surface are inhibited. Both, UW and W catheters containing TC exhibited zones of inhibition. As expected, the control catheters containing no TC had no zones of inhibition. The zones of inhibition were increased by increasing the amount of TC. However, statistical analysis showed that there were no significant

differences between the zones of inhibition caused by UW catheters containing 0.25% and 0.5% TC, and the same was observed for W catheters at the same concentrations. ($p > 0.05$). Therefore, it can be inferred that increase in TC concentration from 0.25% to 0.5% did not have a significant impact on the zones of inhibition produced. However, there was a clear increase in the zone of inhibition from 0.25% to 1% ($p < 0.05$) for both UW and W catheters.

On the other hand, comparing UW with W catheters, at 0.25% and 0.5% TC concentrations, there was a decrease in the zones of inhibition after ten days releasing TC in PBS ($p < 0.05$). The average zone of inhibition for W catheters at 0.25% and 0.5% TC concentrations were 6.0 and 7.6 mm, respectively, compared to 9.3 mm and 11.5 mm before the releasing step (UW catheters). Therefore, less TC is diffusing out of the W catheters compared to the UW ones. Nevertheless, there was no significant differences between the halos found for both UW and W catheters at 1% TC ($p > 0.05$), which is in line with the obtained drug release profile for the catheters containing 1% of TC.




Figure 7. Correlation between the diameter of the inhibition zone of *S. aureus* and the concentration of TC (A). Positive *S. aureus* control and agar plates showing zones of inhibition of UW and W catheters (B). Microbial adherence (%) of *S. aureus* to UW and W catheters after 24 h at 37°C p(HEMA) and copolymers of 2-HEMA and conjugates1–3 relative to the p(HEMA) homopolymer, denoted control, after 4 and 24 h incubation at 37°C. Columns and error bars represent means \pm SD ($n=3$).

1
2
3 It is clear that the catheters had bacteriostatic activity on the *S. aureus* culture (Figure 7A-7B).
4 This further supports the hypothesis that extrusion and 3D-printing did not affect the
5 bacteriostatic activity of this drug. Catheters containing 0.25% and 0.5% TC had lower
6 bacteriostatic activity after the ten-day releasing step. This is due to the release of TC during this
7 step, which would have resulted in the majority of drug on the outer layers of the catheters to
8 have diffused out. Furthermore, catheters containing 1% TC still had significant bacteriostatic
9 activity after the release study. It matched the conclusions of this release study as drug was still
10 being eluted from the catheter after ten days. This drug concentration (1%) is relatively low,
11 however, it was still able to produce significant zones of inhibition. An issue that exists with
12 current coated catheters is the risk of toxicity. The ability to use such small quantities of drug
13 and still have bacteriostatic activity minimises the risk of these drug impregnated catheters
14 causing toxicity in the patient. Genina *et al.*⁵⁹ reported the possibility to print drug-loaded
15 intrauterine devices using different grades of ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA) and higher percentage
16 of drugs (5 and 15% of indomethacin). Weisman *et al.*²³ conducted a study on 3D-printed
17 antibiotic and chemotherapeutic constructs in which 1% and 2.5% gentamicin was used. The 1%
18 disc tested in bacterial culture of *E. coli* had a similar zone of inhibition (12.9 mm) to 1% TC
19 catheter in this study (13.0 mm). It is well known that these results depend on the bacteria strain
20 used, the amount of it, as well as the type of drug. For instance, in this study 80 μ l of a *S. aureus*
21 culture were used compared with the 50 μ l used in the previous work.²³

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 In addition to the zone of inhibition assay, another *in vitro* assay to study the capacity to avoid
37 a biofilm formation on the part of the coated catheters was performed. Bacterial adherence to
38 the surface of the catheters was studied with a model of opportunistic pathogen as *S. aureus*.⁶⁰
39 Moreover, *S. aureus* is a common causative agent of medical device and bloodstream
40 infections.⁶¹

41
42
43
44
45 The results of the efficacy of these catheters in resisting adherence of *S. aureus* relative to the
46 control catheters containing no TC are presented in the Figure 7C. The greatest reduction in
47 adherence of *S. aureus* was achieved by UW catheters containing 1% TC with adherence reduced
48 by a mean value of 99.97% after 24 hours (Figure 7C). Nevertheless, this excellent reduction
49 value is followed closely by the UW catheters containing 0.25% and 0.5% TC as well as the W
50 catheters containing 1% TC, which showed reduction in bacterial adherence values of 96.01,
51 96.37 and 96.33% after 24 h, respectively. These results agreed with those of studies on zone of
52 inhibition. W catheters containing 1% TC were able to avoid a biofilm formation even after ten
53 days releasing TC. Indeed, there were not significant differences between all the UW catheters
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and the W catheter containing 1% TC ($p > 0.05$). Moreover, even W catheters containing 0.5%
4 TC (after ten days releasing TC) showed also good results, in terms of reduction values (84.62%),
5 similar to those obtained using nalidixic acid.³⁵ Only W catheters containing small amounts of TC
6 (0.25%) showed poor reduction values (23.26%). These results are therefore extremely
7 promising considering that antibacterial materials have potential to be used for biomedical
8 applications.
9

10
11
12
13
14 'Nosocomial' or 'healthcare associated infections' (HCAI) affect patients under medical care in
15 the different health care facilities, such as hospitals.⁶² These infections can occur through the
16 use of medical devices such as catheters and ventilators employed in modern health care.⁶³
17 According to The *World Health Organization (WHO)*, these infections could affect approximately
18 15% of all hospitalized patients.⁶⁴ Moreover, these infections can be caused by bacteria, viruses
19 and fungal parasites, however, bacteria are the most common pathogens responsible for HCAI.
20 Highly resistant bacteria such as Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* are the cause of large part of
21 these infections worldwide. This means long stays in the health care facilities while increasing
22 health care costs.⁶⁵ Therefore, these novel 3D-printed antibacterial devices, such as catheters,
23 have a great potential to minimise the appearance of these HCAI.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 **4. Conclusions**

32
33 This study demonstrates the potential to incorporate drugs into the 3D-printed manufacture of
34 medical devices. TC was combined with TPU using single screw HME to yield filaments with the
35 drug dissolved in the matrix. This was confirmed by SEM and TGA measurements. Moreover, the
36 addition of up to 1% (w/w) of TC did not influence the mechanical or surface properties of the
37 resulting material. These filaments were used to successfully prepare catheters via-FDM.
38
39

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

These results showed the potential of FDM for anti-infective catheter manufacturing. This is only one potential application of this technology. This proof of concept study displays how antibacterial catheters can be created to combat catheter related infections associated with

1
2
3 dialysis catheters. This is the first study that describes the production of usable anti-infective
4 catheters using 3D-printing.
5

6
7 The methods described in the present paper can be applied to various medical devices and a
8 range of different drug eluting materials. Further research should be done such as the *in vivo*
9 evaluation of the catheters to fully test their bioactivity and adverse effects. Moreover,
10 important aspects such as the sterilisation of such devices should be evaluated. Finally, there
11 are regulatory questions still open about the use of 3D-printing for medical device production.
12 However, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has started investigate this potential
13 technology and has published guidelines for manufacturers on how to use this technology
14 appropriately.⁶⁶
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **Supporting Information:**

22
23 SEM images of the cross section of blank and TPU containing 1% of TC filaments. μ CT
24 reconstructions of all the types of catheters used in this study.
25
26

27 **References:**

- 28
29
30 (1) Hart, L. R.; Li, S.; Sturgess, C.; Wildman, R.; Jones, J. R.; Hayes, W. 3D Printing of
31 Biocompatible Supramolecular Polymers and Their Composites. *ACS Appl. Mater.*
32 *Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (5), 3115–3122. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b10471>.
33
34
35 (2) Palaganas, N. B.; Mangadlao, J. D.; de Leon, A. C. C.; Palaganas, J. O.; Pangilinan, K. D.;
36 Lee, Y. J.; Advincula, R. C. 3D Printing of Photocurable Cellulose Nanocrystal Composite
37 for Fabrication of Complex Architectures via Stereolithography. *ACS Appl. Mater.*
38 *Interfaces* **2017**, *9* (39), 34314–34324. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b09223>.
39
40
41 (3) Guvendiren, M.; Molde, J.; Soares, R. M. D.; Kohn, J. Designing Biomaterials for 3D
42 Printing. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2* (10), 1679–1693.
43 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00121>.
44
45
46 (4) Ligon, S. C.; Liska, R.; Stampfl, J.; Gurr, M.; Mülhaupt, R. Polymers for 3D Printing and
47 Customized Additive Manufacturing. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117* (15), 10212–10290.
48 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00074>.
49
50
51 (5) Sommer, M. R.; Schaffner, M.; Carnelli, D.; Studart, A. R. 3D Printing of Hierarchical Silk
52 Fibroin Structures. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8* (50), 34677–34685.
53 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b11440>.
54
55
56 (6) Domínguez-Robles, J.; Martin, N.; Fong, M.; Stewart, S.; Irwin, N.; Rial-Hermida, M.;
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Donnelly, R.; Larrañeta, E. Antioxidant PLA Composites Containing Lignin for 3D Printing
4 Applications: A Potential Material for Healthcare Applications. *Pharmaceutics* **2019**, *11*
5 (4), 165. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics11040165>.
6
7
8
9 (7) Jose, R. R.; Rodriguez, M. J.; Dixon, T. A.; Omenetto, F.; Kaplan, D. L. Evolution of Bioinks
10 and Additive Manufacturing Technologies for 3D Bioprinting. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*
11 **2016**, *2* (10), 1662–1678. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00088>.
12
13
14 (8) Tofail, S. A. M.; Koumoulos, E. P.; Bandyopadhyay, A.; Bose, S.; O'Donoghue, L.; Charitidis,
15 C. Additive Manufacturing: Scientific and Technological Challenges, Market Uptake and
16 Opportunities. *Mater. Today* **2018**, *21* (1), 22–37.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2017.07.001>.
18
19
20 (9) Murphy, S. V; Atala, A. 3D Bioprinting of Tissues and Organs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32*
21 (8), 773–785. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2958>.
22
23
24 (10) Zhao, H.; Yang, F.; Fu, J.; Gao, Q.; Liu, A.; Sun, M.; He, Y. Printing@Clinic: From Medical
25 Models to Organ Implants. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, *3* (12), 3083–3097.
26 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00542>.
27
28
29 (11) Jang, J.; Yi, H.-G.; Cho, D.-W. 3D Printed Tissue Models: Present and Future. *ACS*
30 *Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2* (10), 1722–1731.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00129>.
32
33
34 (12) Sandler, N.; Salmela, I.; Fallarero, A.; Rosling, A.; Khajeheian, M.; Kolakovic, R.; Genina,
35 N.; Nyman, J.; Vuorela, P. Towards Fabrication of 3D Printed Medical Devices to Prevent
36 Biofilm Formation. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2014**, *459* (1–2), 62–64.
37 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.11.001>.
38
39
40 (13) Gibbs, D. M.; Vaezi, M.; Yang, S.; Oreffo, R. O. Hope versus Hype: What Can Additive
41 Manufacturing Realistically Offer Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery? *Regen. Med.* **2014**, *9*
42 (4), 535–549. <https://doi.org/10.2217/rme.14.20>.
43
44
45 (14) Haryńska, A.; Gubanska, I.; Kucinska-Lipka, J.; Janik, H. Fabrication and Characterization
46 of Flexible Medical-Grade TPU Filament for Fused Deposition Modeling 3DP Technology.
47 *Polymers (Basel)*. **2018**, *10* (12), 1304. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10121304>.
48
49
50 (15) Harris, C. G.; Jursik, N. J. S.; Rochefort, W. E.; Walker, T. W. Additive Manufacturing With
51 Soft TPU – Adhesion Strength in Multimaterial Flexible Joints. *Front. Mech. Eng.* **2019**, *5*.
52 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmech.2019.00037>.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (16) Tappa, K.; Jammalamadaka, U. Novel Biomaterials Used in Medical 3D Printing
4 Techniques. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **2018**, *9* (1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb9010017>.
5
6
7 (17) Lin, W.-C.; Yu, D.-G.; Yang, M.-C. Blood Compatibility of Thermoplastic Polyurethane
8 Membrane Immobilized with Water-Soluble Chitosan/Dextran Sulfate. *Colloids Surfaces*
9 *B Biointerfaces* **2005**, *44* (2–3), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2005.05.015>.
10
11
12 (18) Ehler, E.; Sterling, D.; Dusenbery, K.; Lawrence, J. Workload Implications for Clinic
13 Workflow with Implementation of Three-Dimensional Printed Customized Bolus for
14 Radiation Therapy: A Pilot Study. *PLoS One* **2018**, *13* (10), e0204944.
15
16
17 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204944>.
18
19
20 (19) Dancewicz, O. L.; Sylvander, S. R.; Markwell, T. S.; Crowe, S. B.; Trapp, J. V. Radiological
21 Properties of 3D Printed Materials in Kilovoltage and Megavoltage Photon Beams. *Phys.*
22 *Medica* **2017**, *38*, 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2017.05.051>.
23
24
25 (20) Madamesila, J.; McGeachy, P.; Villarreal Barajas, J. E.; Khan, R. Characterizing 3D Printing
26 in the Fabrication of Variable Density Phantoms for Quality Assurance of Radiotherapy.
27 *Phys. Medica* **2016**, *32* (1), 242–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmp.2015.09.013>.
28
29
30 (21) Huang, J.-J.; Ren, J.-A.; Wang, G.-F.; Li, Z.-A.; Wu, X.-W.; Ren, H.-J.; Liu, S. 3D-Printed
31 “Fistula Stent” Designed for Management of Enterocutaneous Fistula: An Advanced
32 Strategy. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2017**, *23* (41), 7489–7494.
33
34
35 <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i41.7489>.
36
37
38 (22) Mathew, E.; Domínguez-Robles, J.; Larrañeta, E.; Lamprou, D. A. Fused Deposition
39 Modelling as a Potential Tool for Antimicrobial Dialysis Catheters Manufacturing: New
40 Trends vs. Conventional Approaches. *Coatings* **2019**, *9* (8), 515.
41
42
43 <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings9080515>.
44
45
46 (23) Weisman, J. A.; Nicholson, J. C.; Tappa, K.; Jammalamadaka, U.; Wilson, C. G.; Mills, D. K.
47 Antibiotic and Chemotherapeutic Enhanced Three-Dimensional Printer Filaments and
48 Constructs for Biomedical Applications. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* **2015**, *10*, 357–370.
49
50
51 <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S74811>.
52
53
54 (24) Weisman, J. A.; Ballard, D. H.; Jammalamadaka, U.; Tappa, K.; Sumerel, J.; D’Agostino, H.
55 B.; Mills, D. K.; Woodard, P. K. 3D Printed Antibiotic and Chemotherapeutic Eluting
56 Catheters for Potential Use in Interventional Radiology. *Acad. Radiol.* **2019**, *26* (2), 270–
57
58
59 274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2018.03.022>.
60

- 1
2
3 (25) Gosbell, I. B. Diagnosis and Management of Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infections
4 Due to Staphylococcus Aureus. *Intern. Med. J.* **2005**, *35* (s2), S45–S62.
5 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-0903.2005.00979.x>.
6
7
8
9 (26) Thompson, S.; Wiebe, N.; Klarenbach, S.; Pelletier, R.; Hemmelgarn, B. R.; Gill, J. S.;
10 Manns, B. J.; Tonelli, M. Catheter-Related Blood Stream Infections in Hemodialysis
11 Patients: A Prospective Cohort Study. *BMC Nephrol.* **2017**, *18* (1), 357.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0773-5>.
13
14
15
16 (27) Kerr, M. *Chronic Kidney Disease in England: The Human and Financial Cost*; 2012.
17
18 (28) Facts and Stats, Kidney care UK [https://www.kidneycareuk.org/news-and-](https://www.kidneycareuk.org/news-and-campaigns/facts-and-stats/)
19 [campaigns/facts-and-stats/](https://www.kidneycareuk.org/news-and-campaigns/facts-and-stats/) (accessed Mar 7, 2019).
20
21
22 (29) Sehmi, S. K.; Noimark, S.; Weiner, J.; Allan, E.; MacRobert, A. J.; Parkin, I. P. Potent
23 Antibacterial Activity of Copper Embedded into Silicone and Polyurethane. *ACS Appl.*
24 *Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7* (41), 22807–22813. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b08665>.
25
26
27
28 (30) Puperi, D. S.; Kishan, A.; Punske, Z. E.; Wu, Y.; Cosgriff-Hernandez, E.; West, J. L.; Grande-
29 Allen, K. J. Electrospun Polyurethane and Hydrogel Composite Scaffolds as Biomechanical
30 Mimics for Aortic Valve Tissue Engineering. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *2* (9), 1546–
31 1558. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbmaterials.6b00309>.
32
33
34
35 (31) Arefin, A.; Mcculloch, Q.; Martinez, R.; Martin, S. A.; Singh, R.; Ishak, O. M.; Higgins, E.
36 M.; Haffey, K. E.; Huang, J.-H.; Iyer, S.; et al. Micromachining of Polyurethane Membranes
37 for Tissue Engineering Applications. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, *4* (10), 3522–3533.
38 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbmaterials.8b00578>.
39
40
41
42 (32) Stewart, S.; Domínguez-Robles, J.; Donnelly, R.; Larrañeta, E. Implantable Polymeric Drug
43 Delivery Devices: Classification, Manufacture, Materials, and Clinical Applications.
44 *Polymers (Basel)*. **2018**, *10* (12), 1379. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10121379>.
45
46
47
48 (33) Baumann, F.; Bugdayci, H.; Grunert, J.; Keller, F.; Roller, D. Influence of Slicing Tools on
49 Quality of 3D Printed Parts. *Comput. Aided. Des. Appl.* **2016**, *13* (1), 14–31.
50 <https://doi.org/10.1080/16864360.2015.1059184>.
51
52
53
54 (34) Larrañeta, E.; Henry, M.; Irwin, N. J.; Trotter, J.; Perminova, A. A.; Donnelly, R. F. Synthesis
55 and Characterization of Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels Crosslinked Using a Solvent-Free
56 Process for Potential Biomedical Applications. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2018**, *181*, 1194–1205.
57 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.12.015>.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (35) McCoy, C. P.; Irwin, N. J.; Brady, C.; Jones, D. S.; Carson, L.; Andrews, G. P.; Gorman, S. P.
4 An Infection-Responsive Approach To Reduce Bacterial Adhesion in Urinary Biomaterials.
5 *Mol. Pharm.* **2016**, *13* (8), 2817–2822.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.6b00402>.
7
8
9
10 (36) Wang, R.; Neoh, K. G.; Shi, Z.; Kang, E.-T.; Tambyah, P. A.; Chiong, E. Inhibition of
11 Escherichia Coli and Proteus Mirabilis Adhesion and Biofilm Formation on Medical Grade
12 Silicone Surface. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2012**, *109* (2), 336–345.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.23342>.
14
15
16
17 (37) Jones, D. S.; McGovern, J. G.; Woolfson, A. D.; Gorman, S. P. Role of Physiological
18 Conditions in the Oropharynx on the Adherence of Respiratory Bacterial Isolates to
19 Endotracheal Tube Poly(Vinyl Chloride). *Biomaterials* **1997**, *18* (6), 503–510.
20 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612\(96\)00170-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(96)00170-6).
21
22
23
24 (38) Miles, A. A.; Misra, S. S.; Irwin, J. O. The Estimation of the Bactericidal Power of the Blood.
25 *J Hyg* **1938**, *38*, 732–749.
26
27
28
29 (39) Elastollan Thermoplastic Polyurethane <https://aerospace.basf.com/elastollan.html>
30 (accessed Mar 8, 2019).
31
32
33 (40) Chopra, I.; Roberts, M. Tetracycline Antibiotics: Mode of Action, Applications, Molecular
34 Biology, and Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2001**, *65* (2),
35 232–260. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.65.2.232-260.2001>.
36
37
38
39 (41) Okoli, C. P.; Ofomaja, A. E. Development of Sustainable Magnetic Polyurethane Polymer
40 Nanocomposite for Abatement of Tetracycline Antibiotics Aqueous Pollution: Response
41 Surface Methodology and Adsorption Dynamics. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, *217*, 42–55.
42 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.157>.
43
44
45
46 (42) Christ, J. F.; Aliheidari, N.; Ameli, A.; Pötschke, P. 3D Printed Highly Elastic Strain Sensors
47 of Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube/Thermoplastic Polyurethane Nanocomposites. *Mater.*
48 *Des.* **2017**, *131*, 394–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.06.011>.
49
50
51
52 (43) Jiang, L.; Su, C.; Ye, S.; Wu, J.; Zhu, Z.; Wen, Y.; Zhang, R.; Shao, W. Synergistic
53 Antibacterial Effect of Tetracycline Hydrochloride Loaded Functionalized Graphene Oxide
54 Nanostructures. *Nanotechnology* **2018**, *29* (50), 505102. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/aae424)
55 [6528/aae424](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/aae424).
56
57
58
59 (44) Naik, A. D.; Fontaine, G.; Bellayer, S.; Bourbigot, S. Salen Based Schiff Bases to Flame
60

- 1
2
3 Retard Thermoplastic Polyurethane Mimicking Operational Strategies of Thermosetting
4 Resin. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, 5 (60), 48224–48235. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA06242J>.
- 5
6
7 (45) Law, K.-Y. Definitions for Hydrophilicity, Hydrophobicity, and Superhydrophobicity:
8 Getting the Basics Right. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, 5 (4), 686–688.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jz402762h>.
- 10
11
12 (46) Maki, D. G.; Cobb, L.; Garman, J. K.; Shapiro, J. M.; Ringer, M.; Helgerson, R. B. An
13 Attachable Silver-Impregnated Cuff for Prevention of Infection with Central Venous
14 Catheters: A Prospective Randomized Multicenter Trial. *Am. J. Med.* **1988**, 85 (3), 307–
15 314. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343\(88\)90579-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9343(88)90579-7).
- 16
17
18 (47) Hasaniya, N. W. M. A.; Angelis, M.; Brown, M. R.; Yu, M. Efficacy of Subcutaneous Silver-
19 Impregnated Cuffs in Preventing Central Venous Catheter Infections. *Chest* **1996**, 109 (4),
20 1030–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.109.4.1030>.
- 21
22
23 (48) Chen, Y.; Dai, A.; Shi, Y.; Liu, Z.; Gong, M.; Yin, X. Effectiveness of Silver-Impregnated
24 Central Venous Catheters for Preventing Catheter-Related Blood Stream Infections : A
25 Meta-Analysis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, 29, 279–286.
26 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2014.09.018>.
- 27
28
29 (49) Galloway, S.; Bodenham, A. Long-Term Central Venous Access. *Br. J. Anaesth.* **2004**, 92
30 (5), 722–734. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/ae109>.
- 31
32
33 (50) Moretti, E. W.; Ofstead, C. L.; Kristy, R. M.; Wetzler, H. P. Impact of Central Venous
34 Catheter Type and Methods on Catheter-Related Colonization and Bacteraemia. *J. Hosp.*
35 *Infect.* **2005**, 61 (2), 139–145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2005.02.012>.
- 36
37
38 (51) Scott-Warren, V.; Morley, R. Paediatric Vascular Access. *BJA Educ.* **2015**, 15 (4), 199–206.
39 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjaceaccp/mku050>.
- 40
41
42 (52) Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wu, B.; Cui, C.; Guo, Y.; Yan, C. A Critical Review of Fused Deposition
43 Modeling 3D Printing Technology in Manufacturing Polylactic Acid Parts. *Int. J. Adv.*
44 *Manuf. Technol.* **2019**, 102 (9–12), 2877–2889. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03332-x)
45 [03332-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03332-x).
- 46
47
48 (53) Lalehpour, A.; Barari, A. Post Processing for Fused Deposition Modeling Parts with
49 Acetone Vapour Bath. *IFAC-PapersOnLine* **2016**, 49 (31), 42–48.
50 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.12.159>.
- 51
52
53 (54) Zhao, G.; Ma, G.; Feng, J.; Xiao, W. Nonplanar Slicing and Path Generation Methods for
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Robotic Additive Manufacturing. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2018**, *96* (9–12), 3149–3159.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-1772-9>.
5
6
7 (55) Alhers, D. 3D Printing of Nonplanar Layers for Smooth Surface Generation, Universität
8 Hamburg, 2018.
9
10
11 (56) Macha, I. J.; Ben-Nissan, B.; Vilchevskaya, E. N.; Morozova, A. S.; Abali, B. E.; Müller, W.
12 H.; Rickert, W. Drug Delivery From Polymer-Based Nanopharmaceuticals—An
13 Experimental Study Complemented by Simulations of Selected Diffusion Processes.
14 *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *7*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00037>.
15
16
17 (57) Kaur, G.; Grewal, J.; Jyoti, K.; Jain, U. K.; Chandra, R.; Madan, J. Oral Controlled and
18 Sustained Drug Delivery Systems. In *Drug Targeting and Stimuli Sensitive Drug Delivery*
19 *Systems*; Elsevier, 2018; pp 567–626. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813689-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813689-8.00015-X)
20 8.00015-X.
21
22 (58) Goyanes, A.; Fina, F.; Martorana, A.; Sedough, D.; Gaisford, S.; Basit, A. W. Development
23 of Modified Release 3D Printed Tablets (Printlets) with Pharmaceutical Excipients Using
24 Additive Manufacturing. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2017**, *527* (1–2), 21–30.
25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.05.021>.
26
27 (59) Genina, N.; Holländer, J.; Jukarainen, H.; Mäkilä, E.; Salonen, J.; Sandler, N. Ethylene Vinyl
28 Acetate (EVA) as a New Drug Carrier for 3D Printed Medical Drug Delivery Devices. *Eur.*
29 *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2016**, *90*, 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejps.2015.11.005>.
30
31 (60) Larrañeta, E.; Imízcoz, M.; Toh, J. X.; Irwin, N. J.; Ripolin, A.; Perminova, A.; Domínguez-
32 Robles, J.; Rodríguez, A.; Donnelly, R. F. Synthesis and Characterization of Lignin
33 Hydrogels for Potential Applications as Drug Eluting Antimicrobial Coatings for Medical
34 Materials. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6* (7), 9037–9046.
35 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b01371>.
36
37 (61) Tong, S. Y. C.; Davis, J. S.; Eichenberger, E.; Holland, T. L.; Fowler, V. G. Staphylococcus
38 Aureus Infections: Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, Clinical Manifestations, and
39 Management. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2015**, *28* (3), 603–661.
40 <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14>.
41
42 (62) Khan, H. A.; Baig, F. K.; Mehboob, R. Nosocomial Infections: Epidemiology, Prevention,
43 Control and Surveillance. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2017**, *7* (5), 478–482.
44 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtb.2017.01.019>.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (63) CDC. Types of healthcare-associated infections. Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs)
4 <https://www.cdc.gov/HAI/infectionTypes.html> (accessed Mar 10, 2019).
5
6
7 (64) Sydnor, E. R. M.; Perl, T. M. Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Control in Acute-Care
8 Settings. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2011**, *24* (1), 141–173.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00027-10>.
10
11
12 (65) Hall, C. W.; Mah, T.-F. Molecular Mechanisms of Biofilm-Based Antibiotic Resistance and
13 Tolerance in Pathogenic Bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2017**, *41* (3), 276–301.
14 <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux010>.
15
16
17 (66) Statement by FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., on FDA ushering in new era of 3D
18 printing of medical products; provides guidance to manufacturers of medical devices
19 [https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fda-](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-fda-ushering-new-era-3d-printing-medical-products)
20 [commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-fda-ushering-new-era-3d-printing-medical-products](https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-fda-ushering-new-era-3d-printing-medical-products)
21 (accessed Mar 15, 2019).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Fused Deposition Modelling as an Effective Tool for Anti-Infective Dialysis Catheter Fabrication

Essyrose Mathew,^{1,1} Juan Domínguez-Robles,^{1,1} Sarah A. Stewart,¹ Elena Mancuso,² Kieran O'Donnell,² Eneko Larrañeta,¹ Dimitrios A. Lamprou¹

¹ School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast BT9 7BL, UK

² Nanotechnology and Integrated Bio-Engineering Centre (NIBEC), Ulster University, Jordanstown campus, UK

Table of Content

