UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
DEPARTMENT OF NUTRITION, EXERCISE AND SPORTS

The Copenhagen Consensus Conference Statement 2018: Physical Activity and Ageing

Jens Bangsbo[1], Joanna Blackwell[2], Carl-Johan Boraxbekk[3], Paolo Caserotti[4], Flemming Dela[5], Adam B. Evans[1], Astrid P. Jespersen[6], Lasse Gliemann[1], Arthur F. Kramer[7], Jesper Lundbye-Jensen[1], Erik Lykke Mortensen[8], Aske Juul Lassen[6], Alan J. Gow[9], Stephen D.R. Harridge[10], Ylva Hellsten[1], Michael Kjaer[11], Urho M. Kujala[12], Ryan Rhodes[13], Elizabeth C.J. Pike[14], Timothy Skinner[15], Thomas Skovgaard[4], Jens Troelsen[4], Emanuelle Tulle[16], Mark A. Tully[17], Jannique G.Z. van Uffelen[18], Jose Viña[19].

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Jens Bangsbo, Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 2100-Ø, Denmark; jbangsbo@nexs.ku.dk

Abstract

From 19th to 22nd November 2018, 26 researchers representing nine countries and a variety of academic disciplines met in Snekkersten, Denmark, to reach evidence-based consensus about physical activity and older adults. It was recognised that the term “older adults” represents a highly heterogeneous population. It encompasses those that remain highly active and healthy throughout the life-course with a high intrinsic capacity to the very old and frail with low intrinsic capacity.

The consensus is drawn from a wide range of research methodologies within epidemiology, medicine, physiology, neuroscience, psychology and sociology, recognising the strength and limitations of each of the methods. Much of the evidence presented in the statements is based on longitudinal associations from observational and randomised controlled intervention studies, as well as quantitative and qualitative social studies in relatively healthy community-dwelling older adults. Nevertheless, we also considered research with frail older adults and those with age-associated neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease, and in a few cases molecular and cellular outcome measures from animal studies.

The consensus statements distinguish between physical activity and exercise. *Physical activity* is used as an umbrella term that includes both structured and unstructured forms of leisure, transport, domestic and work-related activities. Physical activity entails body movement that increases energy expenditure relative to rest, and is often characterized in terms of intensity from light, to moderate to vigorous. *Exercise* is defined as a subset of structured physical activities that are more specifically designed to improve cardio-respiratory fitness, cognitive function, flexibility balance, strength and/or power.

This statement presents the consensus on the effects of physical activity on older adults’ fitness, health, cognitive functioning, functional capacity, engagement, motivation, psychological well-being and social inclusion. It also covers the consensus on physical activity implementation strategies. While it is recognised that adverse events can occur during exercise, the risk can be minimised by carefully choosing the type of activity undertaken and by consultation with the individual’s physician when warranted, e.g. when the individual is frail, has a number of co-morbidities, or has exercise-related symptoms, such as chest pain, heart arrhythmia or dizziness.

The consensus was obtained through an iterative process that began with the presentation of the state-of-the-science in each domain, followed by group and plenary discussions. Ultimately, the participants reached agreement on the 30-item consensus statements.

Statements

Theme 1. Functional capacity and health

1. Being physically active is a key factor in maintaining health and in normal functioning of physiological systems across the life-course.
2. Physically active older adults, compared to older inactive adults, show benefits in terms of physical and cognitive function, intrinsic capacity, mobility, musculoskeletal pain, risk of falls and fractures, depression, quality of life and compression of disability.
3. Physical inactivity in older adults is associated with a trajectory towards disease and increased risk of premature all-cause mortality. The conditions and diseases (and their key risk factors) include metabolic dysfunction, cardiovascular diseases, some types of cancer and sarcopenia. Together this translates into increased years of ill health.
4. In older adults that have not previously been active, evidence shows that multiple physiological systems will be improved by increasing physical activity and undertaking exercise training programmes. In addition, exercise can be used to improve functional capacity, as an adjunct treatment for many diseases and for rehabilitation.
5. Compared to inactive older adults, lifelong physically active older adults have higher levels of physiological function. This includes the neuro-muscular, metabolic, skeletal, cardiovascular and immune systems.
6. Emerging evidence suggests that the benefits for older adults (e.g. better physical function and reduced premature mortality) can be realized at lower volume and lower intensity than the often used guidelines of 150 min of moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity per week. There is, however, a positive dose response with regard to volume and intensity of the exercise.
7. The heterogeneity of the older population means that tailored strategies for physical activity and/or exercise are likely to be required for physiological benefits.
8. Sedentary behaviour may be an independent risk factor of health for older adults. However, evidence is needed on the health benefits of replacing sedentary behaviour with activity.
9. It is unclear whether previously inactive older individuals who undertake physical activity/ exercise programmes will be able to reach the levels of physiological function of lifelong exercisers.
10. In acknowledging the heterogeneity of the older adult population, it was agreed that further research is required to determine the precise exercise modality, e.g. resistance, balance, flexibility, aerobic exercise, or a combination of modalities, and what durations and intensities of exercise will be required for optimal benefits.

Theme 2. Brain health and cognitive function

11. Physical activity has proven benefits for cognitive and brain health in older adults.

12. Observational studies provide consistent evidence that age-associated cognitive decline and neurodegeneration (also observed in e.g. Alzheimer Disease, Parkinson's disease) may be slowed or delayed in physically active adults.
13. Acute moderate-intensity physical activity for older adults (e.g. of 10 minutes duration) results in short-term benefits for cognitive performance and functional brain responses.
14. From randomised control trial studies with older adults that typically involve around 3 hours of training/physical activity per week over periods ranging from a few months to a year, there is modest and growing evidence for improvements in brain structure and function, and cognitive, perceptual and motor skills.
15. From randomised control trial studies in older animals, the molecular and cellular brain mechanisms underpinning physical activity benefits are more clearly elucidated; these involve functional and structural brain plasticity.
16. Interventions with older adults often employ aerobic type activities, so more evidence is needed on other types of physical activity including resistance training, balance, postural control, active games, and a combination of these.

Theme 3. Behaviour change, intention and habits

17. Self-efficacy, intention, depression (negative), objective and self-reported health are consistently associated with physical activity for older adults.
18. Physical activity behaviour change interventions with older adults result in modest increases in behaviour in the short term (up to 6 months). Longer term sustainability of these changes in physical activity has yet to be established.
19. Interventions with older adults that have a clear and strong link to behaviour change theory produce more consistent effects.
20. No one behaviour change theory is more effective than any other, in promoting changes in physical activity in older adults.
21. Interventions with older adults that combine both behavioural and cognitive behaviour change techniques are more effective than interventions that only use one.
22. Emerging evidence suggests emotion and habits are also important correlates of regular physical activity for older adults. Future research needs to examine the potential of targeting these factors in promoting physical activity.
23. The effectiveness of physical activity behaviour change interventions for older adults generalizes across mode of delivery, setting and professional background of the person delivering the intervention.
24. Physical activity is an individual behaviour that is influenced by interpersonal, environmental and policy factors.

Theme 4. Sociological perspectives

25. Social and structural inequalities influence levels of participation in the practices of being physically active among older adults.
26. Lifelong subjective experiences of physical activity shape older adults' understandings and practices of physical activity.
27. When physical activity is meaningful to them, older adults are more likely to continue participation.
28. Older adults can remain or become active where there are supportive physical, social and cultural environmental features.
29. Safe, walkable, and aesthetically pleasing neighbourhoods can afford older adults' the opportunity for participation in physical activity.
30. Lifelong physical activity experiences and habits have an influence on participation in later life. More studies are required and should include natural experiments which pay account to the ways subjective experiences across the life course, including transitions between life-situations, shape physical activity routines in old age.

Authors' affiliations

[1] Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

[2] Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, University of Copenhagen, Denmark and University of Lincoln, UK

[3] Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Centre for Functional and Diagnostic Imaging and Research, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Denmark and Umeå Center for Functional Brain Imaging (UFBI) and Centre for Demographic and Aging Research (CEDAR), Umeå University, Sweden

[4] Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, Center for Active and Healthy Ageing, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark

[5] Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark and Department of Geriatrics, Bispebjerg-Frederiksberg hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

[6] Copenhagen Centre for Health Research in the Humanities, Saxo-Institute, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

[7] Center for Cognitive and Brain Health, Northeastern University, Boston, USA

[8] Department of Public Health and Center for Healthy Aging, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

[9]Department of Psychology, Heriot-Watt University, United Kingdom, and Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

[10]Centre for Human and Applied Physiological Sciences, King's College London, United Kingdom

[11]Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

[12]Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

[13]School of Exercise Science, Physical & Health Education, University of Victoria, BC, Canada

[14]Department of Psychology and Sport Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, United Kingdom

[15]Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen, Denmark and Steno Diabetes Centre Copenhagen, Denmark

[16]Glasgow School for Business and Society, Glasgow Caledonian University, United Kingdom

[17]School of Health Sciences, Institute of Mental Health Sciences, Ulster University, United Kingdom

[18]Department of Movement Sciences, Physical Activity, Sports & Health Research Group, KU Leuven - University of Leuven, Belgium

[19]Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia, Spain