



The use of health care databases for surveillance of congenital anomalies: A EUROlinkCAT study

Loane, M., Garne, E., Morris, J. K., & Bakker, M. K. (2023). The use of health care databases for surveillance of congenital anomalies: A EUROlinkCAT study. *European Journal of Public Health*, 33(Supplement 2), ii118. Article ckad160.301. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.301>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
European Journal of Public Health

Publication Status:
Published online: 24/10/2023

DOI:
[10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.301](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad160.301)

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document Licence:
CC BY-NC

General rights

The copyright and moral rights to the output are retained by the output author(s), unless otherwise stated by the document licence.

Unless otherwise stated, users are permitted to download a copy of the output for personal study or non-commercial research and are permitted to freely distribute the URL of the output. They are not permitted to alter, reproduce, distribute or make any commercial use of the output without obtaining the permission of the author(s).

If the document is licenced under Creative Commons, the rights of users of the documents can be found at <https://creativecommons.org/share-your-work/ccllicenses/>.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk

5.G. Pitch presentations: Data, assessments, impact

Abstract citation ID: ckad160.301

The use of health care databases for surveillance of congenital anomalies: A EUROlinkCAT study

Maria Loane

M Loane¹, E Garne², JK Morris³, MK Bakker⁴

¹Institute for Nursing and Health Research, Ulster University, Belfast, UK

²Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Lillebaelt Hospital, Kolding, Denmark

³Population Health Research Institute, St George's University, London, UK

⁴University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

Contact: ma.loane@ulster.ac.uk

Background:

Although health care databases were not designed for research or surveillance, they are increasingly used by researchers to investigate the epidemiology of congenital anomalies (CAs). Identifying specific CAs that can be accurately identified in hospital databases and those that are poorly recorded will enable surveillance of CAs. We evaluated the accuracy and the quality of the coding of CAs in hospital databases compared to EUROCAT data.

Methods:

The EUROlinkCAT project linked data from eleven EUROCAT registries in eight countries to electronic hospital databases. The coding of specific CAs in hospital databases was compared to the codes in the EUROCAT registries (gold standard). For birth years 2010-2014 all linked live birth CA cases and all children identified in the hospital databases with a CA code were analysed. Registries calculated sensitivity and Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for 17 selected CAs. Pooled estimates for sensitivity and PPV were then calculated for each anomaly using random effects meta-analyses.

Results:

Most registries linked more than 85% of their cases to hospital data. Gastroschisis, cleft lip with or without cleft palate and Down syndrome were recorded in hospital databases with high accuracy (sensitivity and PPV >85%). Hypoplastic left heart syndrome, spina bifida, Hirschsprung's disease, omphalocele and cleft palate showed high sensitivity (>85%), but low or heterogeneous PPV, indicating that hospital data were complete but may contain false positives. The remaining anomaly subgroups showed low or heterogeneous sensitivity

and PPV, indicating that the information in the hospital database was incomplete and of variable validity.

Conclusions:

Electronic health care databases cannot replace CA registries, although they can be used as an additional ascertainment source for CA registries. CA registries are still the most appropriate data source to study the epidemiology of CAs.

Key messages:

- European hospital databases can accurately record a limited number of anomalies that are visible at birth, diagnosed prenatally and/or require hospitalisation or surgery in the first year of life.
- Additional data sources are needed to capture all children with CAs as hospital databases have limited information or codes to identify pregnancies that result in termination for fetal anomaly.