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Abstract

Athlete burnout symptoms are detrimental to athlete well-being (Cresswell & Eklund, 2005). Obsessive passion has been identified as an antecedent of athlete burnout, with basic psychological need satisfaction potentially mediating this process (Curran et al., 2011; 2013; Deci & Ryan, 2000; Vallerand et al., 2003). The aim of the current research was to extend on previous work (e.g., Curran et al., 2011; 2013; Gustafsson et al., 2011) and examine whether the relationship between passion and athlete burnout was mediated by psychological need satisfaction in a heterogeneous sample. Participants were 120 competitive athletes ($M_{age} = 22.04, SD = 5.83$) from 21 different sports. Each participant completed the Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003), Basic Psychological Needs in Sport Scale (Ng, Lonsdale, & Hodge, 2011), and the Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (Raedeke & Smith, 2001). Multiple regression and bootstrapping procedures (Preacher & Hayes, 2008) were used to analyze the data. Passion (harmonious and obsessive) was found to share a significant relationship with sport devaluation but shared no significant relationship with emotional and physical exhaustion and reduced sense of accomplishment. Bootstrapping results suggested that the basic psychological need of autonomy was the only significant mediating variable in the relationship between passion (harmonious and obsessive) and burnout (sport devaluation). Potential antecedents and consequences of athlete burnout, alongside applied and conceptual implications are discussed.
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The Relationship Between Passion, Athlete Burnout and Basic Needs Satisfaction: Examining Direct and Indirect Effects

High levels of performance and success are achieved through years of engagement and deliberate practice in a structured environment from a young age (Ericsson & Starkes, 2003). Young athletes often start out highly motivated, and after several years of competitive drive for success, sport may become a central aspect of their identity (Martin & Horn, 2013). If sport does eventually consume a disproportionate amount of the individuals’ identity, this poses a risk to both well-being and performance (Gustafsson, Hassmén, & Hassmén, 2011). As such, sporting bodies (e.g., World Snooker, United States Tennis Association) have commissioned funded research to better understand the contributing factors to athlete ill-being (e.g., athlete burnout).

Athlete Burnout

The intense training regimen that is common place in competition sport resulting in athlete burnout has received increasing practical and empirical attention due to the debilitating and detrimental effects on athlete well-being and performance (Eklund & DeFreese, 2015; Gustafsson, DeFreese, & Madigan, 2017). Given the growing number of elite athletes reporting chronic stress and dropping out of sports at the peak of their athletic careers (Gustafsson, Kentta, Hassmén, & Lundqvist, 2007), a greater understanding is needed surrounding the factors that may protect individuals from such maladaptive outcomes (Madigan & Nicholls, 2017).

Raedeke (1997) developed a conceptualization of athlete burnout which has been widely adopted in the sports domain (e.g., Cresswell & Eklund, 2005; Hill, 2013; Lonsdale, Hodge, & Rose, 2009). Athlete burnout is defined as; “an experiential syndrome characterized by emotional and physical exhaustion, reduced sense of accomplishment, and sport devaluation” (Raedeke, 1997, p. 397). According to Raedeke (1997), athlete burnout is conceptualized into
three dimensions; *emotional and physical exhaustion*, characterized by feelings of fatigue from training or competitions, *reduced sense of accomplishment*, identified as a loss of control over performance and levels of proficiency, and *sport devaluation*, described as a loss of interest from one’s sporting performance or sport, and doubts and disbelief about one’s future participation within the sport (Cresswell & Eklund, 2006; Tabei, Fletcher, & Goodger, 2012; Raedeke, 1997).

Raedeke’s (1997) operational definition has been widely advocated as a useful conceptualization that has enabled researchers to explore the prevalence and experience of burnout across different contexts (e.g., Cresswell & Eklund, 2007; Gustafsson et al., 2007; Harris & Watson, 2011).

One influential perspective on athlete burnout in the literature (e.g., Goodger, Gorely, Lavalee, & Harwood, 2007; Gustafsson, Hancock, & Côté, 2014; Madigan & Nicholls, 2017) is Smith’s (1986) stress-based, cognitive-affective model (C-A model). The C-A model proposes that athlete burnout is a result of one’s appraisal of perceived demands and resources available to cope (Smith, 1986). Smith (1986) argued that if an individual’s appraisals do not enable one to effectively cope with the perceived situational demands, physiological and behavioural responses ensue. It is such physiological and behavioural responses (e.g., muscle tension, irritability) that lead to athlete burnout (Smith, 1986). However, the stress perspective has been criticized as there is evidence to suggest that not all athletes’ experience stress burnout (Raedeke, 1997).

Consequently, theoretical frameworks explaining the occurrence of athlete burnout have moved beyond a stress-based approach to others such as; a sport commitment-entrapment approach (Raedeke, 1997) and identity issues perspective (Coakley, 1992). Reflecting on these explanations, it appears that changes in the levels and quality of sport motivation (i.e., the reasons why one participates) could also be at the core of the athlete burnout issue (Lemyre, Roberts, & Gunderson, 2007). Specifically, research has begun to acknowledge that athletes who...
develop symptoms of athlete burnout also demonstrate an extreme type of drive that energises participation to training and competition (Gustafsson et al., 2011).

**Passion**

Defined as “a strong inclination towards an activity that one loves, they find important, and in which they invest time and energy” (Vallerand et al., 2003, p. 757), Vallerand et al.’s (2003) Dualistic Model of Passion (DMP) provides a framework describing passion for activities (e.g., sport). Rooted in self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000a), the DMP states that passion can be categorised as two distinct but related forms (*Harmonious* and *Obsessive*).

*Harmonious passion* is derived from an autonomous internalization of values and beliefs through which the individual freely accepts the activity as important, without attached contingencies (Vallerand et al., 2006). Conversely, *obsessive passion* results from a controlled internalization of the activity into one’s identity, whereby the individual will typically participate because of certain contingencies that are attached, such as feelings of social acceptance or self-esteem (Vallerand et al., 2006). A recent meta-analysis on passion research (Curran, Hill, Appleton, Vallerand, & Standage, 2015) supported the trend that harmonious passion was generally positively associated with positive intrapersonal outcomes (e.g., positive affect, flow, performance) whereas obsessive passion was generally negatively related to such outcomes and positively associated with maladaptive outcomes (e.g., negative affect, rumination, rigidity).

Specifically, harmonious passion has been found to influence adaptive engagement in activities that facilitate positive emotions and well-being (Vallerand et al., 2003); it functions as an important source of autonomous motivational energy, which in turn, is conducive to performance attainment (Vallerand et al., 2008). Obsessive passion, on the other-hand, has been associated with ill-being, increased negative emotions, and decreased feelings of connectedness (Philippe,
Vallerand, Houlfort, Lavigne, & Donahue, 2010), due to its controlling motivational energy.

With the multiple theoretical perspectives of athlete burnout (Gould & Whitley, 2009) and the demonstrated link between obsessive passion and various maladaptive outcomes such as exercise dependence (Paradis, Cooke, Martin, & Hall, 2013), theorists suggest that obsessive passion may be a significant factor in athlete burnout (e.g., Curran, Appleton, Hill, & Hall, 2011; 2013).

Curran et al. (2011) posit that the passion-burnout relationship is underpinned by the internalization and integration processes within self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000a). Specifically, Curran et al. (2011) suggested that obsessively passionate individuals who experience negative emotional consequences from their engagement (e.g., life conflict) also demonstrate higher levels of non-self-determined forms of motivation; support has been provided for this contention within the occupational literature (e.g., Rip, Fortin, & Vallerand, 2006). One potential reason that obsessively passionate athletes may be at risk of athlete burnout is due to the characteristic ego-invested structures which result in the motivation for engagement becoming increasingly non-self-determined (Hodgins & Knee, 2002). Conversely, the pattern of motivational regulation and internalization associated with harmonious passion facilitates more adaptive outcomes such as; positive affect and flow (Hodgins & Knee, 2002). Consequently, in the quest to develop robust interventions, research has begun to examine how passion could influence the pattern of motivational regulation that underpins athlete burnout (DeFreese & Smith, 2013; Lonsdale et al., 2009; Quested & Duda, 2010). One key theory that might explain how passion may impact athlete burnout is self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000a). Self-determination theory (SDT) examines the personal and contextual factors that determine optimum personal growth and development; a component that has been recognised as an important concept to explain a healthy engagement in sport is the satisfaction of three
fundamental basic psychological needs (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). Basic needs theory (BNT), a mini-theory within the SDT framework, proposes that the fundamental basis for positive well-being is when the social environment facilitates satisfaction of the basic psychological needs of *autonomy, competence, and relatedness* (Quested & Duda, 2011). *Autonomy* refers to the experience of choice, interest, and actions in accordance with one’s self-endorsed values (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). *Competence* refers to the perception that one can effectively bring about desired effects and outcomes (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). Finally, *relatedness* refers to the feelings that one is securely connected to and understood by others (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). Individuals are naturally inclined to internalize and integrate behaviours that are perceived to be self-motivated and self-determined (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). Furthermore, for the internalization process to function optimally, people need to be in social environments that nurture innate needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. The extent to which an environment facilitates satisfaction of the basic needs is linked to the nature of internalized motives (i.e., autonomous vs. controlled) in sport (Hodgins & Knee, 2002). McDonough and Crocker (2007) found that motivation partially mediated the relationship between basic needs satisfaction and positive/negative affect in adult sport participants. More specifically, autonomy support and controlling behaviours from the coach have been associated with well-being and ill-being through either need satisfaction or need thwarting of autonomy, influencing the internalized motives for sport engagement (Cheval, Chalabaev, Quested, Courvoisier, & Sarrazin, 2017). If motives for participation are internalized in a controlled way, sporting engagement could result in athlete burnout symptoms manifested in the forms of higher perceived stress and emotional and physical exhaustion (Creswell & Eklund, 2006). In contrast, the degree of satisfaction of basic psychological needs, particularly autonomy, can be more influential in the motivational processes which link to behaviours that accentuate or
thwart athlete burnout (e.g., Cresswell & Eklund, 2006; Raedeke & Smith, 2001). Thus, understanding the factors which may influence athletes’ satisfaction of basic psychological needs is important when exploring the athlete burnout phenomenon. For example, Quested and Duda (2010) highlighted that personal qualities which may facilitate or thwart psychological needs appear to be primary predictors of maladaptive consequences such as athlete burnout. Furthermore, BNT offers a useful conceptual framework to describe how passion may influence the satisfaction of the basic psychological needs that may result in a pattern of motivation indicative of athlete burnout (Reinboth & Duda, 2006).

Obsessive passion is hypothesized to result from controlled internalization, where one’s motives for engagement in a sport are predicted to be fuelled by a sense of compulsion (Vallerand et al., 2006). It is such compulsion for engagement that is likely to undermine a sense of personal control (autonomy), the fulfilment of expectations (competence), and/or satisfaction with inter-personal relations (relatedness) respectively (Vallerand et al., 2006). In turn, individuals who possess an obsessive passion for an activity could experience higher levels of athlete burnout based on the intra- and inter-personal pressures associated with participation (Martin & Horn, 2013). Passion has also been shown to influence the satisfaction of the basic psychological needs in exercise (Paradis, Cooke, Martin, & Hall, 2014) which researchers suggest is also indicative of negative consequences such as athlete burnout (Hodge, Lonsdale & Ng, 2008). Interestingly, although studies have shown that psychological need satisfaction may influence the passion-burnout relationship, the potential role of psychological needs in explaining this relationship has only been recently considered (Curran et al., 2013).

Curran et al. (2011) identified an inverse relationship between harmonious passion and athlete burnout which was mediated by higher levels of self-determined motivation; obsessive
Passion was found to be unrelated to athlete burnout. Curran et al. (2011) suggested that future research may wish to examine the passion-athlete burnout-basic psychological needs relationship; due to the mediating processes of psychological need satisfaction which precede self-determined motivation (Vallerand et al., 2006). Curran et al. (2013) extended their earlier work by examining the relationship between passion and athlete burnout, and whether this relationship was mediated through psychological need satisfaction. Their findings supported the expected mediating role of psychological needs in the harmonious passion-athlete burnout relationship, but not in the obsessive passion-athlete burnout relationship. It is however important to consider that the aim of Curran et al.’s (2013) study was to examine the mediating role of psychological needs (via statistical modelling) as a single composite variable. The mediating pathways of each individual psychological need (autonomy, competence, and relatedness) as separate variables were not considered within their study. Curran and colleagues’ approach has also been used within the psychological literature (e.g., Lonsdale et al., 2009; Stebbings, Taylor, & Spray, 2011), as researchers argue that the weighted composite score reflects the contribution of each psychological need in the proposed statistical model. However, when Quested and Duda (2010) examined the role of psychological needs through a multiple mediation model, the effects of psychological needs as a single composite variable were significantly different to when each need was tested individually. Moreover, when examining the role of psychological needs Quested and Duda (2010) highlighted the importance of considering each psychological need as an independent influence. In line with the recommendations of Quested and Duda (2010), the current research is warranted to examine the alternative mediating pathways through each of the basic psychological needs separately, as this may offer further insight into the passion-athlete burnout relationship.
Reflecting on their research, Curran et al. (2013) noted that the sample of elite male junior soccer players may have restricted the generalizability and validity beyond the context of that specific population. Specifically, elite junior male soccer players have been especially susceptible to the development of athlete burnout due to the culture (and sub-cultures) of the sport (e.g., Appleton, Hall, & Hill, 2009; Gustafsson, Kentta, Hassmen, & Johansson, 2008; Hill, Hall, Appleton, & Kozub, 2008). Consequently, the objective of the current study was to examine the role of psychological need satisfaction in the passion-burnout relationship within a heterogeneous sample of competitive athletes.

Based upon Vallerand et al.’s (2003) Dualistic Model of Passion, harmonious passion is associated with autonomous internalization of an activity which facilitates basic need satisfaction (Vallerand et al., 2003; Vallerand et al., 2008). Conversely, obsessive passion is associated by an incomplete, controlled internalization of values, related to the thwarting of psychological needs (Perreault, Gaudreau, Lapointe, & Lacroix, 2007). Consequently, it was anticipated that harmonious passion would have a negative relationship with athlete burnout, but that this could be explained, at least in part, by fulfillment in basic psychological need satisfaction. In contrast, it was expected that obsessive passion would exhibit a positive relationship with athlete burnout, but again, that this could be explained by lack of fulfilment in basic psychological need satisfaction.

Method

Participants

The participant sample ($N = 120; M_{age} = 22.04, SD = 5.83$) comprised of female ($n = 45; M_{age} = 21.73, SD = 5.90$) and male ($n = 75; M_{age} = 22.34, SD = 5.76$) individual sport ($n = 51$) and team sport ($n = 69$) competitive athletes from the United Kingdom. Athletes primarily self-
identified as being a starter ($n = 113$) vs. a non-starter ($n = 7$) and as a captain ($n = 28$) vs. a non-captain ($n = 92$). Athletes represented a variety of different sports namely; athletics, soccer, rugby, cricket, netball, and hockey. Competition levels varied from club ($n = 6$), county ($n = 34$), regional ($n = 27$), national ($n = 30$), and international ($n = 23$) levels and had an average experience of $M = 11.71$ years ($SD = 5.12$). Finally, 76% of athletes reported a “very likely” intention to remain and continue participation in their chosen sport. Participants for the present study were selected through a combination of purposive and convenient sampling methods. Purposeful sampling was used to identify and select a range of information-rich cases that fit the criteria of a competitive athlete matching the criteria of a competitive athlete from Paradis, Martin, and Carron (2012). A competitive athlete was defined as an individual whose participation was higher than that of a recreational-based sport environment (e.g., intra-mural) and had been through some sort of a team selection process (e.g., try-outs) (Paradis et al., 2012). Thus, the one inclusion criteria of the current study for participants to be included was that of competitive athlete status. As such, competitive teams were targeted for participant recruitment and a geographically convenient sample of athletes participating in a wide variety of sports were collected. All participants provided written informed consent to take part in the study.

**Measures**

**Passion.** Passion was assessed using the Passion Scale (Vallerand et al., 2003) which has been widely utilised and demonstrated as reliable and valid within several studies (e.g., Vallerand et al., 2006; 2008). For the purposes of the current study and for the scale to be specific for competitive athletes, the term ‘this activity’ was substituted with ‘this sport’. The Passion Scale is composed of two seven-item subscales: harmonious passion (e.g., “This sport is in harmony with other activities in my life”) and obsessive passion (e.g., “I cannot imagine my
life without this sport”). Participants provided responses on a 7-point Likert-type scale anchored at 1 (Do not agree at all) and 7 (Very strongly agree) with higher scores reflecting greater passion. Vallerand et al. (2003) demonstrated the Passion Scale to have adequate internal consistency scores for both harmonious passion ($\alpha = .84$) and obsessive passion ($\alpha = .84$). The current study also yielded adequate internal consistency scores for harmonious passion ($\alpha = .79$) and obsessive passion ($\alpha = .91$).

**Basic Psychological Needs.** Basic psychological need satisfaction was assessed by the Basic Psychological Needs Satisfaction in Sport Scale (BPNSS; Ng, Lonsdale, & Hodge, 2011). The BNSSS was the preferred measurement scale because it is the only sport specific measurement tool which has also demonstrated valid psychometric properties within previous research (e.g., Mahoney, Gucciardi, Ntoumanis, & Mallett, 2014). The BNSSS is a 20-item scale, comprised of five subscales which include autonomy (separated into choice, internal perceived locus of causality, volition), competence, and relatedness (Ng et al., 2011).

Participants responded on a 7-point Likert-type scale anchored at 1 (not true at all) and 7 (very true) with higher scores reflecting greater fulfillment of the psychological needs. Example items from each subscale include: “In my sport, I get opportunities to make choices” (autonomy); “I show concern for others in my sport” (relatedness); and “I overcome challenges in my sport” (competence). The autonomy element of the scale was comprised of a weighted mean of the choice, internal perceived locus of causality, and volition. Construct formation of autonomy was preferred because it allows for the reduction of the complexity of the model, making the results more interpretable. Thus, for the purposes of the current research, a global autonomy score was calculated. Hodge and Gucciardi (2015) explained that because the autonomy subscale components are inter-related there are no differences in the pattern of responses across the
autonomy subscales. Consequently, creating a total score of autonomy (mean score of satisfaction) will reduce the complexity of the model to make the data analysis more interpretable (Hodge & Gucciardi, 2015; Ng et al., 2011). Ng et al. (2011) demonstrated the BNSS subscales to have adequate internal consistency scores for autonomy ($\alpha = .82$), competence ($\alpha = .77$), and relatedness ($\alpha = .77$). The current study also yielded adequate internal consistency scores for autonomy ($\alpha = .90$), competence ($\alpha = .92$), and relatedness ($\alpha = .87$).

**Athlete Burnout.** Athlete Burnout was assessed using The Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (ABQ; Raedeke & Smith, 2001). The ABQ is a 15-item scale which includes three, five item sub-scales. The sub-scales comprise of emotional and physical exhaustion (e.g., “I feel I don't have energy”), reduced sense of accomplishment (e.g., “I'm not achieving much”) and sport devaluation (e.g., “I feel less concerned about being successful”) (Raedeke, 1997; Raedeke & Smith, 2001; 2009). Responses are provided on a 5-point Likert-type scale anchored at 1 (almost never), 2 (rarely), 3 (sometimes), 4 (frequently), and 5 (most of the time) with higher scores reflecting greater levels of burnout symptoms (Raedeke & Smith, 2001; 2009). Designed as a measure specific to competitive athletes, the ABQ has been used extensively and effectively to measure athlete burnout (e.g., Cresswell & Eklund, 2005; 2006; Raedeke & Smith, 2001; 2009). Curran et al. (2011) reported adequate internal consistency scores for the ABQ for emotional and physical exhaustion ($\alpha = .87$), reduced sense of accomplishment ($\alpha = .73$), and sport devaluation ($\alpha = .81$). The current study also reported adequate internal consistency scores for emotional and physical exhaustion ($\alpha = .86$), reduced sense of accomplishment ($\alpha = .91$), and sport devaluation ($\alpha = .79$).
**Procedure**

Following institutional ethical approval, questionnaire booklets were distributed to competitive athletes over 18 years of age, during their competitive season (October-December). This was to ensure that the responses were reflective of participants’ psychological and physiological state when in competition. The first author arranged with the coach at a mutually convenient time to visit the team and have the questionnaires administered. Participants completed the questionnaires in a quiet area outside of their normal training environment. Upon completion, the booklets were returned to the researcher by the coach on the same day or at a later date that was arranged for the researcher to return to retrieve them from the coach. The questionnaire booklet included demographic information which asked for age, gender, sport played, level of competition, leadership and starting status, and intention to remain in the sport. Participants were then asked to complete the Passion scale (Vallerand et al., 2003), the Basic Psychological Needs in Sport Scale (Ng et al., 2011) and the Athlete Burnout Questionnaire (Raedeke & Smith, 2001). Questionnaires were filled out in this order to reflect the conceptual hypotheses of the direct and indirect effects of passion to basic needs to athlete burnout.

**Analysis**

Initially, data were screened for outliers and normality using Mahalanobis distances. Descriptive statistics were calculated to firstly enable the researchers to reflect and examine if levels of passion, athlete burnout, and psychological need satisfaction were congruent with those of previous research. Second, missing values occurred for three cases which each had less than 10% of the data missing at random, thus missing data were replaced using the series mean method (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). Means, standard deviations, and Cronbach’s alpha scores (Cronbach, 1951) for the Passion Scale, ABQ, and BNSS are presented in Table 1. The
researchers also checked for any differences in the means of each variable between male and female, and team/individual athletes to confirm a homogenous sample. Hodge et al. (2008) reported that it is also important to consider the variance of athlete burnout symptoms ‘within’ the sample, explaining that insufficient variability (e.g., predominantly high level symptoms) can partly skew effects and potentially under/overestimate relationships with the burnout variable. Furthermore, prior to the main analysis, using thresholds advanced by Hodge et al. (2008), the researchers confirmed appropriate athlete burnout variability in their sample; 46 players (38%) scored greater than 2.70 for reduced accomplishment, higher than 3.00 for emotional exhaustion and higher than 3.00 for devaluation on all athlete burnout subscales (Raedeke & Smith, 2001) whereas 74 players (62%) scored low to moderate on athlete burnout subscales. This enabled the researchers to also demonstrate that the data collected contained enough variability to satisfy the assumption of normality (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). Assessment of further demographic information collected (e.g., age, gender etc.) did not yield and significant differences within the sample (possibly due to our limited sample) however such variables should also not infer a relationship to passion, basic needs, or burnout (Mageau et al., 2009).

In order to be able to estimate any unique relationships between the subscales of the variables of interest, the two passion subscales, the three basic needs subscales, and the three athlete burnout subscales were all considered individually as opposed to collapsing the variables of interest into one global score of passion, basic needs, and burnout. As mentioned, data were then screened for multivariate outliers and tested for normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). Evaluation of assumptions for carrying out multiple regression analysis included Pearson’s bivariate correlations and collinearity diagnostics and assessment of variance proportion and tolerance statistics of the dimensions which yielded no evidence of
multicollinearity for variables of interest (see Table 1). In addition, correlation analysis enabled the researchers to confirm the relationships between athlete burnout, basic psychological needs, and both harmonious and obsessive passion. Hayes (2009) suggests that unrelated components should not be included within the multiple regression analysis.

Relationships between the variables of interest (passion, basic needs, athlete burnout) were explored through two models assessing the direct and indirect effects of the individual subscales that demonstrated significant correlations (Hayes, 2009). Therefore, in the two independent models that were tested, each model consisted of the two passion subscales (harmonious and obsessive) as the independent variables, and the significantly correlated athlete burnout variable of sport devaluation as the dependent variable. The bootstrap procedure outlined by Preacher and Hayes (2008) was followed to obtain the confidence intervals associated with the indirect effects (attributable to basic psychological need satisfaction) of passion on athlete burnout. The bootstrapping methodology was based on the recommendations of MacKinnon et al. (2002), where it was identified as the most effective confidence interval procedure that incorporated high statistical power and the best control for type one error (Mallinckrodt, Abraham, Wei, & Russell, 2006). The bootstrapping procedure builds a pseudo-sample distribution by a series of ‘resamples’ from the data, enabling the researcher to make an inference about the size of the indirect effect in the population sampled (Hayes, 2009). In line with the recommendations of Preacher and Hayes (2009) 5,000 samples for robust bootstrapping purposes was employed. Confidence limits derived from bootstrapping that are not zero at the $100 - ci\%$ level of significance infers significant indirect effects between the measured variables (Hayes, 2009). Mathieu and Taylor (2006) suggest that indirect effects and mediation are similar in the way they both describe an intervening process, however there are subtle differences in the
nature of the relationships they each advance. As such, within the ‘apriori hypothesis’ we specify
the nature of effects being examined.

Results

Bivariate correlations (see Table 2) revealed that harmonious passion shared a significant
inverse relationship with the athlete burnout symptom of sport devaluation \((r = -.46, p < .01)\) but
no significant relationship with the burnout symptoms of reduced sense of accomplishment \((r = -
.08)\) and emotional and physical exhaustion \((r = -.12)\). Contrary to expectations, a significant
inverse relationship emerged between obsessive passion and the athlete burnout symptom of
sport devaluation \((r = -.53, p < .01)\). However, there was no significant relationship between
obsessive passion and the burnout symptoms of reduced sense of accomplishment \((r = .02)\) and
emotional and physical exhaustion \((r = .07)\).

Given the non-significant correlations between passion and the burnout symptoms of
reduced sense of accomplishment and emotional and physical exhaustion, sport devaluation was
the sole dependent variable considered in the regression models. Results from the multiple
regression procedures indicated a significant regression models \((\text{harmonious passion } R^2 = .25,
F(2, 117) = 9.41, p < .05 \text{ and } \text{obsessive passion } R^2 = .35, F(2, 117) = 13.96, p < .05)\) (see Table
3). F-ratio scores obtained indicate the overall fit of a regression model. With observed values of
harmonious passion \((F = 9.41)\) and obsessive passion \((F = 13.96)\) significantly above the F
critical value \((p < .05)\), confidence can be garnered from the direct and indirect effects observed
between the variables of interest. Further, follow-up boot-strapping procedures (Preacher &
Hayes, 2008) indicated that basic needs satisfaction partially mediated the effects of harmonious
and obsessive passion on athlete burnout. Specifically, satisfaction with autonomy accounts for
the indirect effect of harmonious (BCa 95% CI = -0.77 to -0.11), and obsessive passion (BCa 95% CI = -0.76 to -0.18) on sport devaluation (see Table 4).

Discussion

The primary purpose of the present investigation was to examine the relationship between passion and athlete burnout symptoms. A secondary purpose was to assess whether, individual basic psychological needs mediated this relationship. It was hypothesized that harmonious passion would have an inverse (negative) relationship with athlete burnout symptoms, while obsessive passion would have a positive relationship. In congruence with our expectations, harmonious passion indeed shared a significant inverse relationship with the athlete burnout symptom of sport devaluation (see Table 2), whilst the relationships with the other burnout symptoms were non-significant. These findings are partially consistent with research in sport contexts (e.g., Curran et al., 2011; 2013; Lonsdale et al., 2009) suggesting that harmonious passion may have the potential to protect competitive athletes from symptoms of athlete burnout however due to the correlational nature of the findings, no causal relationship can be assumed between harmonious passion and sport devaluation at this juncture.

In contrast, it was hypothesized that the adverse cognitive and affective outcomes associated with obsessive passion (Vallerand et al., 2003) would result in a positive relationship with athlete burnout symptoms (Curran et al., 2011; Gustafsson et al., 2011). However, the current study did not yield a significant relationship between obsessive passion and the athlete burnout symptoms of reduced sense of accomplishment and emotional and physical exhaustion. Contrary to expectations, an inverse relationship was identified between obsessive passion and the athlete burnout component of sport devaluation. It was anticipated that an obsessively passionate athlete would demonstrate higher symptoms of sport devaluation due to the perceived
lack of control over their sporting engagement, and the increased experience of maladaptive 
psychological outcomes attached to such rigid participation (e.g., family-life conflict). Although 
these results seem counter-intuitive, it could be explained in different ways.

Firstly, in line with the premise that those who are obsessively passionate tend to over-
value and over identify with their chosen activity (Vallerand et al., 2003), it may offer some 
explanation as to why no significant association was found between obsessive passion and sport 
devaluation. Secondly, in obsessively passionate individuals’, valuation of their sport could be 
argued to derive from the rewards attained (e.g., enhanced self-esteem) and/or punishments 
avoided (e.g., guilt) when participating (Paradis et al., 2013). Consequently, the influence of 
coaching behaviours and motivational climate can influence athlete goals, and how athletes 
attribute their source of success and accomplishment. For example, an ego-involving climate 
provides situational cues that promote intense focus on validating self-worth, fosters perceptions 
of incompetence, and heightens personal threat and anxiety, which could render athletes more 
vulnerable to burnout symptoms (Gustaffson, Hill, Stenling, & Wagnsson, 2016). Obsessively 
passionate individuals are more likely to engage in increased hours of deliberate practice 
(Vallerand et al., 2008) and are more likely to feel compelled to endorse all forms of their goals 
to achieve personal success and mastery; these might include performance-approach (i.e., 
competence relative to others), and avoidance goals (i.e., avoiding incompetence or failure 
relative to others). Moreover, due to the strong attachment, valuation, and importance of the 
given sport, the pursuit of different goals may foster or hinder the opportunity to experience flow 
during performance and a sense of satisfaction when perceptions of competence are facilitated 
(Swann, Crust, & Vella, 2017).
A high level of sport valuation is likely to ensue if an obsessively passionate individual demonstrates competence in an activity that is deemed to be of a high level of importance to them. Such suggestions are supported by Stenseng and Harvold-Dalskau (2010), who identified that with success and mastery, obsessively passionate individuals (in comparison to harmoniously passionate individuals) had the largest increase in self-esteem. Consequently, perceived success in a meaningful task which results in an elevation of self-esteem, is likely to enhance the positive attachment and valuation towards that activity. Although, conceptually and theoretically speaking, the perceptions of competence of an obsessively passionate athlete are more likely to be construed on the basis of others, and thus a risk of negative psychological outcomes (e.g., worthlessness) when they do not perceive success could ensue (Vallerand et al., 2008). Subsequently, previous research suggests performance failure over a long period time is likely to have a significant negative impact upon perceptions of competence, which in turn can influence the value assigned to their sport. However, in the current study, performance factors were not assessed and an inverse relationship between competence and sport devaluation was observed. Sport performance could be an important factor to consider for future work as this may also reinforce why sport devaluation develops towards the end of the burnout process when performance progressively declines in association with emotional and physical exhaustion (Raedeke, 1997; Taris, Le Blanc, Schaufeli, & Schreurs, 2005).

In a further deviation from anticipated findings, no significant relationship was found between obsessive passion and the athlete burnout components of emotional and physical exhaustion and reduced sense of accomplishment. This result was surprising given that obsessive passion has been found to be related to high levels of rigid and compulsive engagement (Vallerand et al., 2003), and has found this association with emotional and physical exhaustion
symptom of athlete burnout (Curran et al., 2011; Gustafsson et al., 2016 Lonsdale et al., 2009).

The absence or lack of salience pertaining to emotional and physical exhaustion—a core component of burnout (Gustafsson et al., 2016)—suggests that perhaps obsessive passion may not be as maladaptive for high level athletes as it may be for the general population pursuing recreational activities. In line with the previous suggestions, it could be surmised that the more obsessively passionate athletes within the current sample, were effectively managed or self-regulated to ensure sufficient rest, and thus avoid performance decrements. Interestingly, examination of values for the current sample of athletes suggest higher levels of burnout symptomology than found in other associated studies (e.g., Curran et al., 2013; Lemyre, Treasure, & Roberts, 2006), however, passion levels, and basic need satisfaction levels were comparable with previous research (e.g., Perreault et al., 2007). It is important to note that while obsessively passionate individuals may take part in more hours of deliberate practice (Vallerand et al., 2008), competitive athletes have structured training programmes and competitions which will incorporate rest days. Interestingly, some studies on obsessive passion have found that obsessively passionate athletes experienced anxiety when they were not training/not able to train which fostered rigid persistence and rumination leading to train in unsafe conditions and to train at the risk of injury (e.g., Rip et al., 2006; Vallerand et al., 2003). Perhaps it could be argued that an obsessively passionate individual may feel less ‘guilt’ or ‘anxiety’ if their structured training programme that is agreed upon with the coach calls for lower volume training days, or rest and recovery from their sport/training environment. This of course requires positive coach-athlete relationships and trust and confidence in the coach (Lafrenière, Jowett, Vallerand, & Carbonneau, 2011). Interestingly, obsessively passionate athletes have been identified to have a strong and committed relationship with their coach who sets their training schedule (Lafrenière,
Expert coaches must be mindful of this fact in that it is likely that the athlete will adhere to instructions to rest from the coach, and subsequently minimise over-training situations normally attributable to physical and mental exhaustion.

**Basic Psychological Needs as a Mediator**

As anticipated, the nature of engagement and emotions derived from being harmoniously passionate was conducive to the satisfaction of basic needs. In accordance with expectations, harmonious passion positively correlated with the three basic psychological needs of autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Vallerand et al., 2003). Similarly, harmonious passion has been identified to be related to situational positive affect, high-quality coach-athlete relationships, increased cohesion, decreased conflict, and positive interpersonal behaviours with others (e.g., Paradis, Carron, & Martin, 2014; Vallerand et al., 2003; Vallerand et al., 2008). Furthermore, harmonious passion was related to the burnout symptom of sport devaluation directly, and indirectly through the three basic psychological needs. These findings extended upon previous passion-burnout research (e.g., Curran et al., 2011; 2013; Rip et al., 2006.) by identifying a mediating role of psychological needs for the relationship between harmonious passion and athlete burnout, within a generalizable sample of competitive athletes. Whilst, Curran et al. (2013) investigated the passion-needs-burnout relationship, their research utilised a specific sample of elite junior soccer players. Youth soccer players are a unique specialized sample due to the highly pressurised climate (Sagar, Busch, & Jowett, 2010) and encounter a greater variety of personal challenges in comparison to other sports (Mills, Butt, Maynard, & Harwood, 2012). The current study permits findings to be applied to a broader spectrum of competitive athletes.
With regards to obsessive passion, the results of the current study found no significant relationship with perceptions of competence and relatedness. However, unexpectedly a significant positive relationship emerged between obsessive passion and the perception of autonomy. The regression analyses and follow-up bootstrapping analysis indicated that obsessive passion was associated with sport devaluation directly and indirectly through the basic psychological need of autonomy. Previous research has regularly demonstrated an association between obsessive passion and a pressured, rigid, and life-conflicting engagement that would undermine autonomy (e.g., Rip et al., 2006). However, by making inferences from other studies, an explanation of the current study’s findings can be cautiously assembled. Firstly, it is possible that an individual may perceive to have autonomy if their engagement aligns well with their own personal objectives (Deci & Ryan, 2000b). For example, Bonneville-Roussy, Lavigne, and Vallerand (2012) identified that despite neglecting other life pursuits at the expense of increased training hours to improve, obsessive passion would not undermine autonomy if participation fits well within the objectives sought (e.g., goal objectives). The objectives sought for obsessively passionate athletes are attributed to performance accomplishments (Vallerand et al., 2008).

Consequently, obsessively passionate athletes are likely to believe they have autonomous sporting engagement because they understand why they are engaging in that sport (e.g., performance success, rewards of self-esteem); the underlying reasons for engagement are thus meaningful to the athlete. Subsequently, although obsessive passion is related to rigid engagement in an activity (Rip et al., 2006), if an athlete understands the rationale behind their engagement, then they are more likely to perceive that they are the initiator of their behaviour; facilitating a sense of autonomy and ownership over their actions. The partial mediating role of
autonomy identified within the current study suggests that if achievement settings foster autonomy, effort energized by obsessive passion may not pose as great a risk for athlete burnout.

**Practical Implications**

Athlete burnout has been identified as a maladaptive experience for sport participants (Raedeke & Smith, 2001; 2009). Thus an implication of the present study was the contribution to understanding the factors that are associated with protecting or manifesting symptoms of athlete burnout and in the present study specifically, sport devaluation. Harmonious passion was identified to be inversely associated with the perception of the athlete burnout symptom of sport devaluation. Thus, it is important for coaches and athletes to be aware of the adaptive role of harmonious passion, which may safeguard athletes from the development of athlete burnout. Further, the importance of mental health and well-being has been advanced (e.g., Vallerand et al., 2003) and thus the allocation of appropriate resources to raise awareness, education, and understanding for important stakeholders (e.g., athletes, coaches, parents, sport psychology practitioners, sport administrators) pertaining to the experiences of maladaptive experiences such as burnout continues to be a worthwhile endeavour in modern sport. Specifically, as it pertains to the present study, understanding the adaptive and maladaptive functions of harmonious and obsessive passion and being able to promote healthy sport engagement, fostering mindfulness, and appropriate self-regulation of behaviour, cognition, and emotional responses to experiencing passion for a sport continues to be an important exercise.

The current study also offers important practical implications regarding the obsessive passion-athlete burnout relationship. Only adaptive effects of obsessive passion were identified, suggesting that obsessive passion was not necessarily associated with athlete burnout. Nevertheless, obsessive passion was also not associated with safeguarding athletes from the
development of athlete burnout symptoms via psychological need satisfaction as saliently as harmonious passion. Therefore, although obsessive passion is an important determinant of robust engagement for deliberate practice and performance excellence (Vallerand et al., 2008), coaches should focus on promoting harmonious passion tendencies within achievement settings in order that there is an increased probability of adaptive behavioural outcomes ensuing.

Although the direct effects of passion on athlete burnout is important, from an applied perspective, the potential indirect role that basic need fulfilment has on protecting athletes from burnout symptoms is pertinent. Despite previous research demonstrating the maladaptive nature of obsessive passion (e.g., Vallerand et al., 2006); the current study identified that a reduction in athlete burnout symptoms may occur if the obsessively passionate individual also possesses high perceptions of autonomy. Consequently, coaches should aim to facilitate the creation of social environments (Paradis & Martin, 2012) that satisfy the three basic psychological needs. One way coaches’ may aim to do this is through providing a rationale for training and the importance of recovery days. To do so may enhance obsessively passionate individuals’ perception of autonomy through helping the athletes to feel that they are involved in decisions regarding their training behaviours, and the consequences thereof. This is likely to promote a sense of ownership over their actions and motives for engagement (Goose & Winter, 2012). Moreover, by sharing responsibility for decisions, the respected coach can reduce the potential anxiety and ‘guilt’ associated with resting from their sport. Likewise, it is important for sport psychology practitioners working with athletes whom may be experiencing symptoms of burnout to consider the role of the individual’s passion for their sport, and their overall satisfaction and fulfilment of their basic psychological needs. Further practitioners should attempt to equip athletes with the appropriate mental skills to foster well-being. Developing appropriate intervention strategies
such as Rational Emotive Behavioural Therapy (e.g., Vertopoulos & Turner, 2017) for athletes who may experience associated symptoms of burnout such as rigidity and rumination, cognitive dissonance, irrational beliefs, anxiety, anger, or denial that they may be burnt out from sport are also important considerations for practitioners.

**Limitations and Future Directions**

Although the current study produced some interesting findings, certain limitations should be acknowledged. First, the current study was conducted with a relatively small sample size, although it satisfied the conditions for minimal power required for such analyses, sample sizes of 300 or more are often preferred and thought of as comforting (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007). A larger sample size may have yielded more significant results and provided greater confidence in the findings.

A common assumption of athlete burnout is that it is an evolving process where factors influencing psychological need satisfaction may affect motivational dynamics over time (Cresswell & Eklund, 2006; Deci & Ryan, 2000a). Specifically, within coaching and physical training environments, it is common for athletes to experience mixed patterns of positive and negative events (e.g., poor performance, within career transitions). Consequently, feelings of high or low need satisfaction are likely to fluctuate over the course of the competitive season (Smoll & Smith, 2002). Moreover, whilst the findings from the current study broaden the knowledge of the passion-basic needs-burnout relationship, the current study only represents a snapshot of burnout symptomology. Furthermore, whilst the current study did identify athlete burnout symptomology higher than reported in previous studies (e.g., Lemyre et al., 2006), this could be a result of the context (winter training) which might be a time when burnout symptoms are most likely manifested due to a high volume of train loading in preparation for the
competitive season. Consequently, future research should consider longitudinal studies that will monitor the passion-athlete burnout relationship and how basic psychological needs may mediate the athlete burnout process over time.

Second, it is also important to consider contextual differences within the conceptualisation of athlete burnout which may account for the current study’s findings. For example, within occupational burnout the sport devaluation symptom is measured by depersonalisation and/or cynicism, which refers to a detachment from an individual’s identity such as dehumanizing clientele/labelling (Tassell & Flett, 2007). However, it could be argued that the sporting operationalization of burnout may not align fully conceptual and operationalization of passion which infers that the activity becomes a central component of the individual’s identity (Vallerand et al., 2003). Within the context of sport, depersonalization or cynicism is replaced with sport devaluation, which refers to a decrease in the value of sport participation (Raedeke & Smith, 2001; 2009). If anything, in obsessively passionate individuals, the activity is over-valued and over represented in the person’s identity. This may explain why contrary findings were experienced with obsessive passion and burnout. Considering these contextual differences, Hagger and Chatzisarantis (2009) suggested that one way to explain the true nature of the passion-basic needs-burnout effect is a qualitative approach, which could be achieved through semi-structured interviews, or focus groups with athletes and coaches. This approach will enable the acquisition of detailed and reflective accounts of rich experiences from participants that cannot be always be captured quantitatively. This approach can yield participant insights about the experience, meaning, and interpretation of athlete burnout symptoms, how basic needs are fulfilled (or not fulfilled), and how individual passion influences these factors. With the great advances in qualitative methodology for sport and exercise psychology research
(e.g., Smith & McGannon, 2017), future researchers seeking to advance this line of research are encouraged to adopt an appropriate qualitative approach to this line of inquiry.

The current study partially supported the mediating role of satisfaction with one basic psychological need (autonomy) between passion (harmonious and obsessive) and one athlete burnout symptom of sport devaluation. Consistent with hypothesized predictions, harmonious passion may provide an athlete with greater resilience against developing symptoms of athlete burnout. However, contrary to expectations (e.g., Curran et al., 2011; 2013; Vallerand et al., 2003) obsessive passion did not yield a predisposition for athlete burnout.
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Table 1

Means, standard deviations and Cronbach’s alphas for competitive athletes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD(±)</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABQ-RSA</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABQ-EPE</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABQ-SD</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPN-A</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPN-C</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPN-R</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Obsessive Passion (OP) Harmonious Passion (HP), Reduced Sense of Accomplishment (ABQ-RSA), Emotional and Physical Exhaustion (ABQ-EPE), Sport Devaluation (ABQ-SD), Autonomy (BPN-A), Competence (BPN-C), Relatedness (BPN-R).
Table 2

Significant Pearson correlations for passion, basic needs and athlete burnout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Harmonious</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Obsessive</td>
<td>.608**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Autonomy</td>
<td>.516**</td>
<td>.451**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Competence</td>
<td>.323**</td>
<td>.143</td>
<td>.354**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relatedness</td>
<td>.319**</td>
<td>.155</td>
<td>.367**</td>
<td>.252**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sport Devaluation</td>
<td>-.459**</td>
<td>-.534**</td>
<td>-.331**</td>
<td>-.260**</td>
<td>-.071</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Reduced Sense of Accomplishment</td>
<td>-.076</td>
<td>.024</td>
<td>.073</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>-.003</td>
<td>.389**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Emotional and Physical Exhaustion</td>
<td>-.121</td>
<td>.071</td>
<td>.085</td>
<td>.038</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>.256**</td>
<td>.222**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
Table 3

Regression squared, Standardized Beta coefficients, F Values, and F-change scores for the relationship between passion, basic needs, and athlete burnout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$\beta$ (Autonomy)</th>
<th>$\beta$ (Sport Devaluation)</th>
<th>$F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harmonious Passion</td>
<td>.247</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>9.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive Passion</td>
<td>.347</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>-.41</td>
<td>13.961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Dependent variable: Sport Devaluation (p < 0.05)*
Table 4

Bootstrapping results for the total indirect effect of passion on basic psychological needs (based on 5000 bootstrap samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>BCa L</th>
<th>95% CI U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harmonious Passion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>-.77</td>
<td>-.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-.34</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatedness</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive Passion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomy</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>-.76</td>
<td>-.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Dependent Variable = Sport Devaluation (p < 0.05)*