



The impact of sex on severe asthma: a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of UK primary and specialist care

Loewenthal, L., Busby, J., Mc Dowell, R., Brown, T., Burhan, H., Chaudhuri, R., Dennison, P., Dodd, J., Doe, S., Faruqi, S., Gore, R., Idris, E., Jackson, D., Patel, M., Pantin, T., Pavord, I. D., Pfeffer, P., Price, D., Rupani, H., ... Menzies-gow, A. (2023). The impact of sex on severe asthma: a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of UK primary and specialist care: a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of UK primary and specialist care. *Thorax*, 1-9. Article thorax-2023-220512. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thorax-2023-220512>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
Thorax

Publication Status:
Published online: 16/12/2023

DOI:
[10.1136/thorax-2023-220512](https://doi.org/10.1136/thorax-2023-220512)

Document Version
Author Accepted version

Document Licence:
CC BY-NC

General rights

The copyright and moral rights to the output are retained by the output author(s), unless otherwise stated by the document licence.

Unless otherwise stated, users are permitted to download a copy of the output for personal study or non-commercial research and are permitted to freely distribute the URL of the output. They are not permitted to alter, reproduce, distribute or make any commercial use of the output without obtaining the permission of the author(s).

If the document is licenced under Creative Commons, the rights of users of the documents can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/share-your-work/ccllicenses/>.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk

1 **Title**

2 The impact of sex on severe asthma: a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of UK primary and
3 specialist care

4

5 **Authors**

6 Lola Loewenthal¹, John Busby², Ronald McDowell², Thomas Brown³, Hassan Burhan⁴, Rekha
7 Chaudhuri⁵, Paddy Dennison⁶, James W. Dodd⁷, Simon Doe⁸, Shoaib Faruqi⁹, Robin Gore¹⁰, Elfatih
8 Idris¹¹, David J. Jackson¹², Mitesh Patel¹³, Thomas Pantin¹⁴, Ian Pavord¹⁵, Paul E. Pfeffer¹⁶, David Price¹⁷,
9 ¹⁸, Hitasha Rupani⁶, Salman Siddiqui¹, Liam G Heaney², Andrew Menzies-Gow¹⁹ on behalf of the UK
10 Severe Asthma Registry

11

12 **Affiliations**

13 ¹ National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

14 ² Queen's University, Belfast United Kingdom

15 ³ Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust, Portsmouth, United Kingdom

16 ⁴ Royal Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom

17 ⁵ Gartnavel General Hospital and University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

18 ⁶ University Hospitals Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, United Kingdom

19 ⁷ Academic Respiratory Unit, Translational Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Southmead Hospital
20 Bristol, United Kingdom

21 ⁸ The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS FT, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom

22 ⁹ Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Hull, United Kingdom

23 ¹⁰ Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom

24 ¹¹ Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke, United Kingdom

25 ¹² Guy's Severe Asthma Centre, King's Centre for Lung Health, King's College London, United Kingdom

26 ¹³ Derriford Hospital, Plymouth, United Kingdom

27 ¹⁴ Wythenshawe Hospital, Manchester, United Kingdom

28 ¹⁵ NIHR Respiratory BRC, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United
29 Kingdom

30 ¹⁶ St Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom

31 ¹⁷ Observational and Pragmatic Research Institute, Singapore, Singapore

32 ¹⁸ Centre of Academic Primary Care, Division of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen,
33 Aberdeen, United Kingdom

34 ¹⁹ Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom

35

36 **Corresponding Author:**

37

38 Andrew Menzies-Gow,

39 Department of Respiratory Medicine,

40 Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals,

41 Sydney Street,

42 London,

43 SW3 6NP, UK.

44 a.menzies-gow@rbht.nhs.uk

45

46 **ABSTRACT**

47

48 **Introduction:** After puberty, females are more likely to develop asthma and in a more severe form
49 than males. The associations between asthma and sex are complex with multiple intrinsic and external
50 factors.

51

52 **Aim:** To evaluate the sex differences in the characteristics and treatment of patients with severe
53 asthma (SA) in a real-world setting.

54

55 **Methods:** Demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics for patients with SA in the UK Severe
56 Asthma Registry (UKSAR) and Optimum Patient Care Research Database (OPCRD) were retrospectively
57 analysed by sex using univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses adjusted for year, age,
58 and hospital/practice.

59

60 **Results:** 3,679 (60.9% female) patients from UKSAR and 18,369 patients (67.9% female) from OPCR
61 with SA were included. Females were more likely to be symptomatic with increased Asthma Control
62 Questionnaire-6 (UKSAR aOR: 1.14, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.18) and RCP-3 Question scores (OPCRD aOR: 1.29:
63 1.13, 1.47). However, they had a higher FEV₁% predicted (UKSAR 68.7% vs. 64.8%, p<0.001) with no
64 significant difference in peak expiratory flow. Type-2 biomarkers IgE (UKSAR 129IU/ml vs. 208IU/ml,
65 p<0.001) and FeNO (UKSAR 36ppb vs. 46ppb, p<0.001) were lower in females with no significant
66 difference in blood eosinophils or biologic therapy. Females were less likely to be on maintenance OCS
67 (UKSAR aOR 0.86: 0.75, 0.99) but more likely to be obese (UKSAR aOR 1.67: 1.45, 1.93; OPCR SA aOR:
68 1.46: 1.34, 1.58).

69

70 **Conclusions:** Females had increased symptoms and were more likely to be obese despite higher FEV₁%
71 predicted and lower type-2 biomarkers with consistent and clinically important differences across
72 both datasets.

73

74 **What is already known on this topic**

75 Severe asthma is more common in females. It is associated with different disease characteristics
76 between the sexes, including females having a higher symptom burden and lower expression of type-
77 2 biomarkers.

78

79 **What this study adds**

80 Males and females with severe asthma have significant clinical differences in their asthma symptoms,
81 healthcare utilisation, type-2 biomarkers, and associated comorbidities. These differences have been
82 demonstrated in a large well characterised and robust real-world cohorts across both specialist and
83 primary care adding understanding to the sex differences of specific clinical characteristics in severe
84 asthma.

85

86 **How this study might affect research, practice, or policy**

87 Understanding the different characteristics associated with severe asthma between males and
88 females is essential in establishing personalised care for patients and focusing future research on the
89 mechanisms underlying the differences seen.

90

91 **INTRODUCTION**

92 Asthma has an estimated global prevalence of over 350 million[1] with 15.6% of the UK population
93 being diagnosed in their lifetime[2]. This includes approximately 3-10% with severe asthma (SA)[3],
94 many of whom are potentially hidden in primary care[4]. Despite its relatively small proportion, SA
95 accounts for the majority of morbidity and economic costs associated with asthma[5, 6]. Severe
96 asthma is defined by the European Respiratory Society/ American Thoracic Society (ERS/ATS) as
97 asthma requiring treatment with high-dose inhaled corticosteroids plus a second controller (and/or
98 systemic corticosteroids) to prevent it from becoming ‘uncontrolled’ or which remains ‘uncontrolled’
99 despite this therapy[3].

100

101 Asthma, which is characterised by chronic airway inflammation, remodelling and hyperresponsiveness
102 with variable airflow obstruction and respiratory symptoms, is a heterogeneous disease in both
103 pathogenesis and clinical characteristics. Whilst asthma prevalence of all severities is higher in males
104 at prepuberty, the switch to a female predominance by adulthood is well established[7, 8].
105 Furthermore, females are more likely to develop asthma in their lifetime and in a more severe form
106 than their male counterparts[1]. The associations between asthma and sex are, however, complex.
107 Shifts in the sex prevalence of asthma coincide with changes in sex hormones suggesting a potential
108 role in asthma pathogenesis[9, 10], however, epidemiological studies have been inconclusive[11].
109 Further factors, including sex and gender-associated exposures and behaviours such as occupation,
110 smoking, healthcare utilisation and access, alongside genetic and epigenetic factors also influence the
111 relationship between asthma and sex[8].

112

113 Despite a growing understanding of the complex and important relationship between the intrinsic and
114 external factors associated with sex and asthma there is little understanding of the real-world
115 differences seen in clinical practice. Previous studies have attempted to phenotype patients with SA
116 through multivariate cluster analysis, identifying clusters supporting the complex and heterogeneous
117 relationship between asthma and sex[12]. Analysis from the UBIOPRED cohort identified a cluster of
118 predominantly obese female patients with SA who had frequent exacerbations but near-normal lung
119 function[13]. Type-2 (T2) asthma, which is driven by allergic and/ or eosinophilic pathways has been
120 found to have a male predominance in further SA cohorts[14-16], and a male predominant cluster
121 with SA, nasal polyps, eosinophilia, and high dose corticosteroid use was previously identified from
122 the SARP programme[17]. These T2 pathways, which can be identified through biomarkers such as
123 FeNO, IgE and blood eosinophils respond to corticosteroid therapy and can be targeted through

124 biological therapy in uncontrolled SA[18]. It is therefore important to understand the differences in
125 disease characteristics and T2 markers between males and females for diagnostic and personalised
126 treatment pathways to be developed in SA.

127 The effect of T2 biomarker guided therapy can also be impacted by sex. A post-hoc analysis by sex of
128 the Refractory Asthma Stratification Programme (RASP-UK) biomarker study found a greater
129 proportion of females with SA were able to reduce their corticosteroid dose using a T2 biomarker
130 algorithm when compared to standard care, a difference not seen in males [19]. This study found a
131 dissociation between the sexes in symptoms and T2 biomarkers with a higher proportion of females
132 to be symptom high/ T2 biomarker low whilst males were symptom low/T2 biomarker high. The
133 differences in self-reported symptoms were also shown to be mediated by obesity or a history of
134 depression/ anxiety. Such findings demonstrate the importance of understanding sex differences in
135 the delivery of SA therapy. However, the current literature does not address the need to provide real-
136 world comparison of the differences in the disease and treatment between males and females with
137 SA.

138

139 This study aims to evaluate the sex differences in disease characteristics, symptom control,
140 exacerbations, biological phenotypes, and treatment in patients with SA using a retrospective
141 epidemiological approach.

142

143 **METHODS**

144 **Study Population**

145 This is a retrospective epidemiological study using cohorts from two datasets. The UK Severe Asthma
146 Registry (UKSAR) is a national database containing demographic, clinical and treatment characteristics
147 on patients referred to specialist UK SA centres with SA[20]. All patients provide written informed
148 consent and the UKSAR has database ethical approval from the Office of Research Ethics Northern
149 Ireland (15/NI/0196). Patients have undergone systematic assessment and those diagnosed with SA
150 according to the ERS/ATS criteria[21] were included in this analysis.

151

152 The Optimum Patient Care Research Database (OPCRD) is a UK nationally-representative
153 pseudonymised dataset of 18 million patients registered at 1000 general practices within the UK (24%
154 of the UK population)[22]. The OPCRD is approved by the UK National Health Service for clinical
155 research use (15/EM/0150). It contains information on patient demographics, clinical diagnoses,

156 medication prescriptions and referrals coded through the Read and SNOMED classification systems.
157 To prevent time-window bias[23], a standard one-year window was used to assess outcomes for all
158 patients. Those with less than one year of eligible follow-up time were excluded from the study. A
159 one-year ascertainment period was randomly chosen for patients with more than one year's eligible
160 follow-up time. To increase the comparability of our cohort, those with an alternative respiratory
161 diagnosis in the three years prior to inclusion were also excluded.

162

163 SA in the OPCR cohort was defined according to GINA 2018[24] criteria as those who remained
164 uncontrolled (≥ 2 exacerbations within a year) on step 4 treatment or who require maintenance oral
165 corticosteroids (OCS) to achieve control.

166

167 **Exposures, Outcomes and Covariates**

168 The primary outcomes of interest were T2 biomarkers (blood eosinophils, fractional exhaled nitric
169 oxide [FeNO] and immunoglobulin E [IgE]), lung function (forced expiratory volume in the first second
170 [FEV₁], forced vital capacity [FVC] and peak expiratory flow [PEF]), asthma control, asthma phenotype
171 (atopy), asthma medications (treatment adherence, maintenance oral corticosteroid [OCS] use,
172 biologic therapy use), healthcare utilisation (exacerbations, emergency department [ED] attendance,
173 hospital admission, asthma review and respiratory referral) and comorbidities. Outcome
174 measurements were all taken at baseline prior to the initiation of biologic therapy.

175

176 Lung function recordings were taken as raw measurements and percent predicated calculated using
177 the formula by Knudson et al[25] for PEF and Global Lung Function Initiative[26] for FEV₁ and FVC.
178 Asthma control was measured by the Asthma Control Questionnaire-6 (ACQ6)[27] in the UKSAR and
179 Royal College of Physicians-3 Questions (RCP 3Q)[28] in the OPCR. Treatment adherence was
180 assessed using the fixed medication possession ratio (MPR) of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) during the
181 ascertainment period. Good adherence was defined as an MPR of greater than or equal to 70%.
182 Obesity was defined as a BMI of 30kg/m² or greater. Comorbidities in the OPCR cohort were
183 identified through Read codes, which were used to identify a list based on the Charlson comorbidity
184 index[29], depression/ anxiety and those related to systemic corticosteroid exposure[30]. Full details
185 of the variables used in the analysis, including the time-period in which they were assessed, are
186 provided in Supplement table 1. UKSAR baseline data was collected at the time of registration, prior
187 to biologic therapy being started, and follow-up data collected annually.

188

189 **Statistical Analysis**

190 This was a complete case analysis using all available data from the UKSAR and OPCRD. We calculated
191 descriptive statistics and compared the demographic and clinical characteristics of male and female
192 patients. Various statistical models were used depending on the distribution of the outcome variable
193 including logistic (e.g. atopy, maintenance OCS use, any ED attendance, uncontrolled asthma) and
194 Poisson (e.g. number of exacerbations) models. To aid interpretation and comparability across
195 outcomes, all results are shown as ratios (continuous variables), odds ratios (binary variables) or risk
196 ratios (count variables). Consequently, we used gamma generalised linear models with a log link
197 function to analyse continuous outcomes. Multivariable analyses adjusted for demographic factors
198 were conducted accounting for age (5-year categories) and year. The UKSAR analysis additionally
199 adjusted for hospital site, while the clustering of patients within GP practices in the OPCRD was
200 accounted for using cluster robust standard errors. We chose this limited set of adjustment variables
201 to prevent any overadjustment bias, whereby adjustment is made for variables which lie on the causal
202 path between sex and outcomes, to ensure that we captured the full magnitude of any sex
203 disparities[31]. For example, adjustment for socioeconomic status within our models could lead us to
204 exclude gender disparities driven by socioeconomic disadvantage among females. We accounted for
205 clustering within hospitals using fixed-effect in the UKSAR, and clustering within practices in the
206 OPCRD using cluster robust standard errors, while fixed-effects were used to account for clustering
207 within hospitals in the UKSAR due to a much smaller number of sites.'

208

209 **Sensitivity and supplementary analysis**

210 Sensitivity analysis was performed using patients with mild to moderate asthma from the OPCRD
211 cohort to assess the potential impact of disease severity on our findings. Mild/ moderate asthma was
212 defined as patients with a diagnosis of asthma on GINA step 2-3 therapy[24]. Those patients who had
213 required OCS within the last 12 months were excluded from the mild/ moderate asthma group to
214 provide a clear comparator, avoiding patients with underlying SA whose therapy had not been stepped
215 up. All patients with alternative respiratory diagnoses were excluded. We investigated potential
216 mediation due to BMI (categorised as <25, 25-30, ≥ 30 kg/m²), depression/ anxiety and smoking status
217 using the methods of Baron and Kenny[32] to understand the extent to which they may mediate
218 gender disparities. A directed acyclic graph displaying the assumed relationships between the variables
219 included within our mediation analysis is provided in Supplementary figure 1.

220

221 **RESULTS**

222 **Cohort Demographics**

223 The UKSAR analysis contained 3,679 patients (2,242 [60.9%] females) with SA from 17 specialist
224 secondary-care clinical centres, whilst the OPCRCD analysis contained 18,369 patients (12,468 [67.9%]
225 females) with SA within primary care. Details of the study flow diagram can be seen in Supplement
226 figure 2). Patients in the UKSAR cohort were on higher doses of ICS than SA patients from the OPCRCD
227 cohort (median 2000 vs. 1000 BDP). Patient demographics and clinical characteristics are shown in
228 tables 1 and 2, whilst details of the multivariable analysis are in supplement table 2 and 3.

229

230

231 **Table 1.** Comparison of female and male patients with severe asthma in the UK Severe Asthma
 232 Registry

Characteristic	Female (n =2,242)	Male (n = 1,437)	P-value
Age at baseline assessment^a	48.9 (15.3)	54.0 (14.1)	<0.001
<35	464 (20.7%)	157 (10.9%)	
35-54	907 (40.5%)	535 (37.3%)	
55-74	792 (35.4%)	668 (46.5%)	
75+	77 (3.4%)	76 (5.3%)	
Ethnicity^b			0.094
Caucasian	1,808 (81.8%)	1,189 (83.7%)	
Southeast Asian	83 (3.8%)	58 (4.1%)	
Northeast Asian	43 (1.9%)	30 (2.1%)	
African	73 (3.3%)	25 (1.8%)	
Mixed	15 (0.7%)	11 (0.8%)	
Other	187 (8.5%)	107 (7.5%)	
Age at onset of symptoms^a	22.8 (18.4)	29.1 (21.5)	<0.001
FEV₁ (% predicted)^a	68.7 (21.1)	64.8 (21.0)	<0.001
FVC (% predicted)^a	83.6 (19.2)	84.4 (19.2)	0.248
FEV₁ / FVC ratio^b			<0.001
<70%	1,182 (56.6%)	988 (73.3%)	
>70%	907 (43.4%)	359 (26.7%)	
KCO (% predicted)^a	94.7 (32.9)	102.6 (20.4)	<0.001
ACQ6 score^a	3.1 (1.3)	2.6 (1.4)	<0.001
Uncontrolled asthma (ACQ6 >1.5)^b	1,528 (85.6%)	850 (75.7%)	<0.001
Courses of rescue steroids in last year^b			<0.001
0	178 (8.2%)	185 (13.4%)	
1	142 (6.6%)	106 (7.7%)	
2	163 (7.5%)	107 (7.8%)	
3	205 (9.5%)	161 (11.7%)	
≥4	1,477 (68.2%)	820 (59.5%)	
ED attendances for asthma (last year)^c	0 (0,1)	0 (0,1)	<0.001
Any ED Attendance (last year)^b	808 (38.3%)	383 (28.7%)	<0.001
Any hospital admissions (last year)^b	884 (40.9%)	417 (30.5%)	<0.001
On maintenance OCS^b	1,045 (46.9%)	747 (52.3%)	0.001
Maintenance OCS (mg)^c	10 (8,20)	10 (8,15)	0.026
ICS dose (BDP equivalent-ug)^c	2000 (1600,2000)	2000 (1600,2000)	0.074
Treatment adherent^b	1,713 (81.5%)	1,081 (80.6%)	0.491
On biologic therapy^b	1,608 (72.4%)	1,044 (73.3%)	0.553

Anti-IL5/ 5RA	1,184 (80.9%)	804 (84.9%)	
Anti-IgE	274 (18.7%)	140 (14.8%)	
Anti-IL4/13	5 (0.3%)	3 (0.3%)	
Other	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	
FeNO (ppb)^c	36 (18,66)	46 (26,81)	<0.001
Blood eosinophil count (10⁹/L)^c	0.37 (0.20,0.60)	0.40 (0.20,0.61)	0.1
Highest blood eosinophil count (10⁹/L)^b			0.394
<0.150	483 (22.3%)	295 (21.2%)	
0.150-0.300	328 (15.1%)	197 (14.2%)	
>0.300	1359 (62.5%)	900 (66.7%)	
BMI (Kg/m²)^a	31.5 (7.8)	29.5 (5.8)	<0.001
Normal/ underweight (<24.9)	453 (21.1%)	278 (20.4%)	
Overweight (25-29.9)	559 (26.1%)	538 (39.4%)	
Obese (≥30 Kg/m ²)	1,132 (52.8%)	548 (40.2%)	
Smoking status^b			<0.001
Never smoked	1,483 (67.5%)	861 (61.3%)	
Ex-smoker	603 (27.5%)	500 (35.6%)	
Current smoker	110 (5.0%)	44 (3.1%)	
Comorbidities^b			
Atopic disease	1,210 (55.6%)	739 (52.9%)	0.113
Depression/ anxiety	219 (9.8%)	90 (6.3%)	<0.001
Nasal polyps	249 (11.1%)	242 (16.8%)	<0.001

233

234 Data is calculated as mean (SD) using t-test (^a), count (%) with chi-square (^b) and median (IQR) with
235 Man-Whitney U (^c) statistical tests.

236

237 **Table 2.** Comparison of female and male patients with severe asthma in the Optimum Patient Care
 238 Research Database

Characteristic	Female (N = 12,468)	Male (N = 5,901)	P-value
Age (years)^a	56.1 (16.4)	57.2 (15.8)	<0.001
<35	1,393 (11.2%)	549 (9.3%)	
35-54	4,507 (36.1%)	2,049 (34.7%)	
55-74	4,779 (38.3%)	2,475 (41.9%)	
75+	1,789 (14.3%)	828 (14.0%)	
Ethnicity^b			0.275
White	8,404 (95.4%)	3,920 (94.7%)	
Mixed	22 (0.2%)	12 (0.3%)	
Asian	309 (3.5%)	160 (3.9%)	
Black	43 (0.5%)	22 (0.5%)	
Other	32 (0.4%)	25 (0.6%)	
Index of multiple deprivation (quintile)^b			0.072
5 (Least deprived)	2,563 (20.7%)	1,281 (21.9%)	
4	2,420 (19.5%)	1,200 (20.5%)	
3	2,275 (18.4%)	1,075 (18.4%)	
2	3,378 (27.3%)	1,513 (25.8%)	
1 (Most deprived)	1,743 (14.1%)	788 (13.5%)	
Peak flow (% predicted)^c	77.2 (62.7,91.4)	76.4 (59.4,91.5)	0.007
Uncontrolled (RCP 3 questions)^b	2,255 (56.0%)	950 (51.2%)	<0.001
Exacerbations^c	1.0 (0.0,2.0)	1.0 (0.0,2.0)	<0.001
Any exacerbations^b	7,018 (56.3%)	3,109 (52.7%)	<0.001
Prior exacerbations^b			0.007
0	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
1	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
2	7,000 (56.1%)	3,458 (58.6%)	
3	2,545 (20.4%)	1,146 (19.4%)	
4+	2,923 (23.4%)	1,297 (22.0%)	
ICS dose (BDP equivalent-ug)^c	1000 (1000,2000)	1000 (1000,2000)	<0.001
Treatment step (GINA 2018)^b			0.055
4	10,343 (83.0%)	4,962 (84.1%)	
5	2,125 (17.0%)	939 (15.9%)	
Asthma review^b	5,695 (45.7%)	2,646 (44.8%)	0.287
Respiratory referral^b	936 (7.5%)	416 (7.0%)	0.267
Medication possession ratio fixed (%)^c	48.8 (24.6,82.0)	49.9 (27.3,82.0)	<0.001
Treatment adherent (MPR ≥70%)^b	3,841 (31.6%)	1,930 (33.5%)	0.014

Blood Eosinophil Count (10⁹/L)^c	0.20 (0.10,0.31)	0.23 (0.13,0.40)	<0.001
Highest blood eosinophil count (10⁹/L)^b			<0.001
<0.150	2,180 (32.8%)	686 (26.8%)	
0.150-0.300	2,778 (41.9%)	1,029 (40.2%)	
>0.300	1,679 (25.3%)	843 (33.0%)	
BMI (Kg/m²)^a	30.0 (7.0)	28.8 (5.5)	<0.001
Underweight (<18.5)	174 (1.7%)	47 (1.0%)	
Normal weight (18.5-24.9)	2,578 (24.5%)	1,182 (24.1%)	
Overweight (25-29.9)	3,143 (29.8%)	1,927 (39.3%)	
Obese (≥30)	4,640 (44.0%)	1,742 (35.6%)	
Smoking status^b			<0.001
Never smoked	6,511 (53.4%)	2,639 (45.6%)	
Ex-smoker	3,415 (28.0%)	2,236 (38.7%)	
Current smoker	2,273 (18.6%)	910 (15.7%)	
Comorbidities^b			
Atopic dermatitis	1,540 (12.4%)	777 (13.2%)	0.120
Atopic disease	2,217 (17.8%)	1,048 (17.8%)	0.971
Allergic rhinitis	1,439 (11.5%)	599 (10.2%)	0.005
Cataracts	314 (2.5%)	129 (2.2%)	0.170
Depression/ anxiety	1,990 (16.0%)	512 (8.7%)	<0.001
Diabetes	1,150 (9.2%)	591 (10.0%)	0.087
Nasal polyps	157 (1.3%)	189 (3.2%)	<0.001
Osteoporosis	373 (3.0%)	62 (1.1%)	<0.001

239

240 Data is calculated as mean (SD) using t-test (^a), count (%) with chi-square (^b) and median (IQR) with
241 Man-Whitney U (^c) statistical tests.

242

243 **Asthma clinical characteristics and outcomes**

244 Females from the UKSAR database had an earlier average age of onset of symptoms (22.8 years vs.
245 29.5 years; p<0.001), and average age of first assessment at a UKSAR centre than males (48.9 years in
246 vs. 54.0 years, p<0.001). In adjusted analyses, of uncontrolled asthma were higher among females
247 than males (figure 1, tables 1 and 2) as measured using the ACQ6 in UKSAR (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]:
248 1.8, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.47, 2.19) or the RCP 3Q in OPCRD SA (aOR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.13,
249 1.47). Females in the UKSAR cohort had higher ACQ6 scores 6 (UKSAR aOR: 1.14, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.18),
250 demonstrating increased symptoms and lower asthma control, across all domains with a clinically
251 significant, unadjusted difference of 0.5 (3.1 vs. 2.6, p<0.001).

252

253 Exacerbation rates were higher in females than males across all cohorts (UKSAR IRR: 1.13, 95% CI:
254 1.10, 1.17; OPCRDR IRR: 1.06, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.12). Secondary healthcare utilisation was also increased
255 with females in the UKSAR dataset more likely to report a hospital admission (aOR: 1.46 95% CI: 1.26,
256 1.70) or ED attendance (aOR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.17, 1.60) in the last year. In primary care there was no
257 evidence of differences in asthma reviews (OPCRDR SA aOR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.99, 1.16) or differences in
258 asthma referrals (OPCRDR SA aOR: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.94, 1.2).

259

260 FEV₁ percent predicted was higher in females with SA (UKSAR adjusted ratio: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.07)
261 representing a difference of 3.9% in absolute terms (68.7% vs. 64.8%, p<0.001). In primary care there
262 was no evidence of significant differences in PEF (adjusted ratio: 1.01, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.03).

263

264 **Type-2 Biomarkers**

265 Multivariable analysis found no evidence of a difference between sexes in baseline blood eosinophil
266 count in the UKSAR dataset (adjusted ratio: 0.94, 95% CI: 0.88, 1.01), however, eosinophil counts were
267 lower in females for the OPCRDR SA dataset (adjusted ratio: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.89 (figure 1, tables 1
268 and 2,). Similarly, eosinophil counts were lower in females when looking specifically at prevalence of
269 eosinophils greater than 0.3 x10⁹/L in OPCRDR SA (25.3% vs. 33%) cohort but did not differ significantly
270 in the UKSAR dataset. Female UKSAR patients also had lower levels of the T2 biomarkers IgE (adjusted
271 ratio: 0.63, 95% CI: 0.56,0.72) and FeNO (adjusted ratio: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.74, 0.85). In absolute terms,
272 FeNO levels in females were on average 10ppb less than males (36ppb vs. 46ppb, p<0.001), whilst IgE
273 was 79 IU/ml lower (129 IU/ml vs. 208 IU/ml, p<0.001).

274

275 **Corticosteroid and biological therapy**

276 In multivariable analysis, females in the UKSAR cohort were less likely to be on maintenance OCS (aOR:
277 0.86, 95% CI: 0.75, 0.99). No evidence of a difference in treatment adherence was found (UKSAR aOR:
278 1.20, 95% CI 0.97, 1.49; OPCRDR SA aOR: 0.96, 95% CI: 0.88, 1.04). Fixed medication possession ratio of
279 inhaled corticosteroids between females and males was similar in the OPCRDR SA (48.8% vs 49.9%,
280 p<0.001) group (figure 1, tables 1 and 2).

281

282 There was no evidence of a difference in the proportion of females and males on biological treatment
283 (OR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.89, 1.29).

284

285 **Comorbidities and lifestyle**

286 A higher proportion of female patients were found to be obese (figure 1, tables 1 and 2) in both the
287 UKSAR (aOR: 1.67; 95% CI: 1.45, 1.93) and OPCR SA (aOR: 1.46, 95% CI: 1.34, 1.58) cohorts. In terms
288 of smoking, females were significantly less likely to have smoked (UKSAR aOR: 0.78, 95% CI: 0.67, 0.90;
289 OPCR SA aOR: 0.71. 95% CI: 0.65, 0.76). However, a higher proportion of females were current
290 smokers in both the UKSAR (5% vs. 3.1%, $p < 0.001$) and OPCR SA (18.6% vs. 15.7%, $p < 0.001$) groups.

291

292 Females were less likely to have nasal polyps compared to males in both datasets (UKSAR: 11.1% vs
293 16.8%; OPCR: SA 1.3% vs 3.2%, $p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference in atopic disease in the
294 UKSAR (aOR: 0.96, 95% CI: 0.83, 1.11) or OPCR SA (aOR: 1.04, 95% CI: 0.94, 1.15) groups. Allergic
295 rhinitis was, however, more common in females (OPCR SA 11.5% vs 10.2%, $p = 0.005$).

296

297 Females were more likely than males to be suffering from depression and/ or anxiety in both datasets
298 (UKSAR aOR: 1.55, 95% CI: 1.18, 2.02; OPCR SA aOR: 1.88, 95% CI: 1.65, 2.14). Females in OPCR SA
299 had a higher prevalence of osteoporosis (3% vs 1%, $p < 0.001$), however no significant sex difference
300 was seen with other corticosteroid associated comorbidities, including diabetes or cataracts.

301

302 **Sensitivity and supplementary analysis**

303 The OPCR analysis included 54,150 (30,946 [57.1%] females) with mild/ moderate asthma
304 (Supplement figure 3). Results from this mild/ moderate OPCR cohort (Supplement table 4) were
305 generally in line with the SA cohorts (Supplement table 2 and 3), revealing similar disparities. However,
306 females were significantly more likely to have asthma reviews (aOR: 1.13, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.17) and atopic
307 disease (aOR 1.17, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.22) in the sensitivity analysis with no significant difference in the
308 OPCR SA cohort. Furthermore, females in the mild/ moderate group were also more likely to have
309 exacerbations (IRR: 1.38, 95% CI: 1.31, 1.46), which was also seen with SA in the UKSAR but was not
310 significant in the OPCR SA group.

311

312 Mediation analysis found the disparities in asthma control, exacerbations, and ED attendance to
313 persist even after adjustment for BMI, smoking status and co-existing depression/ anxiety
314 (Supplement figure 4).

315

316 **DISCUSSION**

317 The analysis of these cohorts across two independent data sources and spanning UK primary and
318 secondary care found females with asthma to have worse asthma symptoms of asthma control,
319 increased exacerbation rates and obesity compared with their male counterparts. The inclusion of the
320 OPCRCD demonstrates the applicability of the UKSAR to a wider unselected population of patients with
321 SA. Disparities were consistent across both SA cohorts and the sensitivity analysis in the mild/
322 moderate asthma cohort, suggesting that many of the sex differences seen in SA also exist in patients
323 with mild/ moderate asthma.

324

325 More patients with SA were females (UKSAR: 60.9%; OPCRCD SA 67.9%), consistent with findings from
326 other SA cohorts and registries[14, 16]. Asthma control, as measured by self-reported symptoms
327 scores on both ACQ6 and RCP 3Q questionnaires, was statistically and clinically worse in females.
328 However, females were less likely to have indicators of T2 inflammation with reduced FeNO and IgE
329 levels in the UKSAR and lower blood eosinophil counts in the OPCRCD cohort. Aligning with the findings
330 of a recent RASP-UK biomarker study post hoc analysis by sex which found the majority of females to
331 be T2 biomarker low but high in their ACQ6 symptom scores with the converse seen in males[19].
332 Interestingly, females had a higher percent predicted FEV₁ than males despite their worse asthma
333 control scores. In prior cluster analyses, a similar group of females with poor asthma control and near
334 normal lung function has previously been identified[13].

335

336 Females from the UKSAR were also found to be significantly more likely to report hospital admissions
337 and/or ED attendance within the last year. These findings were consistent with the SARP study where
338 hospitalisations had a bimodal distribution, which mapped changes in asthma prevalence in the sexes,
339 with males more likely to utilise healthcare for their asthma during childhood and females later in
340 life[33]. Similarly, females in the RASP-UK biomarker study[19] were significantly more likely to have
341 asthma exacerbations and attend primary care within the last year. Whilst, Trawick et al found females
342 have also been found to be twice as likely as their male counterparts to have repeated asthma related
343 hospital admissions[34]. More generally, sex has been found to affect healthcare utilisation with

344 females to be more likely to seek and utilise healthcare, even when female specific illnesses are
345 accounted for[35, 36].

346

347 Variations in symptoms between the sexes are also likely to influence clinical presentation,
348 interpretation, healthcare access and utilisation[37, 38]. Whilst caution should be applied when
349 interpreting self-reported outcomes, a dissociation between T2 biomarkers and symptom reporting
350 has been noted in both sexes [19]. The RASP-UK biomarker study post-hoc analysis, which was also
351 based on UK SA centres, was able to eliminate sex differences in symptom reporting from the ACQ by
352 adjusting for differences in obesity and depression/ anxiety[19]. However, we were unable to replicate
353 this mediation affect in our cohorts perhaps in part due to the RASP-UK biomarker study selection
354 criteria, including a baseline FeNO of less than 45 ppb to enrich for T2 biomarker low participants,
355 compared with our real-world cohort. Other studies have suggested other contributory factors for the
356 discrepancy. One study examining acute moderate and severe asthma exacerbations found males less
357 likely to report symptoms or activity limitations despite clinically similar levels of PEF with
358 inappropriately low healthcare utilisation by males [38]. Females are also recognised to have an
359 enhanced somatosensory responses, including a heightened cough reflex sensitivity[39], which may play
360 a role in SA. This raises the possibility of differential item functioning in the reporting and experience
361 of asthma symptoms between males and females, and it is an area that is currently under active
362 research.

363

364 As previously reported in the UKSAR, males were more likely to have raised T2 biomarkers, such as
365 FeNO and total IgE, suggestive of T2 asthma, which can in turn be targeted through biological
366 therapies[20]. Whilst baseline blood eosinophils were not statistically different between sexes in the
367 UKSAR, eosinophil counts greater than $0.3 \times 10^9/L$ were significantly higher in males compared to
368 females in OPCRCD cohorts. Blood eosinophilia in moderate to SA has previously been associated with
369 male sex[15]. There was no significant difference between the proportion of males and females
370 receiving biologic therapy. There was no clear differentiation between medication adherence in males
371 and females, however, medicine possession ratio is notoriously difficult to interpret as it is subject to
372 significant reporting bias and multiple other confounders. There are, however, numerous studies
373 investigating the relationship between sex and adherence with most finding no association, in line
374 with our results[40].

375

376 Females with SA were more likely to be obese across both independent cohorts. The association with
377 obesity and asthma has multiple underlying mechanisms, including altered lung mechanics and airway
378 inflammation[41, 42]. Obesity is associated with poor asthma control[43], hospitalisation[44] and
379 asthma severity[45]. A number of studies have found the increased risk of asthma with obesity[46-48]
380 and poor asthma control[19, 49] to be associated with females and not males. Furthermore, obesity
381 may influence other parameters, for example, FeNO has been found to be lower in asthmatic patients
382 who are obese, despite raised sputum eosinophils suggestive of T2 inflammation[50]. Depression/
383 anxiety, which was also more common in females, is associated with obesity and poor asthma
384 control[51]. Despite the potential confounding influence of obesity, depression/ anxiety and smoking
385 mediation analysis showed the disparities to persist even taking these factors into account, suggesting
386 another mechanistic role for the sex differences seen in severe asthma.

387

388 The sensitivity analysis in the mild/ moderate OPCR cohort aligned closely with the observations
389 made in the SA cohorts. Although females exhibited a greater tendency to have atopic disease and
390 undergo asthma reviews within the mild/ moderate group, the notable disparity in comparison to the
391 SA groups could potentially stem from the larger sample sizes. The sensitivity analysis thus reinforces
392 the strength of the findings derived from the SA cohorts, while also indicating that the disparities are
393 unlikely to stem solely from variations in disease severity. Specialist care could inherently influence
394 outcomes; however, referral rates from primary to specialist care did not exhibit any sex-based
395 differences. Moreover, in the UKSAR group, who are receiving specialist care, females continued to
396 have increased exacerbations, ED attendances and hospital admissions.

397 The UKSAR is a large well characterised cohort of patients with SA, as defined by ERS/ATS criteria[21].
398 It provides high quality and real-world data using robust standardised biomarker and spirometry
399 measurements across multiple UK SA centres. It is important to note that the patients on the UKSAR
400 have been referred to specialist care and may have more severe disease than the overall OPCR
401 population. Many patients are referred for biologic therapy, which focuses on T2 disease and may
402 therefore bias the population towards those with T2 disease. Selection bias was minimised by
403 examining two distinct data sources with the OPCR providing an additional validity data source to
404 UKSAR in the wider unselected population and a sensitivity analysis comparator for mild to moderate
405 asthma. This study, does however, have several potential limitations. Firstly, using retrospective
406 datasets, it has been assumed that the diagnosis of SA is correct. Whilst patients in the UKSAR will
407 have undergone specialist multi-disciplinary team assessment of their diagnosis, the OPCR subjects
408 were selected as those who remained uncontrolled (≥ 2 exacerbations within a year) on GINA 2018[24]
409 step 4 treatment and not subject to the same diagnostic scrutiny. Secondly, as an observational study,

410 it is open to confounding influences such as unmeasured or poorly measured variables. Data used in
411 the analysis, such as asthma control in the OPCR dataset, was frequently missing and the timing of
412 outcomes in relation to treatment can be difficult to account for. However, these factors are unlikely
413 to have acted differentially based on sex. Further measures, such as health-seeking behaviour, which
414 may mediate the effect seen between the sexes, and spirometry in primary care, which would provide
415 a more robust comparison of lung function variables between datasets and is now recommended[3],
416 were not measured and would benefit from further research.

417 In conclusion, this real-world data shows consistent and clinically important differences in the
418 characteristics of males and females with SA, with the use of two distinct data sets demonstrating the
419 applicability of the UKSAR to the wider unselected SA population. Females had worse asthma control,
420 increased exacerbations and were more likely to be obese despite higher FEV₁ percent predicted,
421 similar baseline blood eosinophils, lower FeNO and reduced total IgE compared with their male
422 counterparts. Although related to sex the reasons and mechanisms behind these disparities are likely
423 to be related to multiple factors such as hormonal, immunological, comorbidity and behavioural (both
424 patient and healthcare professional) influences which were not measured in our dataset.

425 Further prospective epidemiologic studies with high-quality linked datasets and measure of other
426 potential mediating factors such as symptom perception, alongside mechanistic studies are required
427 to understand the drivers behind these sex differences and provide tailored and personalised care to
428 people with SA.

429

430 **Acknowledgements**

431

432 Approval for collection and analysis of pseudonymized UKSAR data was granted by ORECNI
433 (15/NI/0196). The OPCRDR has been reviewed and ethically approved by the National Health Service
434 Health Research Authority to hold and process anonymized data as part of service delivery (Research
435 Ethics Committee reference: 15/EM/0150). Specific approval for this research study was granted by
436 the Anonymized Data Ethics Protocols and Transparency committee (ADEPT approval reference:
437 ADEPT0120). The Optimum Patient Care Research Database (OPCRDR) is established and maintained
438 by Optimum Patient Care (OPC) Ltd. The OPCRDR is approved by the UK National Health Service for
439 clinical research use (Research Ethics Committee reference 15/EM/0150). Although public access to
440 the dataset is not granted, researchers can request access through the OPCRDR website or by
441 contacting info@opcrdr.co.uk. The provision of the OPCRDR data, which supported this research, was
442 facilitated by the Optimum Patient Care Research Institute (OPRI) and the Optimum Patient Care (OPC)
443 organization at no cost.

444

445 The UKSAR wishes to acknowledge the help and expertise of the following individuals and groups
446 without whom the study would not have been possible: The Academic Respiratory Unit, Translational
447 Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Southmead Hospital, Bristol: Daniel Higbee, Caitlin Morgan,
448 George Nava, John O'Brien, Rahul Shrimanker. Belfast Health & Social Care Trust, Belfast: Claire Butler,
449 Nuala McCullough, Joan Sweeney. Derriford Hospital, Plymouth: Kaylee Bawler, Beverley Castell,
450 Gemma Hayes, Mickey Symes, Charlotte Wells, Jane Willis-Chan. Gartnavel General Hospital and
451 University of Glasgow, Glasgow: Jennifer Logan, Julie Nixon, Diane Slater. Glenfield Hospital, University
452 Hospitals of Leicester, Leicester: Clare Boddy. Guy's Severe Asthma Centre, King's Centre for Lung
453 Health, King's College London, London: Jaideep Dhariwal, Jodie Lam, Alexandra Nanzer, Cris Roxas.
454 Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Hull: Helena Cumming, Jackie Fergusson. The Newcastle
455 upon Tyne Hospitals NHS FT, Newcastle Upon Tyne: Catherine Smith. NIHR Respiratory BRC, Nuffield
456 Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford: Katie Borg, Clare Connelly. Observational and
457 Pragmatic Research Institute, Singapore: Derek Skinner. Portsmouth Hospitals University NHS Trust,
458 Portsmouth: Kate Harbour, Rachel Harvey, Laura Wiffen. Royal Brompton Hospital, London: Irene
459 Berrar-Torre, Pujan Patel, Rachel Stead. Royal Free Hospital, London: Simon Brill, James Brown. Royal
460 Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool: Rachel Burton, Livingstone Chishimba, Gareth Jones, Hannah Joplin,
461 Laura Root, Seher Zaidi. Royal Stoke University Hospital, Stoke: Angela Cooper, Alison Ellis, Princy

462 Kallukalam, Alison Scale. St Bartholomew's Hospital, London: Laia Carsro, Anika Dewshi, Jola Karaj.
463 University Hospitals Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton: Sumita Kerley.

464

465 **Contributorship statement:**

466 LL, JB, RMcD, TB, HB, RC, PD, JWD, SD, SF, RG, EI, DJJ, MP, TP, IDP, PEP, DP, HR, SS, LGH and AMG
467 made substantial contributions to the study conception, design, data acquisition and interpretation.
468 JB and RMcD led the statistical analysis. LL was primarily responsible for manuscript drafting and
469 revisions and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. The final manuscript
470 was approved by all the authors prior to submission.

471

472 **Funding statement:**

473 The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the
474 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

475

476 **Competing interests:**

477 LL has no conflicts of interest.

478

479 JB has attended advisory boards for NuvoAir, outside the submitted work.

480

481 RMcD has no conflicts of interest.

482

483 TB has received speaker fees from Astra Zeneca, Glaxo Smith Kline, Sanofi, Teva, Novartis and Chiesi;
484 honoraria for advisory board attendance from Astra Zeneca, Sanofi and Teva; sponsorship to attend
485 international scientific meetings from Sanofi, GSK, Teva, Chiesi and Napp Pharmaceuticals.

486

487 HB has attended advisory board for AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline and Sanofi; has given lectures at
488 meetings with/without lecture honoraria supported by AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline and Chiesi; has
489 attended international conferences with AstraZeneca and Chiesi; has taken part in clinical trials
490 sponsored by AstraZeneca, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Teva and Sanofi.

491

492 RC has received lecture fees from GSK, AZ, Teva, Chiesi, Sanofi and Novartis; honoraria for Advisory
493 Board Meetings from GSK, AZ, Teva, Chiesi, Novartis; sponsorship to attend international scientific
494 meetings from Chiesi, Napp, Sanofi and GSK and a research grant to her Institute from AZ for a UK
495 multi-centre study.

496

497 PD has received honoraria/consultancy fees/sponsorship from Teva, AZ, GSK, Novartis and Omron.

498

499 JWD declares he has received honoraria for participating in advisory boards and given lectures at
500 meetings supported by GSK, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, AstraZeneca, Fisher & Paykel, Aerogen; he
501 has received sponsorship for attending international scientific meetings from Chiesi; he has also
502 taken part in asthma clinical trials sponsored by Sanofi, AstraZeneca, Chiesi for which his institution
503 received remuneration.

504

505 SD has received lecture fees from GSK, AZ, and Sanofi; honoraria for Advisory Board Meetings from
506 GSK, AZ, and Novartis; sponsorship to attend international scientific meetings from AZ, Chiesi, Sanofi
507 and GSK.

508

509 SF has received speaker fees / sponsorship to attend specialty meetings from AstraZeneca,
510 GlaxoSmithKline, Chiesi, Novartis and Sanofi.

511 RG has received speaking / lecture fees from GSK, AstraZeneca, Sanofi and Novartis.

512

513 EI has no conflicts of interest.

514

515 DJJ has received lecture fees from GSK, AZ, Teva, Chiesi, and Sanofi; honoraria for Advisory Board
516 Meetings from GSK, AZ, Teva, Chiesi, Sanofi and Novartis; sponsorship to attend international scientific
517 meetings from AZ, Chiesi, Napp, Sanofi and GSK and research grants to his Institute from AZ.

518

519 MP has no conflicts of interest.

520

521 TP has received sponsorship for attending international scientific meetings from Chiesi,
522 GlaxoSmithKline and Sanofi Genzyme; he is also taking part in asthma clinical trials sponsored by
523 AstraZeneca and Sanofi Genzyme for which his institution receives remuneration.

524

525 IDP has received speaker's honoraria for speaking at sponsored meetings from Astra Zeneca,
526 Boehringer Ingelheim, Aerocrine, Almirall, Novartis, Teva, Chiesi, Sanofi/Regeneron, Menarini and GSK
527 and payments for organising educational events from AZ, GSK, Sanofi/Regeneron and Teva. He has
528 received honoraria for attending advisory panels with Genentech, Sanofi/Regeneron, Astra Zeneca,
529 Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Novartis, Teva, Merck, Circassia, Chiesi and Knopp and payments to
530 support FDA approval meetings from GSK. He has received sponsorship to attend international
531 scientific meetings from Boehringer Ingelheim, GSK, Astra Zeneca, Teva and Chiesi. He has received a
532 grant from Chiesi to support a phase 2 clinical trial in Oxford.

533

534 PEP has attended advisory board for AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline and Sanofi; has given lectures at
535 meetings with/without lecture honoraria supported by AstraZeneca and GlaxoSmithKline; has
536 attended international conferences with AstraZeneca; has taken part in clinical trials sponsored by
537 AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis and Sanofi; and is conducting research funded by
538 GlaxoSmithKline for which his institution receives remuneration.

539

540 DP has advisory board membership with Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Circassia,
541 Viatris, Mundipharma, Novartis, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva Pharmaceuticals
542 and Thermofisher; consultancy agreements with Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi,
543 GlaxoSmithKline, Viatris, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer, Teva Pharmaceuticals and Theravance;
544 grants and unrestricted funding for investigator-initiated studies (conducted through Observational
545 and Pragmatic Research Institute Pte Ltd) from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Circassia,
546 Viatris, Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme, Teva
547 Pharmaceuticals, Theravance and UK National Health Service; payment for lectures/speaking
548 engagements from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Cipla, GlaxoSmithKline, Viatris,
549 Mundipharma, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi Genzyme and Teva
550 Pharmaceuticals; payment for travel/accommodation/meeting expenses from AstraZeneca,
551 Boehringer Ingelheim, Circassia, Mundipharma, Novartis, Teva Pharmaceuticals and Thermofisher;

552 funding for patient enrolment or completion of research from Novartis; stock/stock options from AKL
553 Research and Development Ltd which produces phytopharmaceuticals; owns 74% of the social
554 enterprise Optimum Patient Care Ltd (Australia and UK) and 74% of Observational and Pragmatic
555 Research Institute Pte Ltd (Singapore); 5% shareholding in Timestamp which develops adherence
556 monitoring technology; is peer reviewer for grant committees of the UK Efficacy and Mechanism
557 Evaluation programme, and Health Technology Assessment; and was an expert witness for
558 GlaxoSmithKline.

559

560 HR has received lecture fees from GSK, AZ, Chiesi, and Sanofi; honoraria for Advisory Board Meetings
561 from GSK, AZ, and Teva; sponsorship to attend international scientific meetings from AZ and Sanofi
562 and research grants to her Institute from GSK and AZ.

563

564 SS has received honoraria for speaking or providing advisory services from AstraZeneca, Boehringer
565 Ingelheim, GSK, CSL Behring, Chiesi, MUDIPHARMA, Owlstone Medical, ERT Medical.

566

567 LGH declares he has received grant funding, participated in advisory boards and given lectures at
568 meetings supported by Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Circassia, Hoffmann la Roche,
569 GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Theravance, Evelo Biosciences, Sanofi, and Teva; he has received grants
570 from MedImmune, Novartis UK, Roche/ Genentech Inc, and Glaxo Smith Kline, Amgen,
571 Genentech/Hoffmann la Roche, Astra Zeneca, MedImmune, Glaxo Smith Kline, Aerocrine and
572 Vitalograph; he has received sponsorship for attending international scientific meetings from
573 AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, GSK and Napp Pharmaceuticals; he has also taken part in
574 asthma clinical trials sponsored by Boehringer Ingelheim, Hoffmann la Roche, and GlaxoSmithKline for
575 which his institution received remuneration; he is the Academic Lead for the Medical Research Council
576 Stratified Medicine UK Consortium in Severe Asthma which involves industrial partnerships with a
577 number of pharmaceutical companies including Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim,
578 GlaxoSmithKline, Hoffmann la Roche, and Janssen.

579

580 AMG is an employee of Astra Zeneca.

581

582 **Ethics approval:**

583 Approval for collection and analysis of pseudonymized UKSAR data was granted by ORECNI
584 (15/NI/0196). The OPCRDR has been reviewed and ethically approved by the National Health Service
585 Health Research Authority to hold and process anonymized data as part of service delivery (Research
586 Ethics Committee reference: 15/EM/0150). Specific approval for this research study was granted by
587 the Anonymized Data Ethics Protocols and Transparency committee (ADEPT approval reference:
588 ADEPT0120). The Optimum Patient Care Research Database (OPCRDR) is established and maintained
589 by Optimum Patient Care (OPC) Ltd. The OPCRDR is approved by the UK National Health Service for
590 clinical research use (Research Ethics Committee reference 15/EM/0150).

591

592 **Data sharing:**

593 No data are available for the UKSAR. Researchers can request access for OPCRDR data through the
594 OPCRDR website or by contacting info@opcrd.co.uk, although public access to the dataset is not
595 granted.

596

- 598 1. GBD 2015 Chronic Respiratory Disease Collaborators. Global, regional, and national deaths,
599 prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive
600 pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease
601 Study 2015. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2017;5(9):691-706.
- 602 2. Mukherjee M, Stoddart A, Gupta RP, Nwaru BI, Farr A, Heaven M, et al. The epidemiology,
603 healthcare and societal burden and costs of asthma in the UK and its member nations: analyses of
604 standalone and linked national databases. *BMC Med*. 2016;14(1):113.
- 605 3. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention (2022
606 update): Global Initiative for Asthma; 2022 [Available from: <https://ginasthma.org/reports/>].
- 607 4. Ryan D, Heatley H, Heaney LG, Jackson DJ, Pfeffer PE, Busby J, et al. Potential severe asthma
608 hidden in UK primary care. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*.
609 2021;9(4):1612-23. e9.
- 610 5. Sullivan PW, Slejko JF, Ghushchyan VH, Sucher B, Globe DR, Lin S-L, et al. The relationship
611 between asthma, asthma control and economic outcomes in the United States. *J Asthma*.
612 2014;51(7):769-78.
- 613 6. Chippis BE, Zeiger RS, Borish L, Wenzel SE, Yegin A, Hayden ML, et al. Key findings and clinical
614 implications from The Epidemiology and Natural History of Asthma: Outcomes and Treatment
615 Regimens (TENOR) study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2012;130(2):332-42. e10.
- 616 7. Soriano JB, Kendrick PJ, Paulson KR, Gupta V, Abrams EM, Adedoyin RA, et al. Prevalence
617 and attributable health burden of chronic respiratory diseases, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for
618 the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. 2020;8(6):585-96.
- 619 8. Chowdhury NU, Guntur VP, Newcomb DC, Wechsler ME. Sex and gender in asthma. *Eur*
620 *Respir Rev*. 2021;30(162).
- 621 9. Fuseini H, Newcomb DC. Mechanisms Driving Gender Differences in Asthma. *Curr Allergy*
622 *Asthma Rep*. 2017;17(3):19.
- 623 10. van den Berge M, Heijink HI, van Oosterhout AJ, Postma DS. The role of female sex
624 hormones in the development and severity of allergic and non-allergic asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy*.
625 2009;39(10):1477-81.
- 626 11. McCleary N, Nwaru BI, Nurmatov UB, Critchley H, Sheikh A. Endogenous and exogenous sex
627 steroid hormones in asthma and allergy in females: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy*
628 *Clin Immunol*. 2018;141(4):1510-3. e8.
- 629 12. Haldar P, Pavord ID, Shaw DE, Berry MA, Thomas M, Brightling CE, et al. Cluster analysis and
630 clinical asthma phenotypes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2008;178(3):218-24.
- 631 13. Lefaudeux D, De Meulder B, Loza MJ, Peffer N, Rowe A, Baribaud F, et al. U-BIOPRED clinical
632 adult asthma clusters linked to a subset of sputum omics. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;139(6):1797-
633 807.
- 634 14. Senna G, Latorre M, Bugiani M, Caminati M, Heffler E, Morrone D, et al. Sex Differences in
635 Severe Asthma: Results From Severe Asthma Network in Italy-SANI. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res*.
636 2021;13(2):219-28.
- 637 15. de Groot JC, Storm H, Amelink M, de Nijs SB, Eichhorn E, Reitsma BH, et al. Clinical profile of
638 patients with adult-onset eosinophilic asthma. *ERJ open research*. 2016;2(2).
- 639 16. Denton E, Price DB, Tran TN, Canonica GW, Menzies-Gow A, FitzGerald JM, et al. Cluster
640 Analysis of Inflammatory Biomarker Expression in the International Severe Asthma Registry. *J Allergy*
641 *Clin Immunol Pract*. 2021;9(7):2680-8 e7.
- 642 17. Wu W, Bleecker E, Moore W, Busse WW, Castro M, Chung KF, et al. Unsupervised
643 phenotyping of Severe Asthma Research Program participants using expanded lung data. *J Allergy*
644 *Clin Immunol*. 2014;133(5):1280-8.
- 645 18. Pavord I, Afzalnia S, Menzies-Gow A, Heaney L. The current and future role of biomarkers in
646 type 2 cytokine-mediated asthma management. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2017;47(2):148-60.

- 647 19. Eastwood MC, Busby J, Jackson DJ, Pavord ID, Hanratty CE, Djukanovic R, et al. Randomised
648 trial of a T2-composite biomarker 1 strategy to adjust corticosteroid treatment in severe asthma:
649 post-hoc analysis by sex. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*. 2023.
- 650 20. Jackson DJ, Busby J, Pfeffer PE, Menzies-Gow A, Brown T, Gore R, et al. Characterisation of
651 patients with severe asthma in the UK Severe Asthma Registry in the biologic era. *Thorax*.
652 2021;76(3):220-7.
- 653 21. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, Bush A, Castro M, Sterk PJ, et al. International ERS/ATS
654 guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J*. 2014;43(2):343.
- 655 22. Optimum Patient Care Research Database. OPCR: Our Databases 2020 [Available from:
656 <https://opcrd.co.uk/our-database/>].
- 657 23. Di Martini M, Kirchmayer U, Agabiti N, Bauleo L, Fusco D, Perucci CA, et al. The impact of
658 time-window bias on the assessment of the long-term effect of medication adherence: the case of
659 secondary prevention after myocardial infarction. *BMJ open*. 2015;5(6):e007866.
- 660 24. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention: Global
661 Initiative for Asthma; 2018 [Available from: <https://ginasthma.org/reports/>].
- 662 25. Knudson RJ, Lebowitz MD, Holberg CJ, Burrows B. Changes in the normal maximal expiratory
663 flow-volume curve with growth and aging. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. 1983;127(6):725-34.
- 664 26. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, et al. Multi-ethnic reference
665 values for spirometry for the 3–95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur*
666 *Respiratory Soc*; 2012.
- 667 27. Juniper EF, Bousquet J, Abetz L, Bateman ED, Committee G. Identifying ‘well-controlled’ and
668 ‘not well-controlled’ asthma using the Asthma Control Questionnaire. *Respir Med*. 2006;100(4):616-
669 21.
- 670 28. Thomas M, Gruffydd-Jones K, Stonham C, Ward S, Macfarlane T. Assessing asthma control in
671 routine clinical practice: use of the Royal College of Physicians ‘3 Questions’. *Primary Care*
672 *Respiratory Journal*. 2009;18(2):83-8.
- 673 29. Khan NF, Perera R, Harper S, Rose PW. Adaptation and validation of the Charlson Index for
674 Read/OXMIS coded databases. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2010;11(1):1-7.
- 675 30. Sweeney J, Patterson CC, Menzies-Gow A, Niven RM, Mansur AH, Bucknall C, et al.
676 Comorbidity in severe asthma requiring systemic corticosteroid therapy: cross-sectional data from
677 the Optimum Patient Care Research Database and the British Thoracic Difficult Asthma Registry.
678 *Thorax*. 2016;71(4):339-46.
- 679 31. Schisterman EF, Cole SR, Platt RW. Overadjustment bias and unnecessary adjustment in
680 epidemiologic studies. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass)*. 2009;20(4):488.
- 681 32. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological
682 research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1986;51(6):1173.
- 683 33. Zein JG, Udeh BL, Teague WG, Koroukian SM, Schlitz NK, Bleecker ER, et al. Impact of Age
684 and Sex on Outcomes and Hospital Cost of Acute Asthma in the United States, 2011-2012. *PLoS One*.
685 2016;11(6):e0157301.
- 686 34. Trawick DR, Holm C, Wirth J. Influence of gender on rates of hospitalization, hospital course,
687 and hypercapnea in high-risk patients admitted for asthma: a 10-year retrospective study at Yale-
688 New Haven Hospital. *Chest*. 2001;119(1):115-9.
- 689 35. Thompson AE, Anisimowicz Y, Miedema B, Hogg W, Wodchis WP, Aubrey-Bassler K. The
690 influence of gender and other patient characteristics on health care-seeking behaviour: a
691 QUALICOPC study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2016;17(1):1-7.
- 692 36. Morrison KE, Colón-González FJ, Morbey RA, Hunter PR, Rutter J, Stuttard G, et al.
693 Demographic and socioeconomic patterns in healthcare-seeking behaviour for respiratory symptoms
694 in England: a comparison with non-respiratory symptoms and between three healthcare services.
695 *BMJ open*. 2020;10(11):e038356.
- 696 37. Osborne ML, Vollmer WM, Linton KL, Sonia Buist A. Characteristics of patients with asthma
697 within a large HMO: a comparison by age and gender. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1998;157(1):123-8.

- 698 38. Cydulka RK, Emerman CL, Rowe BH, Clark S, Woodruff PG, Singh AK, et al. Differences
699 between men and women in reporting of symptoms during an asthma exacerbation. *Ann Emerg*
700 *Med.* 2001;38(2):123-8.
- 701 39. Morice AH, Jakes AD, Faruqi S, Birring SS, McGarvey L, Canning B, et al. A worldwide survey
702 of chronic cough: a manifestation of enhanced somatosensory response. *Eur Respir J.*
703 2014;44(5):1149-55.
- 704 40. Dima AL, Hernandez G, Cunillera O, Ferrer M, de Bruin M. Asthma inhaler adherence
705 determinants in adults: systematic review of observational data. *Eur Respir J.* 2015;45(4):994-1018.
- 706 41. Shore SA, Fredberg JJ. Obesity, smooth muscle, and airway hyperresponsiveness. *J Allergy*
707 *Clin Immunol.* 2005;115(5):925-7.
- 708 42. Wang CJ, Noble PB, Elliot JG, James AL, Wang KC. From Beneath the Skin to the Airway Wall:
709 Understanding the Pathological Role of Adipose Tissue in Comorbid Asthma-Obesity. *Comprehensive*
710 *Physiology.* 2023;13(1):4321-53.
- 711 43. Farah CS, Kermode JA, Downie SR, Brown NJ, Hardaker KM, Berend N, et al. Obesity is a
712 determinant of asthma control independent of inflammation and lung mechanics. *Chest.*
713 2011;140(3):659-66.
- 714 44. Mosen DM, Schatz M, Magid DJ, Camargo Jr CA. The relationship between obesity and
715 asthma severity and control in adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2008;122(3):507-11. e6.
- 716 45. Akerman MJ, Calacanis CM, Madsen MK. Relationship between asthma severity and obesity.
717 *J Asthma.* 2004;41(5):521-6.
- 718 46. Chen Y, Rennie D, Cormier Y, Dosman J. Sex specificity of asthma associated with objectively
719 measured body mass index and waist circumference: the Humboldt study. *Chest.* 2005;128(4):3048-
720 54.
- 721 47. Beckett WS, Jacobs Jr DR, Yu X, Iribarren C, Williams OD. Asthma is associated with weight
722 gain in females but not males, independent of physical activity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.*
723 2001;164(11):2045-50.
- 724 48. Hancox RJ, Milne BJ, Poulton R, Taylor DR, Greene JM, McLachlan CR, et al. Sex differences in
725 the relation between body mass index and asthma and atopy in a birth cohort. *Am J Respir Crit Care*
726 *Med.* 2005;171(5):440-5.
- 727 49. Novelli F, Bacci E, Latorre M, Seccia V, Bartoli ML, Cianchetti S, et al. Comorbidities are
728 associated with different features of severe asthma. *Clin Mol Allergy.* 2018;16:25.
- 729 50. Lugogo N, Green CL, Agada N, Zhang S, Meghdadpour S, Zhou R, et al. Obesity's effect on
730 asthma extends to diagnostic criteria. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2018;141(3):1096-104.
- 731 51. Kapadia S, Wei C, Bartlett S, Lang J, Wise R, Dixon A, et al. Obesity and symptoms of
732 depression contribute independently to the poor asthma control of obesity. *Respir Med.*
733 2014;108(8):1100-7.

734

- 735 **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**
- 736 ACQ: asthma control questionnaire
- 737 BDP: beclomethasone dipropionate
- 738 BMI: body mass index
- 739 ED: emergency department
- 740 FeNO: fractional exhaled nitric oxide
- 741 FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 second
- 742 FVC: forced vital capacity
- 743 ICS: inhaled corticosteroids
- 744 IgE: Immunoglobulin E
- 745 KCO: carbon monoxide transfer coefficient
- 746 MPR: medicine possession ratio
- 747 OCS: oral corticosteroid
- 748 OPCR: Optimum Patient Care Research Database
- 749 PEF: peak expiratory flow
- 750 RASP-UK: Refractory Asthma Stratification Programme
- 751 RCP 3Q: Royal College of Physicians 3 Questions
- 752 SA: severe asthma
- 753 SARP: Severe Asthma Research Program
- 754 T2: type-2
- 755 UKSAR: UK Severe Asthma Registry