



## Marine derived biosurfactants: a vast potential future resource

Tripathi, L., Irorere, V., Marchant, R., & Banat, I. (2018). Marine derived biosurfactants: a vast potential future resource. *Biotechnology Letters*, 1-17.

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

**Published in:**  
Biotechnology Letters

**Publication Status:**  
Published (in print/issue): 25/08/2018

**Document Version**  
Author Accepted version

### General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [pure-support@ulster.ac.uk](mailto:pure-support@ulster.ac.uk).

# Marine Derived Biosurfactants: A Vast Potential Future Resource

Lakshmi Tripathi, Victor U. Irorere, Roger Marchant, Ibrahim M. Banat\*

School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Life and Health Sciences, Ulster University, Coleraine BT52 1SA, UK.

\*Correspondence: E-mail: [im.banat@ulster.ac.uk](mailto:im.banat@ulster.ac.uk) (Ibrahim M. Banat)

Tel: + 02870323062; Fax: + 02870324965

## Abstract

Surfactants and emulsifiers are surface-active compounds (SACs) which play an important role in various industrial processes and products due to their interfacial properties. Many of the chemical surfactants in use today are produced from non-renewable petrochemical feedstocks, while biosurfactants (BS) produced by microorganisms from renewable feedstocks are considered viable alternatives to petroleum based surfactants, due to their biodegradability and eco-friendly nature. However, some well-characterised BS producers are pathogenic and therefore, not appropriate for scaled-up production. Marine-derived BS have been found to be produced by non-pathogenic organisms making them attractive possibilities for exploitation in commercial products. Additionally, BS produced from marine bacteria may show excellent activity at extreme conditions (temperature, pH and salinity). Despite being non-pathogenic, marine-derived BS have not been exploited commercially due to their low yields, insufficient structural elucidation and uncharacterised genes. Therefore, optimization of BS production conditions in marine bacteria, characterization of the compounds produced as well as the genes involved in the biosynthesis are necessary to improve cost-efficiency and realise the industrial demands of SACs.

## Keywords

Surface-active; Marine bacteria; Biosurfactant; Emulsifier; Bioremediation; Biodegradable

## 1 **1. Surfactant industry and problem identification**

2 Surfactants are utilized in various bulk commercial products particularly in personal care products and  
3 household cleaners. To fulfil their worldwide demand, millions of tonnes of surfactants are annually produced  
4 from non-renewable sources such as petrochemicals. Consumption of surfactants is probably greater than 13  
5 million tonnes per year worldwide (Marchant and Banat 2012a). The most widely used synthetic surfactants such  
6 as alkyl benzene sulfonates (ABS), are not readily biodegradable thus causing adverse effects on the environment.  
7 U.S. detergent manufacturers therefore, replaced ABS with linear alkylbenzene sulfonate (LAS) which showed  
8 no adverse impact on the environment and had the same cleaning characteristics (Cowan-Ellsberry et al. 2014).  
9 However, diminishing petrochemical stocks have created a drive towards identification of novel renewable  
10 bioresources for efficient surfactant production (Foley et al. 2012). In recent years researchers have been involved  
11 in continued environmental research to source replacements for synthetic surfactants and other bioactives from  
12 the marine environment (Kalogerakis et al. 2015). Surface-active compounds (SACs) produced by  
13 microorganisms offer an ideal sustainable substitute for petrochemical based surfactants. Biologically produced  
14 SACs have numerous potential applications in a wide variety of industrial sectors, due to their synthesis from  
15 waste and renewable substrates such as, hydrocarbon wastes, crude oil and vegetable oils in addition to being bio-  
16 compatible, non-toxic and biodegradable (Banat et al. 2010). They are usually classified based on their molecular  
17 weight, those surfactant molecules with low molecular weights are known as biosurfactants (BS) whilst those with  
18 high molecular weights are known as bioemulsifiers (BE).

19 BS are further categorized based upon their molecular structure e.g. glycolipids, lipopeptides,  
20 phospholipids, lipoprotein, fatty acids and polymeric BS. They also have a range of different properties such as,  
21 surface tension reduction, emulsification, foaming and wetting (Banat et al. 2014; Marchant and Banat  
22 2012a). With over 5.73 million tonnes of oil spills in the oceans worldwide between 1970 and 2016 (ITOPF 2017),  
23 there is an urgent demand for novel bioactive compounds for bioremediation. BS naturally play a major role in  
24 bioremediation following oil spills and act as efficient dispersing agents facilitating microbial biodegradation (De  
25 Almeida et al. 2016; Franzetti et al. 2010). Despite their versatile properties, a higher production cost compared  
26 to chemical surfactants and the pathogenicity of some BS producing strains, remain a major obstacles for their  
27 large-scale production (Irorere et al. 2018) and the search for non-pathogenic strains remain an important research  
28 area (Elshikh et al. 2017; Funston et al. 2016). Therefore, an important question to address before they can achieve  
29 widespread application is “can we develop a cost-effective and ecologically benign process for BS production?”

30

## 1 2. Surfactant production in marine bacteria

2 Surfactants with diverse properties and low production costs are required to increase the applications of  
3 natural SACs, which gives greater incentive to develop surfactants of biological origin produced by  
4 microorganisms. Marine microorganisms are ubiquitous in the marine environment as well as extreme  
5 environments. The oceans have a relatively narrow range of pH, salinity and temperature while areas such as the  
6 volcanic vents face extreme conditions. These microorganisms are known to be metabolically and physiologically  
7 adapted to survive under extreme temperature, pressure, pH and salinity conditions (Das et al. 2010; Thavasi et  
8 al. 2014). For example, members of the *Alcanivorax* genus survive at low to mild hydrostatic pressure in  
9 hydrocarbon contaminated environments. The strains *A. borkumensis* SK2 and *A. dieselolei* KS 293 have  
10 developed different strategies to cope with environmental stress under high pressure. While the respiration and  
11 cell integrity is not affected in KS 293 at mild hydrostatic pressure, SK2 activates the production of the osmolyte  
12 ectoine to cope with hydrostatic pressure (Scoma et al. 2016a; Scoma et al. 2016b). Marine bacteria secrete large  
13 molecules known as exopolysaccharides (EPS) consisting of proteins, polysaccharides, lipids, nucleic acids and  
14 uronic acids. EPS enhances the survival of microbial cells under changing environmental conditions through  
15 various mechanisms such as, biofilm formation, enhancing substrate adhesion, protection against limited nutrient  
16 availability, detoxification of metals and the presence of antibiotics (Harimawan and Ting 2016; Pal and Paul  
17 2008).

18 Some microorganisms specifically produce amphiphilic EPS, particularly BS as a mechanism to increase  
19 the bioavailability of hydrophobic substrates such as hydrocarbons, these BS enhance the growth of indigenous  
20 bacteria capable of degrading aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons. BS produced from marine bacteria can  
21 facilitate hydrocarbon dispersion, degradation, emulsification and bioavailability (Das et al. 2010; Mapelli et al.  
22 2017). BS from cold-adapted marine microorganisms or psychrophilic organisms can work efficiently at cold and  
23 freezing temperatures, and are therefore suitable in laundry detergent formulations where low temperature  
24 washing conditions have become a priority for energy conservation (Perfumo et al. 2018; Marchant and Banat  
25 2012b). The potential uses of BS are further improved by their low-toxicity, meaning they are applicable for large-  
26 scale industrial production and subsequent environmental disposal where they can be readily biodegraded (Irorere  
27 et al. 2017; Uzoigwe et al. 2015). Hence marine bacteria offer an excellent opportunity for the discovery of new  
28 SAC molecules with distinctive properties. Although highly attractive, the biosynthesis of BS from marine  
29 organisms has largely been overlooked. The mechanism of their regulation during synthesis is also not fully  
30 understood adding further difficulties to the process for their production. Several approaches are required before

1 the widespread application of marine-derived BS can be achieved: i) Isolation and identification of novel, non-  
2 pathogenic marine BS producing bacteria ii) Optimization of culture conditions to achieve sufficient yields of BS  
3 and iii) Characterization of genes involved in BS production from marine organisms. These will allow the use of  
4 marine strains in large scale BS production processes while improving yield and cost-efficiency of BS production.  
5

## 6 **I. Isolation of BS producing marine strains**

7           Much of the research on BS is focused on soil isolates, including the *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* groups,  
8 however, BS production by marine microorganisms is an area relatively unexplored since it is still considered that  
9 marine microorganisms are difficult to culture in the laboratory (Stein et al. 1996; Walsh and Duffy 2013). The  
10 global demand for BS has led to a number of academic research groups and manufacturers searching  
11 underexploited sources such as bacteria isolated from the marine environment. Recent reports have shown the  
12 successful isolation and culture of diverse BS producing microorganisms from marine habitats (Thavasi et al.  
13 2009). BS production is induced in most marine bacteria in the hydrocarbon polluted environment. Marine  
14 bacteria increase hydrocarbon bioavailability by the production of BS which shows surface/emulsification  
15 activities and facilitates hydrocarbon degradation (Thavasi et al. 2011). The marine oil spill from deep water  
16 horizon in the Gulf of Mexico caused large volumes of oil spill into the water. Several BS producing marine  
17 bacteria became predominant following the spill, including *Alteromonas*, *Halomonas*, *Alcanivorax*, *Colwellia*,  
18 *Cycloclasticus* and *Pseudoalteromonas* (Mapelli F et al. 2017). A number of other bacteria producing BS  
19 including, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, and *Acinetobacter* were reported in oil contaminated waters worldwide  
20 (Gerard et al. 1997; Hentati et al. 2016; Ortega-de la Rosa et al. 2018), although these species are not necessarily  
21 restricted to the marine environment.

22           The populations of marine bacteria can be increased by the addition of inorganic nutrients which allows  
23 them to use hydrocarbons as a carbon and energy source. *A. borkumensis* is found in low numbers in unpolluted  
24 environments but becomes the dominant microbe in hydrocarbon polluted ocean and coastal waters. The growth  
25 and multiplication of *Alcanivorax* in oil treated sea water increased up to 91% within 1-2 weeks of nutrient  
26 supplementation (Syutsubo et al. 2001). It was observed that addition of inorganic nutrients improved the  
27 dynamics of the bacterial community (Roling et al. 2002). Providing suitable conditions for the hydrocarbon  
28 utilization can be an efficient method to achieve growth and multiplication of these organisms. In microcosm and  
29 field biodegradation experiments, bacteria with 16S rRNA sequences related to *A. borkumensis* and *Pseudomonas*  
30 *stutzeri* increased when supplemented with oil and inorganic nutrients (Roling et al. 2004).

1           Considering that the marine environment contains diverse marine microbes numbering approximately  
2  $3 \times 10^{28}$  bacteria (Copley 2002), metagenomic-based approaches can be applied for the discovery of novel marine  
3 microbial derived BS (Kennedy et al. 2011). Metagenomic analyses involve both sequence-based and function-  
4 based strategies. Function-based strategies are used to screen metagenomics libraries constructed from marine  
5 ecosystems which typically involves using *Escherichia coli* as the heterologous host and the subsequent screening  
6 of this library. Sequence-based analyses, involves the identification of genes based upon homology with well  
7 characterized genes that are found in sequence databases (e.g. BLAST, MEGAN, KEGG). However, the major  
8 bottleneck for identifying genes from metagenomic sources is the identification of coding regions that do not have  
9 homology in the sequence databases. Additionally, the metagenomic approach requires a deeper knowledge of  
10 the diversity of BS molecules produced and the underlying genetic systems than we have at present.

11

## 12 **II. Culture conditions for production of BS from marine bacteria**

13 The quality and productivity of BS are determined by the bacterial strain and their growth conditions. The  
14 optimization of growth conditions is important for the maximum production of BS. The composition and  
15 productivity of BS are also influenced by carbon source, pH, temperature, salinity, nitrogen and agitation amongst  
16 other factors. Marine microorganisms which require salts for growth are referred to as halophiles. Many marine  
17 organisms such as moderate halophiles require 3%–15% (w/v) NaCl for optimal growth while extreme halophiles  
18 grow optimally at 25% (w/v) NaCl (Margesin and Schinner 2001). *Halomonas* are known to produce different  
19 types of glycolipids and glycoproteins with superior emulsifying activity than commercial emulsifiers. These  
20 halophilic bacteria may play a vital role for the production of surfactants and emulsifiers in oil-polluted saline  
21 environments. The growth of bacteria is influenced by salt concentration which also affects BS production. The  
22 growth of halophilic bacteria at high salt concentration can reduce the contamination risks which can significantly  
23 reduce upstream fermentation costs of BS production (Pepi et al. 2005; Tan et al. 2011). However, for large scale  
24 industrial production growth media containing high salt concentration would be regarded as undesirable due to  
25 the corrosive effects it would have on the production plant infra structure.

26           Different carbon sources in the growth medium influence the composition of BS production. Carbon  
27 sources studied for BS production include crude oil, diesel, glucose, sucrose and glycerol. Several studies show  
28 that marine bacteria can utilize hydrocarbons as substrate and produce BS, therefore the ability of hydrocarbon  
29 degrading marine bacteria to produce BS could be utilized in the bioremediation of hydrocarbon-contaminated  
30 environments. *Halomonas* sp. strain C2SS100 degraded hydrocarbons and produced BS at high salinity (Mnif et

1 al. 2009), while *Brevibacterium luteolum* synthesized BS using mineral oil as a carbon source (Vilela et al. 2014).  
2 A *Brevibacillus* strain degraded phenanthrene to produce BS (Reddy et al. 2010) and *Alteromonas* sp. 17 degraded  
3 hydrocarbons and produced BS using long chain alkane eicosane (Al-Mallah et al. 1990). Glycolipopeptide BS  
4 was also produced by *Corynebacterium kutscheri* using cheaper carbon sources like waste motor lubricant oil and  
5 peanut oil cake (Thavasi et al. 2007). It is important to bear in mind that for large-scale commercial production of  
6 BS the cost of the growth medium may only constitute a smaller contribution to the total production costs when  
7 the energy required to run the fermentation for a prolonged period is taken into account and since many renewable  
8 plant oils are relatively cheap, readily available and of consistent composition. Therefore, the obsessive search for  
9 'waste' materials as substrates towards achieving cost effective processes may be unjustified.

10 Nitrogen is vital for microbial growth and BS production. The type and concentration of the nitrogen  
11 source plays an essential role in the optimization of BS production (Davis et al. 1999). Different nitrogen sources  
12 could be used for the production of BS including, yeast extract, urea, peptone, ammonium sulphate, ammonium  
13 nitrate and sodium nitrate. Yeast extract was the best nitrogen source for the production of the BS in the marine  
14 *Streptomyces* species B3 (Khopade et al. 2012b). Sodium nitrate and yeast extract were preferred nitrogen sources  
15 for BS production by marine *B. subtilis* N3-4P (Zhu et al. 2016) while the maximum BS production was observed  
16 with phenyl alanine as the nitrogen source in marine *Nocardioopsis* B4 (Khopade et al. 2012a).

17 Another important parameter is temperature that greatly influences cell growth and BS production. BS  
18 from thermophilic microorganisms are industrially preferred due to their thermostability at temperatures above  
19 40°C, however BS produced from mesophiles also have high levels of thermo-stability and psychrophilic marine  
20 bacteria capable of producing BS have potential applications for bioremediation in cold environments. The  
21 hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium, *Rhodococcus* sp. obtained from the Norwegian coastline produced BS during  
22 cultivation at 20°C with kerosene, n-hexadecane or rapeseed oil as a carbon source (Dang et al. 2016). The results  
23 reported above clearly indicate that conditions for growth and BS production are often organism specific and each  
24 organism isolated will need to be fully investigated to optimise both medium and production conditions.

25

### 26 **III. Characterization of BS production in marine bacteria**

27 The identification of possible genes involved during BS synthesis is necessary in order to understand BS synthesis  
28 and develop robust BS producing strains with high production capacity. The most well-characterized low-  
29 molecular weight BS is rhamnolipid, produced by several species of *Pseudomonads* and *Burkholderia*. The genes  
30 *rhlA*, *rhlB* and *rhlC*, which are responsible for the biosynthesis of rhamnolipids have been found in *P. aeruginosa*

1 as well as non-pathogenic *B. thailandensis*. These three genes are localized within a single gene cluster in  
2 *Burkholderia* while, the *rhlC* gene is located at two different, remote position within the *P. aeruginosa* genome  
3 (Dubeau et al. 2009; Perfumo et al. 2013). Recently, homologues to *P. aeruginosa* rhamnolipid genes *rhlA* and  
4 *rhlB* were identified in a non-pathogenic marine *Pseudomonas* species MCTG214(3b1) (Twigg et al. 2018). Most  
5 of the genetic studies of BS production are limited to well-characterised BS molecules, but the expression of genes  
6 involved in BS synthesis is not well studied in marine bacteria.

7         The genes and regulatory pathways are not necessarily identical in different BS producers. Different  
8 species can produce a BS with totally different chemical structure and even small variations in the congener  
9 composition of a surfactant can greatly affect its functional property. To fully understand how SAC synthesis is  
10 regulated in these marine strains, it is important to characterise the chemical structure and necessary genes required  
11 for BS synthesis. The chemical composition of the SAC molecules can be determined by electrospray ionization  
12 mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), high performance liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS) and  
13 nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) techniques (Smyth et al. 2010).

14         Few reports have been published regarding BS synthesis during hydrocarbon degradation in marine  
15 bacteria. It was reported that *P. aeruginosa* JP-11 isolated from the marine environment utilized  $98.8\% \pm 2.3\%$  of  
16 biphenyl within 72h from contaminated sites. Although *P. aeruginosa* cannot be considered a true marine  
17 bacterium, it is a common organism isolated from the marine environment. The production of BS was confirmed  
18 by the expression of the rhamnolipid synthesizing genes *rhlAB* (Chakraborty and Das 2016). *Bacillus* species are  
19 known to produce BS such as lichenysin, surfactin, fengycin, pumilacidin, iturin and bacillomycin (Vater et al.  
20 2002). BS production was seen during anthracene degradation by a marine alkaliphile *Bacillus*  
21 *licheniformis* (MTCC 5514). The strain degraded >95% of 300 ppm anthracene and showed tolerance up to  
22 500 ppm of anthracene concentration. The gene involved in the BS lichenysin production was *licA3*, followed by  
23 degradation through the catabolic degradative enzyme, catechol 2,3 dioxygenase (C23O) (Swaathy et al. 2014).

24         *Acinetobacter* species are also known to produce high-molecular weight emulsifiers (Ortega-de la Rosa  
25 et al. 2018). In *Acinetobacter lwoffii* RAG-1, the genes encoding the biosynthesis of emulsan (a polysaccharide  
26 BE) were reported to be clustered within a 27-kbp region termed, wee cluster (Nakar and Gutnick 2001). The  
27 bioemulsan alasan produced by *A. radioresistens* is a complex mixture of anionic polysaccharides and protein.  
28 The *alnA* gene which codes for the surface-active protein of alasan was cloned, sequenced, and expressed in *E.*  
29 *coli*. Significant sequence similarity (21%) between the recombinant emulsifier protein AlnA of *A. radioresistens*  
30 and OmpA of *E. coli* was seen. However, no emulsifying or hydrocarbon solubilizing activities have been



1 observed with *E. coli* OmpA (Toren et al. 2002). It has also been reported that the marine hydrocarbonoclastic  
2 bacterium *Alcanivorax borkumensis* synthesizes glycolipids for hydrocarbon degradation (Abraham et al. 1998;  
3 Yakimov et al. 1998). The genome sequence of *A. borkumensis* SK2 revealed its capacity for BS production. The  
4 glycosyltransferase (ABO\_1783) similar to RhlB from *P. aeruginosa* and glycosyltransferase protein family 9  
5 (ABO\_2215) were found to be potentially involved in BS production. The *A. borkumensis* SK2 genome encodes  
6 other proteins involved in emulsifier production namely, OmpA (ABO\_0822), OprG/OmpW (ABO\_1922) and  
7 OmpH (ABO\_1152) (Schneiker et al. 2006). Similarly, genes involved in BS production were reported in the  
8 marine bacterium *Achromobacter* sp. HZ01. The genome of strain HZ01 harbours OmpH (gene\_1336) and OmpA  
9 (gene\_2469) which are both related to emulsifier production (Hong et al. 2017). Similar genes involved in  
10 biosynthesis of BS were found in the genome of *Cobetia* sp. MM1IDA2H-1 (Ibache-Quiroga et al. 2017). A  
11 significant problem in using genetic information from one organism to another is the lack of sequence homology  
12 between genes which may lead to the production of similar products. The rhamnolipid production genes in *P.*  
13 *aeruginosa* PAO1 and *B. thailandensis* have only about 50% sequence homology (Dubeau et al. 2009). While in  
14 a marine *Pseudomonas* species MCTG214(3b1), unrelated to *P. aeruginosa*, which produces di-rhamnolipids  
15 identical in structure to *P. aeruginosa*, the *rhlA* and *rhlB* genes have very high sequence homology while it has so  
16 far been impossible to find an *rhlC* homologue which indicates the presence of second novel rhamnosyltransferase  
17 (Twigg et al. 2018). This suggests that it will be necessary to search for specific domains within the genes rather  
18 than whole gene sequences when investigating such capabilities.

19

### 20 **3. Marine-derived BS in bioremediation**

21 The release of petroleum hydrocarbons in marine environments due to oil spill and chronic pollution is a serious  
22 major concern. Chemical dispersants are effectively utilized worldwide to minimize oil spill damage. Dispersants  
23 are mixtures of one or more surfactants and solvents that enhance dispersion of oil into droplets leading to  
24 increased mobility and bioavailability of hydrocarbons. The dispersed oils are solubilized in water and degraded  
25 by microorganisms. However, chemical dispersants are toxic to aquatic species and replacing them with biological  
26 non-toxic alternatives would be very advantageous and highly sought after. Various marine  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria are  
27 known to secrete cell surface amphiphilic substances (BS or BE) that allow the solubilization of aromatic  
28 hydrocarbons. During growth on hydrocarbons, microbial cells attach to oil droplets by secreting BS to increase  
29 the bioavailability of hydrocarbons. BS production by these bacteria increases dispersion of hydrocarbons thereby  
30 enhancing their degradation by non-BS-producing microorganisms (McGenity et al. 2012; Perfumo et al. 2010).

1 Marine microorganisms such as *Halomonas*, *Marinobacter*, *Myroides* as well as the tropical marine yeast  
2 *Yarrowia lipolytica* can play an important role in the ultimate removal of hydrocarbon compounds from  
3 contaminated sites by the production of BE. Due to their diverse structural and functional property, these BE have  
4 potential applications in bioremediation processes (Table 1). The *Halomonas* sp. participate in the removal of  
5 spilled oil by synthesizing surface-active emulsifiers. Glycolipid molecules produced by *Halomonas* sp. on their  
6 cell surface enhance the solubility of hydrocarbon, and thus increase their bioavailability for degradation. The  
7 emulsifying BS produced by *Halomonas* sp. could be used for enhanced oil recovery processes in extreme  
8 environments (Dhasayan et al. 2014), since the emulsifier produced by this bacterium at low temperature could  
9 enhance the bioavailability of hydrocarbons in cold environments (Pepi et al. 2005).

10 Ornithine lipids, another type of BE produced by *Myroides* sp. SM1 have strong emulsification ability  
11 for crude oil and stability in a wide range of temperatures and pH. It was reported to show better surface activity  
12 than synthetic detergents and surfactin (Maneerat et al. 2006). Emulsan, the most powerful emulsion stabilizer  
13 produced by *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* RAG-1 was reported to have potential applications in microbial  
14 enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) and cleaning oil spills (Belsky et al. 1979; Rosenberg et al. 1979). Other  
15 biosurfactants have also been reported to enhance oil/hydrocarbon bioremediation activity (Banat et al. 2000;  
16 Franzetti et al. 2011) The bioemulsifier produced by *Alteromonas* sp. 17 (now known as *Marinobacter*) could also  
17 be used for the efficient degradation of hydrocarbons (Al-Mallah et al. 1990). In another report, a *Marinobacter*  
18 species produced a phospholipopeptide class of BS capable of emulsification. The emulsions showed low  
19 ecotoxicity and were able to disperse crude oil in artificial marine water suggesting their application for  
20 bioremediation purposes (Raddadi et al. 2017). The glycolipid BS produced from *Marinobacter*  
21 *hydrocarbonoclasticus* strain SdK644 showed 2-fold greater solubilisation of crude oil than tween 80 hence  
22 showing potential in marine based bioremediation (Zenati et al. 2018). Another emulsifier “Yansan” produced by  
23 *Yarrowia lipolytica*, an aerobic yeast showed high emulsification activity and stability over a pH range of 3–9 and  
24 potential applications in the formulation of perfluorocarbon (PFC) based emulsions and degradation of  
25 hydrocarbons (Amaral et al. 2006). Biosurfactants produced by *Candida lipolytica* yeast strain was also used  
26 formulating a commercial related product for oil bioremediation (Santous et al. 2017).

#### 27 28 **4. Marine-derived BS as antimicrobial and therapeutic agents**

29 The indiscriminate use of antibiotics leading to drug resistance among pathogenic organisms is responsible for  
30 the rise of many life threatening diseases. Marine bacteria produce BS under fluctuating oceanic conditions such

1 as oil-contaminated waters as well as secondary metabolites for their defence and survival against other micro-  
2 organisms. BS derived from the marine environment have been reported to inhibit cell adhesion and biofilm  
3 formation (Das et al. 2009a; Kiran et al. 2010a). They effectively show antimicrobial, anti-adhesive and biofilm  
4 disrupting activities against pathogenic micro-organisms. In addition, some have been found to display anti-  
5 tumour/anti-cancer activity and, as a result can be a potential source for future drugs (Table 2). Marine derived  
6 glycolipid BS show potential for the development of novel antibiofilm drugs. For instance, the marine  
7 actinobacterium *Brevibacterium casei* MSA19 produces glycolipid BS which significantly disrupts the biofilm  
8 formation in both mixed culture and individual strains at 30µg glycolipid/ml (Kiran et al. 2010a). Another  
9 glycolipid BS from a marine *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* SBPS 15 showed antimicrobial activity against  
10 different human pathogenic clinical isolates. The BS was stable at a broad range of pH (3–9) and temperature (up  
11 to 80°C) (Mani et al. 2016).

12 The biological activities of lipopeptides have been reported in marine bacteria. Marine derived  
13 lipopeptide, surfactin from *B. circulans* DMS-2 has potential antitumor activity against cancer cell lines HCT-15  
14 (IC<sub>50</sub> 80 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and HT-29 (IC<sub>50</sub> 120 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) (Sivapathasekaran et al. 2010). The lipopeptide BS produced by  
15 the marine *B. circulans* has anti-adhesive activity against several potential pathogenic strains. It was seen that BS-  
16 mediated surface conditioning significantly decreased bacterial adhesion of pathogenic strains like *E. coli*,  
17 *Micrococcus flavus* and *Proteus vulgaris* up to 89% at a concentration as low as 0.1 g/l showing its potential in  
18 biomedical applications (Das et al. 2009a). In another study a marine-derived *B. subtilis* SDNS produced ε-poly-  
19 L-lysine (ε-PL) which showed anti-cancer activity against human Hela S3 cell line (El-Sersy et al. 2012).

20 The molecular structure of a particular lipopeptide variant defines its biological activity. For example,  
21 the length of carbon-chain affects the antifungal activity in iturinic lipopeptides. Due to their hydrophobic nature  
22 the longer fatty acid chain interact effectively with the cell membrane. As reported, among three different  
23 lipopeptides isolated from marine derived *B. mojavensis*, the antifungal activity of fengycins (C<sub>16</sub> and C<sub>17</sub>) were  
24 stronger than mojavensin A (C<sub>15</sub>) (Ma and Hu 2014; Ma et al. 2012). Likewise, the longer fatty acid chain isoform  
25 C<sub>16</sub> of iturin A from marine *Bacillus megaterium* inhibited the proliferation of tumour cells by disrupting the Akt  
26 pathway leading to apoptosis (Dey et al. 2015). In another report, fengycin fractions produced by *B. circulans*  
27 strain, where out of four different fractions, antimicrobial activity was observed only with variants of C<sub>16</sub> and C<sub>17</sub>  
28 (Sivapathasekaran et al. 2009). The longer fatty acid chain of BS may offer advantages in increasing the surface-  
29 activity of these molecules.

1           These properties suggest that marine-derived BS can serve as a source of new biomolecules for the  
2 discovery of novel drugs. Other possible applications of BS can be the synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using  
3 marine-derived surfactants. Metallic nanoparticles are receiving great interest in the field of biomedicine for  
4 example, tissue engineering, drug delivery, detection of pathogens, detection of tumours, biological markers etc.  
5 (Salata 2004). Recently BS have been used both in nanoparticle synthesis and stabilization (Plaza et al. 2014).  
6 Microorganisms have developed the capability to grow and survive at high metal concentrations by various  
7 mechanisms such as, impermeable cell membrane, efflux of toxic ions, oxidation or reduction of ions and  
8 production of EPS (Decho 1990). Marine bacteria can bind a wide range of heavy metals through production of  
9 BS and contribute to organic carbon cycling (Das et al. 2009b). Therefore, utilization of marine derived BS is a  
10 safer route for environmental friendly synthesis of nanoparticles. In a study, a glycolipid BS was synthesized from  
11 sponge-associated marine *Brevibacterium casei* MSA19 under solid state fermentation. The glycolipid helped in  
12 stabilization of nanoparticles and prevented aggregation (Kiran et al. 2010b). Biosynthesis of metallic  
13 nanoparticles using bacteria and fungi has gained more attention while, synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using  
14 marine bacteria is an area yet to be fully explored (Plaza et al. 2014).

15

## 16 **5. Marine-derived BS in food and cosmetic formulations**

17 Due to increased use of stabilizing and thickening agents in food products, these industries are looking for  
18 ingredients which can improve food quality and properties. Gum arabic, xanthan gum and lecithin are widely used  
19 hydrocolloid emulsifiers which efficiently emulsify and stabilize oil-in-water emulsions. In Quorn products  
20 (quorn.co.uk), dried fungus culture from *Fusarium venenatum* is used together with egg albumen as a binder.  
21 While, in vegan food products, potato protein is added in place of egg albumen. However, climate change and  
22 weather fluctuations such as drought will produce defoliation and affect the production of plant based gums. In  
23 order, to reduce the dependency on synthetic emulsifiers and plant based emulsifiers there is increased interest in  
24 finding new ingredients. Contrary to chemical surfactants, marine derived BS are non-toxic and/or less toxic with  
25 high stability at extreme temperature, pH and salinity.

26           Not many studies on the applications of marine-derived BS in food and cosmetic formulations have been  
27 reported so far. The only commercialized microbial emulsifier is Emulsan produced from *A. calcoaceticus*. BS,  
28 which due to its emulsion stabilizing property, can improve consistency, texture and solubilisation of fat globules,  
29 and aroma in food products. Incorporation of BE has been found to improve the rheology of dough, increasing  
30 the volume and emulsification of fat and thus finds useful applications in the bakery and meat processing

1 industries. For example, a glycoprotein emulsion stabilizer produced by marine *Antarctobacter* sp. TG22 can  
2 produce stable oil-in-water emulsions with commercial food-grade oils (Gutierrez et al. 2007a). Similarly two  
3 other glycoprotein emulsifiers from marine *Halomonas* species TG39 and TG67 show superior emulsifying  
4 properties when compared to commercial emulsifiers with stable activity under acidic conditions and high  
5 temperatures (Gutierrez et al. 2007b).

6 The lipopeptide MSA31 from a marine *Nesterenkonia* species is an effective emulsifier, with good  
7 antioxidant activity and its addition to muffins improved softness and retained food quality. The lipopeptide  
8 MSA31 effectively reduced 90% of biofilm formed by *Staphylococcus aureus* and was non-toxic to the brine  
9 shrimp nauplii (up to 200µg/ml) (Kiran et al. 2017). Another bioemulsifier produced by a marine bacterium  
10 *Enterobacter cloacae* was reported to promote the viscosity of acidic food products (Iyer et al. 2006). In the food  
11 industry, there is wide scope for marine derived-BS as emulsifiers, stabilizing agents, antimicrobial and  
12 antiadhesives/antibiofilm agents (Table 3).

13 Chemicals used in cosmetic formulations often cause skin irritations and allergies. There is significant  
14 interest in natural cosmetic products among consumers. Replacement of SACs in these products with BS can  
15 reduce such harmful effects. The surface-active properties are essential to determine the type and amount of BS  
16 in detergents, cosmetic, pharmaceuticals and various other industries. The type of BS compound to be  
17 incorporated in the formulations can be selected based on their emulsifying ability and/or surface-activity such  
18 as, hydrophilic–lipophilic balance (HLB) and critical micelle concentration (CMC), respectively. The HLB  
19 defines the polarity of the BS, which gives an indication of its solubility in different systems. BS with a high HLB  
20 value indicates that it is highly hydrophilic while, a low HLB value shows a high lipophilic character. Based on  
21 the HLB values, a BS will be an emulsifier, antifoaming agent and wetting agent which are desirable properties  
22 in cosmetic products.

23 CMC is the minimum concentration of BS required to lower the ST of water. At the CMC surfactant  
24 molecules form micelles to reduce surface tension and interfacial tension. The surface-active properties of a BS  
25 are determined by its side chain length, unsaturated bonds and the size of hydrophilic group. With increasing  
26 hydrophobicity, the CMC of BS molecules tends to decrease. That means, a lower concentration of BS is required  
27 for micelle formation. It is reported, *Burkholderia thailandensis* producing rhamnolipids Rha-Rha-C<sub>14</sub>-C<sub>14</sub> has a  
28 CMC of 225 mg L<sup>-1</sup> compared to *P. aeruginosa* PG201 producing Rha-Rha-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> of 600 mg/L. Due to the  
29 hydrophobicity of the longer fatty acid chains, *Burkholderia* BS has a lower CMC compared to *P. aeruginosa*  
30 (Dubeau et al. 2009).

1           Considering the foaming and emulsifying properties, BS can be used for different applications in health  
2 care products including, cleansers, moisturizers, toothpaste and personal care products. Marine-derived BS  
3 effectively show antimicrobial, anti-adhesive and biofilm disrupting activities against pathogenic  
4 microorganisms. This property can be utilised in cosmetic and skin care products. Similar to skin cell membranes,  
5 the fatty acid chain in BS can prevent generation of free radicals from UV radiation. Therefore, BS can be applied  
6 as antioxidants in skin care products (Vecino et al. 2017).

7           Before introducing BS in industrial formulations, it is necessary to determine the toxicity of these SACs  
8 on cells or animal models. For example, the toxicity of glycolipid BS (BS-SLSZ2) produced by a marine epizootic  
9 bacterium *Staphylococcus lentus* towards eukaryotic model organism was determined. The glycolipid BS-SLSZ2  
10 efficiently inhibited biofilm formation in *Vibrio harveyi* and *P. aeruginosa*. In vivo experiments showed that BS-  
11 SLSZ2 was non-toxic towards *Artemia salina* and was effective in protecting *A. salina* against *V. harveyi* and *P.*  
12 *aeruginosa* infections (Hamza et al. 2017). In another report, marine *Pseudomonas* sp. MK90e8 and MK91CC8  
13 was reported to produce massetolide A, novel cyclic depsipeptide and viscosin, respectively. Massetolide A and  
14 viscosin exhibited in vitro antimicrobial activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium-*  
15 *intracellulare*. The effect of massetolide A was found to be non-toxic to mice at a dose of 10 mg/kg thus showing  
16 potential in treating infections against *Mycobacterium* (Gerard et al. 1997).

17           The activity of Pseudofactin II (PFII), a lipopeptide BS isolated from the Arctic strain of *P. fluorescens*  
18 BD5 was compared with normal human dermal fibroblast (NHDF). PFII induced apoptosis of melanoma skin  
19 cancer cells while NHDF were less affected under same conditions. The mechanism of melanoma cell death may  
20 be due to increased plasma membrane permeability by BS micelles. The activity of PFII was most effective above  
21 CMC (130–140  $\mu$ M) (Janek et al. 2013). The toxicity of BS from marine bacteria *Nocardiopsis* VITSISB was  
22 evaluated in toothpaste formulation. BS was found to more efficient and less toxic surfactant compared to  
23 chemical surfactant sodium lauryl sulphate (Das et al. 2013). Further investigations should be done to supply safe  
24 and effective products to satisfy consumers' demands.

## 25 26 **6. Recommendation and conclusion**

27 Due to their interesting biological properties, BS from extremophiles have great potentials with a broad range of  
28 applications for industrial and consumer products. Considering their properties such as, emulsifiers, thickeners,  
29 anti-oxidants, extreme tolerance to pH or temperature as well as antifungal and antimicrobial activities we look  
30 forward to potentially new products with marine-derived BS as their surface-active ingredients. Although highly

1 desirable they also have their disadvantages such as the production of relatively low yield of BS and high  
2 production costs. In some studies, either the product yield is very low or have not been quantified. Additionally,  
3 in some studies, the taxonomic identification of strains has not been carried out using reliable methods. As  
4 suggested by Irerere et al. (2017) criteria should be followed before claims for a BS producing strain and the  
5 identity of the product are made. In comparison to BS produced from mesophilic microorganisms, not much work  
6 has been done on marine BS. Fermentation optimisation by varying physiochemical factors including,  
7 temperature, pH, aeration and agitation speed and nitrogen would be beneficial to increase the product yield. In  
8 order to develop robust BS producing strains it is important to identify the genes involved in SACs biosynthesis  
9 and to investigate the regulatory mechanisms involved in BS synthesis by targeting those regulatory genes.

10 Close examination of the information presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3 reveals the deficiencies in the data  
11 currently available from the published literature. Although many of the organisms have been reliably identified  
12 using 16S rRNA sequence data, other identifications have relied on morphological, physiological and biochemical  
13 tests. This lack of specific taxonomic data makes extrapolations from one study to another significantly more  
14 difficult. The other glaring lack of information concerns the yield of BS produced either as a crude yield or as a  
15 purified material. As we have already pointed out one important issue dictating whether BS will become a  
16 common constituent of consumer products or will be used in bulk bioremediations is cost. We can see from the  
17 few yield figures given that in general they are exceptionally low, of the order of mg/L, rather than g/L. In the  
18 case of one microbial biosurfactant that has reached industrial use, the sophorolipid from *Starmerella bombicola*,  
19 yields are of the order of hundreds of g/L. Until the production from marine organisms can be increased, either  
20 through the manipulation of the growth conditions or through genetic manipulation, there is little prospect of  
21 major commercial interest.

22 The final point we can take from data in the tables is the lack of consistency and reliability of the methods  
23 used to identify the products. In some instances, no real attempts have been made to specifically identify the  
24 products and in others general analytical methods have been used which only provide indicative information  
25 concerning the structures. In many of the applications under considered for BS, particularly by the pharmaceutical  
26 and cosmetic industries, specific knowledge of the compounds being produced is imperative. In many cases  
27 microbial BS are typically a mixture of different congeners and where bioactivity is being investigated it is critical  
28 that pure samples of individual congeners are used in order to be able to assign a specific bioactivity to a molecule.  
29 Mixtures of different molecules may have competing activities which may cancel each other out.

1           Some studies have been done on potential rhamnolipid producing strains including *P. aeruginosa* and  
2 *Burkholderia* species to enhance rhamnolipid production. One such approach is cloning the rhamnolipid  
3 biosynthesis genes *rhlA* and *rhlB* into non- rhamnolipid producing strains, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) and *P. aeruginosa*  
4 PAO1 *ΔrhlA*. However, the BS yield from the recombinant strains was too low in comparison to the wild type  
5 strains (Wang et al. 2007). Another approach to increase the BS yield is to silence the genes competing with the  
6 BS production pathway. For example, Funston et al. (2017) knocked-out the polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)  
7 pathway synthesis genes for enhanced rhamnolipid production. The halophile *Halomonas* species are ubiquitous  
8 in marine environments and produces BE which effectively emulsifies hydrocarbons and other petroleum  
9 contaminants. These species are known BS producers as well as PHA producers. However, the effect of PHA  
10 deficient mutation on the yield of its SACs is not known. It might be interesting to follow a similar strategy in  
11 *Halomonas* species to reduce the carbon flux towards other metabolic pathways and increase the BS production.

12           The chemical composition and toxicity must be known before commercialisation of the SACs. The  
13 developed techniques such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and ultra-performance liquid  
14 chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) can be used to analyse BS congeners (Rudden et al.  
15 2015). The analysis and purification of a particular BS fraction displaying bioactivity is a prerequisite for  
16 subsequent biomedical applications. Reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) has  
17 recently been used to fractionate and purify glycolipid and lipopeptide compounds (Sivapathasekaran et al. 2009).  
18 The separation and purification of BS can enhance the level of bioactivity of BS isoforms. The antimicrobial  
19 activity of the lipopeptide was reported to increase further after purification (Mukherjee et al. 2009). While, the  
20 toxicity of BS can be tested in eukaryotic models such as, *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Artemia* sp. The toxicity  
21 of the BS producing strain can be tested in the *Galleria mellonella* model. The *Galleria* model was used to test the  
22 pathogenicity in rhamnolipid BS producing strains and it was found that *B. thailandensis* was significantly less  
23 pathogenic than *P. aeruginosa* (Irorere et al. 2018) and that a marine Pseudomonad was also non-pathogenic  
24 (Twigg et al. 2018).

25           Marine-derived BS effectively emulsify hydrocarbons therefore, are suitable for marine-based  
26 bioremediation. The improved biodegradation levels obtained with marine-derived BS indicate that they represent  
27 the most efficient accelerators for hydrocarbon biodegradation through increasing the bioavailability of oil. Use  
28 of extremophiles such as, *Acinetobacter*, *Halomonas*, *Marinobacter* which effectively produce BS and participate  
29 in marine bioremediation should be preferred over mesophilic microorganisms for remediation of oil spills.  
30 Psychrophilic bacteria are capable of degrading crude oil efficiently at low temperatures. Therefore, BS produced



1 from psychrophilic bacteria are suitable for bioremediation of hydrocarbon contaminated sites in cold  
2 environments. For bioremediation purposes, the impurities within the BS mixture act as a co-substrate and  
3 enhance the degradation of pollutants (Mata-Sandoval et al. 2001). Considering the downstream processing costs  
4 further purification of BS is not required for bioremediation purposes.

5

## 6 **Acknowledgements**

7 The authors LT, RM and IMB acknowledge the financial support from the MARISURF Project funded by the  
8 European Union Framework Programme for Research and Innovation, Horizon 2020 under grant agreement no.  
9 635340. VUI acknowledges Ulster University Vice Chancellor's Research Scholarship.

10

## 11 **Compliance with ethical standards**

## 12 **Conflict of interest**

13 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

14

## 15 **References**

16

17 Abraham WR, Meyer H, Yakimov M (1998) Novel glycine containing glucolipids from the alkane using  
18 bacterium *Alcanivorax borkumensis* Biochim Biophys Acta 1393:57-62

19

20 Al-Mallah M, Goutx M, Mille G, Bertrand J-C (1990) Production of emulsifying agents during growth of a marine  
21 *Alteromonas* in sea water with eicosane as carbon source, a solid hydrocarbon Oil and Chemical Pollution  
22 6:289-305 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-8579\(05\)80005-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-8579(05)80005-X)

23

24 Amaral PFF, da Silva JM, Lehocky M, Barros-Timmons AMV, Coelho MAZ, Marrucho IM, Coutinho JAP  
25 (2006) Production and characterization of a bioemulsifier from *Yarrowia lipolytica* Process Biochem  
26 41:1894-1898 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2006.03.029>

27

28 Balan SS, Kumar CG, Jayalakshmi S (2017) Aneurinifactin, a new lipopeptide biosurfactant produced by a marine  
29 *Aneurinibacillus aneurinilyticus* SBP-11 isolated from Gulf of Mannar: Purification, characterization  
30 and its biological evaluation Microbiol Res 194:1-9 doi:10.1016/j.micres.2016.10.005

31

32 Banat IM Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Giuseppina B, Martinotti MG, Fracchia L, Smyth TJ, Marchant R (2010)  
33 Microbial biosurfactants production, applications and future potential Appl Microbiol Biotechnol  
34 87:427-444 doi:10.1007/s00253-010-2589-0

35

36 Banat IM, Satpute SK, Cameotra SS, Patil R, Nyayanit NV (2014) Cost effective technologies and renewable  
37 substrates for biosurfactants' production Front Microbiol 5:697 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00697

38

39 Belsky I, Gutnick DL, Rosenberg E (1979) Emulsifier of *Arthrobacter* RAG-1: determination of emulsifier-bound  
40 fatty acids FEBS Lett 101:175-178 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793\(79\)81320-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(79)81320-4)

41

42 Chakraborty J, Das S (2016) Characterization of the metabolic pathway and catabolic gene expression in biphenyl  
43 degrading marine bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* JP-11 Chemosphere 144:1706-1714  
44 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.10.059

45

46 Copley J (2002) All at sea Nature 415:572 doi:10.1038/415572a

47

- 1 Cowan-Ellsberry C et al. (2014) Environmental safety of the use of major surfactant classes in North America  
2 Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 44:1893-1993 doi:10.1080/10739149.2013.803777  
3
- 4 Dang NP, Landfald B, Willassen NP (2016) Biological surface-active compounds from marine bacteria Environ  
5 Technol 37:1151-1158 doi:10.1080/09593330.2015.1103784  
6
- 7 Das I, Roy S, Chandni S, Loganathan K, Kumar G, Rao B (2013) Biosurfactant from marine actinobacteria and  
8 its application in cosmetic formulation of toothpaste vol 5  
9
- 10 Das P, Mukherjee S, Sen R (2009a) Antiadhesive action of a marine microbial surfactant Colloids Surf B  
11 Biointerfaces 71:183-186 doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2009.02.004  
12
- 13 Das P, Mukherjee S, Sen R (2009b) Biosurfactant of marine origin exhibiting heavy metal remediation properties  
14 Bioresour Technol 100:4887-4890 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.05.028  
15
- 16 Das P, Mukherjee S, Sivapathasekaran C, Sen R (2010) Microbial surfactants of marine origin: potentials and  
17 prospects Adv Exp Med Biol 672:88-101  
18
- 19 Davis DA, Lynch HC, Varley J (1999) The production of surfactin in batch culture by *Bacillus subtilis* ATCC  
20 21332 is strongly influenced by the conditions of nitrogen metabolism Enzyme and Microb Technol  
21 25:322-329 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00048-4  
22
- 23 De Almeida DG, Soares Da Silva RC, Luna JM, Rufino RD, Santos VA, Banat IM, Sarubbo LA (2016)  
24 Biosurfactants: promising molecules for petroleum biotechnology advances Front Microbiol 7:1718  
25 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01718  
26
- 27 Decho A (1990) Decho AW.. Microbial exopolymer secretions in ocean environments: their role(s) in food webs  
28 and marine processes. Oceanogr Mar Biol Ann Rev 28: 73-153 vol 28  
29
- 30 Deng MC, Li J, Hong YH, Xu, XM, Chen WX, Yuan JP Peng J, Yi M, Wang JH (2016) Characterization of a  
31 novel biosurfactant produced by marine hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium *Achromobacter* sp. HZ01 J  
32 Appl Microbiol 120:889-899 doi:10.1111/jam.13065  
33
- 34 Desjardine K, Pereira A, Wright H, Matainaho T, Kelly M, Andersen RJ (2007) Tauramamide, a lipopeptide  
35 antibiotic produced in culture by *Brevibacillus laterosporus* isolated from a marine habitat: structure  
36 elucidation and synthesis J Nat Prod 70:1850-1853 doi:10.1021/np070209r  
37
- 38 Dey G, Bharti R, Dhanarajan G, Das S, Dey KK, Dumar BNP, Sen R, Mandal M (2015) Marine lipopeptide Iturin  
39 A inhibits Akt mediated GSK3beta and FoxO3a signaling and triggers apoptosis in breast cancer Sci Rep  
40 5:10316 doi:10.1038/srep10316  
41
- 42 Dhasayan A, Kiran GS, Selvin J (2014) Production and characterisation of glycolipid biosurfactant by *Halomonas*  
43 sp. MB-30 for potential application in enhanced oil recovery Appl Biochem Biotechnol 174:2571-2584  
44 doi:10.1007/s12010-014-1209-3  
45
- 46 Dubeau D, Deziel E, Woods DE, Lepine F (2009) *Burkholderia thailandensis* harbors two identical rhl gene  
47 clusters responsible for the biosynthesis of rhamnolipids BMC Microbiol 9:263 doi:10.1186/1471-2180-  
48 9-263  
49
- 50 El-Sersy NA, Abdelwahab AE, Abouelkhiir SS, Abou-Zeid DM, Sabry SA (2012) Antibacterial and anticancer  
51 activity of epsilon-poly-L-lysine (epsilon-PL) produced by a marine *Bacillus subtilis* sp J Basic  
52 Microbiol 52:513-522 doi:10.1002/jobm.201100290  
53
- 54 Elshikh M, Funston S, Chebbi A, Ahmed S, Marchant R, Banat IM (2017) Rhamnolipids from non-pathogenic  
55 *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264: Physicochemical characterization, antimicrobial and antibiofilm  
56 efficacy against oral hygiene related pathogens N Biotechnol 36:26-36 doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2016.12.009  
57
- 58 Foley P, Kermanshahi pour A, Beach ES, Zimmerman JB (2012) Derivation and synthesis of renewable  
59 surfactants Chem Soc Rev 41:1499-1518 doi:10.1039/C1CS15217C  
60

- 1 Franzetti A, Tamburini E, Banat IM (2010) Applications of biological surface active compounds in remediation  
2 technologies Adv Exp Med Biol 672:121-134  
3
- 4 Franzetti A, Gandolfi I, Bestetti G and Banat IM (2011). (Bio)surfactant and bioremediation, successes and  
5 failures. In "Trends in Bioremediation and Phytoremediation. Editor, Grazyna Plaza. Research Signpost,  
6 Kerala, India. Chapter 9 pp 145-156. ISBN: 978-81-308-0424-8  
7
- 8 Funston SJ, Tsaousi K, Rudden M, Smyth TJ, Stevenson PS, Marchant R, Banat IM (2016) Characterising  
9 rhamnolipid production in *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264, a non-pathogenic producer Appl Microbiol  
10 Biotechnol 100:7945-7956 doi:10.1007/s00253-016-7564-y  
11
- 12 Funston SJ, Tsaousi K, Smyth TJ, Twigg MS, Marchant R, Banat IM (2017) Enhanced rhamnolipid production  
13 in *Burkholderia thailandensis* transposon knockout strains deficient in polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)  
14 synthesis Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 101:8443-8454 doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8540-x  
15
- 16 Gerard J, Lloyd R, Barsby T, Haden P, Kelly MT, Andersen RJ (1997) Massetolides A-H, antimycobacterial  
17 cyclic depsipeptides produced by two *Pseudomonads* isolated from marine habitats J Nat Prod 60:223-  
18 229 doi:10.1021/np9606456  
19
- 20 Gutierrez T, Mulloy B, Bavington C, Black K, Green DH (2007a) Partial purification and chemical  
21 characterization of a glycoprotein (putative hydrocolloid) emulsifier produced by a marine bacterium  
22 *Antarctobacter* Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 76:1017-1026 doi:10.1007/s00253-007-1091-9  
23
- 24 Gutierrez T, Mulloy B, Black K, Green DH (2007b) Glycoprotein emulsifiers from two marine *Halomonas*  
25 species: chemical and physical characterization J Appl Microbiol 103:1716-1727 doi:10.1111/j.1365-  
26 2672.2007.03407.x  
27
- 28 Hamza F, Satpute S, Banpurkar A, Kumar AR, Zinjarde S (2017) Biosurfactant from a marine bacterium disrupts  
29 biofilms of pathogenic bacteria in a tropical aquaculture system FEMS Microbiol Ecol 93  
30 doi:10.1093/femsec/fix140  
31
- 32 Harimawan A, Ting Y-P (2016) Investigation of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) properties of *P.*  
33 *aeruginosa* and *B. subtilis* and their role in bacterial adhesion Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces  
34 146:459-467 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2016.06.039  
35
- 36 Hentati D, Chebbi A, Loukil S, Kchaou S, Godon JJ, Sayadi S, Chamkha M (2016) Biodegradation of fluoranthene  
37 by a newly isolated strain of *Bacillus stratosphericus* from Mediterranean seawater of the Sfax fishing  
38 harbour, Tunisia Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:15088-15100 doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6648-7  
39
- 40 Hong YH et al. (2017) Genome Sequencing Reveals the potential of *Achromobacter* sp. HZ01 for bioremediation  
41 Front Microbiol 8:1507 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01507  
42
- 43 Ibacache-Quiroga C, Canales C, Charifeh M, Dinamarca MA (2017) Genome sequence of *Cobetia* sp. strain  
44 MM11DA2H-1, a hydrocarbon-degrading and biosurfactant-producing marine bacterium Genome  
45 Announc 5 doi:10.1128/genomeA.00132-17  
46
- 47 Irorere VU, Smyth TJ, Cobice D, McClean S, Marchant R, Banat IM (2018) Fatty acid synthesis pathway provides  
48 lipid precursors for rhamnolipid biosynthesis in *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264 Appl Microbiol  
49 Biotechnol doi:10.1007/s00253-018-9059-5  
50
- 51 Irorere VU, Tripathi L, Marchant R, McClean S, Banat IM (2017) Microbial rhamnolipid production: a critical  
52 re-evaluation of published data and suggested future publication criteria Appl Microbiol Biotechnol  
53 101:3941-3951 doi:10.1007/s00253-017-8262-0  
54
- 55 ITOPF-International Tanker Owners Pollution Federation (2017) Available at: <http://www.itopf.com> (accessed  
56 April 2017).  
57
- 58 Iyer A, Mody K, Jha B (2006) Emulsifying properties of a marine bacterial exopolysaccharide Enzyme Microb  
59 Technol 38:220-222 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2005.06.007  
60

- 1 Janek T, Krasowska A, Radwanska A, Lukaszewicz M (2013) Lipopeptide biosurfactant pseudofactin II induced  
2 apoptosis of melanoma A 375 cells by specific interaction with the plasma membrane PLoS One  
3 8:e57991 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057991  
4
- 5 Janek T, Łukaszewicz M, Rezanka T, Krasowska A (2010) Isolation and characterization of two new lipopeptide  
6 biosurfactants produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* BD5 isolated from water from the Arctic  
7 Archipelago of Svalbard Bioresource Technology 101:6118-6123  
8 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.02.109  
9
- 10 Kalogerakis N, Arff J, Banat IM, et al. (2015) The role of environmental biotechnology in exploring, exploiting,  
11 monitoring, preserving, protecting and decontaminating the marine environment N Biotechnol 32:157-  
12 167 doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2014.03.007  
13
- 14 Kennedy J, O'Leary ND, Kiran GS, Morrissey JP, O'Gara F, Selvin J, Dobson AD (2011) Functional metagenomic  
15 strategies for the discovery of novel enzymes and biosurfactants with biotechnological applications from  
16 marine ecosystems J Appl Microbiol 111:787-799 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05106.x  
17
- 18 Khopade A, Biao R, Liu X, Mahadik K, Zhang L, Kokare C (2012a) Production and stability studies of the  
19 biosurfactant isolated from marine *Nocardiopsis* sp. B4 Desalination 285:198-204 doi:https://  
20 doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.10.002  
21
- 22 Khopade A, Ren B, Liu XY, Mahadik K, Zhang L, Kokare C (2012b) Production and characterization of  
23 biosurfactant from marine *Streptomyces* species B3 J Colloid Interface Sci 367:311-318  
24 doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2011.11.009  
25
- 26 Kiran GS, Priyadharsini S, Sajayan A, Priyadharsini GB, Poulouse N, Selvin J (2017) Production of lipopeptide  
27 biosurfactant by a Marine *Nesterenkonia* sp. and its application in food industry Front Microbiol 8  
28 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01138  
29
- 30 Kiran GS, Sabarathnam B, Selvin J (2010a) Biofilm disruption potential of a glycolipid biosurfactant from marine  
31 *Brevibacterium casei* FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 59:432-438 doi:10.1111/j.1574-  
32 695X.2010.00698.x  
33
- 34 Kiran GS, Sabu A, Selvin J (2010b) Synthesis of silver nanoparticles by glycolipid biosurfactant produced from  
35 marine *Brevibacterium casei* MSA19 J Biotechnol 148:221-225 doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.06.012  
36
- 37 Ma Z, Hu J (2014) Production and characterization of Iturinic lipopeptides as antifungal agents and biosurfactants  
38 produced by a marine pinctada martensii-derived *Bacillus mojavensis* B0621A Appl Biochem  
39 Biotechnol 173:705-715 doi:10.1007/s12010-014-0879-1  
40
- 41 Ma Z, Wang N, Hu J, Wang S (2012) Isolation and characterization of a new iturinic lipopeptide, mojavensin A  
42 produced by a marine-derived bacterium *Bacillus mojavensis* B0621A J Antibiot (Tokyo) 65:317-322  
43 doi:10.1038/ja.2012.19  
44
- 45 Maneerat S, Bamba T, Harada K, Kobayashi A, Yamada H, Kawai F (2006) A novel crude oil emulsifier excreted  
46 in the culture supernatant of a marine bacterium, *Myroides* sp. strain SM1 Appl Microbiol Biotechnol  
47 70:254-259 doi:10.1007/s00253-005-0050-6  
48
- 49 Mani P, Dineshkumar G, Jayaseelan T, Deepalakshmi K, Ganesh Kumar C, Senthil Balan S (2016) Antimicrobial  
50 activities of a promising glycolipid biosurfactant from a novel marine *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*  
51 SBPS 15 3 Biotech 6:163 doi:10.1007/s13205-016-0478-7  
52
- 53 Mapelli F, Scoma A, Michoud G Aulenta F, Boon N, Borin S, Kalogerakis N, Daffonchio D (2017)  
54 Biotechnologies for Marine Oil Spill Cleanup: Indissoluble Ties with Microorganisms Trends Biotechnol  
55 35:860-870 doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.003  
56
- 57 Marchant R, Banat IM (2012a) Microbial biosurfactants: challenges and opportunities for future exploitation  
58 Trends Biotechnol 30:558-565 doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.07.003  
59

- 1 Marchant R, Banat IM (2012b) Biosurfactants: a sustainable replacement for chemical surfactants? *Biotechnol*  
2 *Lett* 34:1597-1605 doi:10.1007/s10529-012-0956-x  
3
- 4 Margesin R, Schinner F (2001) Potential of halotolerant and halophilic microorganisms for biotechnology  
5 *Extremophiles* 5:73-83  
6
- 7 Mata-Sandoval JC, Karns J, Torrents A (2001) Effect of nutritional and environmental conditions on the  
8 production and composition of rhamnolipids by *P. aeruginosa* UG2 *Microbiol Res* 155:249-256  
9 doi:10.1016/S0944-5013(01)80001-X  
10
- 11 McGenity TJ, Folwell BD, McKew BA, Sanni GO (2012) Marine crude-oil biodegradation: a central role for  
12 interspecies interactions *Aquat Biosyst* 8:10 doi:10.1186/2046-9063-8-10  
13
- 14 Mnif S, Chamkha M, Sayadi S (2009) Isolation and characterization of *Halomonas* sp. strain C2SS100, a  
15 hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium under hypersaline conditions *J Appl Microbiol* 107:785-794  
16 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04251.x  
17
- 18 Mukherjee S, Das P, Sivapathasekaran C, Sen R (2009) Antimicrobial biosurfactants from marine *Bacillus*  
19 *circulans*: extracellular synthesis and purification *Lett Appl Microbiol* 48:281-288 doi:10.1111/j.1472-  
20 765X.2008.02485.x  
21
- 22 Nakar D, Gutnick DL (2001) Analysis of the wee gene cluster responsible for the biosynthesis of the polymeric  
23 bioemulsifier from the oil-degrading strain *Acinetobacter lwoffii* RAG-1 *Microbiology* 147:1937-1946  
24 doi:10.1099/00221287-147-7-1937  
25
- 26 Ortega-de la Rosa ND, Vázquez-Vázquez JL, Huerta-Ochoa S, Gimeno M, Gutiérrez-Rojas M (2018) Stable  
27 bioemulsifiers are produced by *Acinetobacter bouvetii* UAM25 growing in different carbon sources  
28 *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng* doi:10.1007/s00449-018-1920-5  
29
- 30 Pal A, Paul AK (2008) Microbial extracellular polymeric substances: central elements in heavy metal  
31 bioremediation *Indian J Microbiol* 48:49-64 doi:10.1007/s12088-008-0006-5  
32
- 33 Pepi M, Cesaro A, Liut G, Baldi F (2005) An antarctic psychrotrophic bacterium *Halomonas* sp. ANT-3b, growing  
34 on n-hexadecane, produces a new emulsifying glycolipid *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 53:157-166  
35 doi:10.1016/j.femsec.2004.09.013  
36
- 37 Perfumo A, Banat IM, Marchant R (2018) Going green and cold: biosurfactants from low-temperature  
38 environments to biotechnology applications *Trends Biotechnol* 36:277-289  
39 doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.10.016  
40
- 41 Perfumo A, Rudden M, Smyth TJ, Marchant R, Stevenson PS, Parry NJ, Banat IM (2013) Rhamnolipids are  
42 conserved biosurfactants molecules: implications for their biotechnological potential *Appl Microbiol*  
43 *Biotechnol* 97:7297-7306 doi:10.1007/s00253-013-4876-z  
44
- 45 Perfumo A, Smyth TJP, Marchant R, Banat IM (2010) Production and Roles of Biosurfactants and Bioemulsifiers  
46 in Accessing Hydrophobic Substrates. In: Timmis KN (ed) *Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid*  
47 *Microbiology*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 1501-1512. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-  
48 77587-4\_103  
49
- 50 Plaza GA, Chojniak J, Banat IM (2014) Biosurfactant mediated biosynthesis of selected metallic nanoparticles *Int*  
51 *J Mol Sci* 15:13720-13737 doi:10.3390/ijms150813720  
52
- 53 Raddadi N, Giacomucci L, Totaro G, Fava F (2017) *Marinobacter* sp. from marine sediments produce highly  
54 stable surface-active agents for combatting marine oil spills *Microb Cell Fact* 16:186  
55 doi:10.1186/s12934-017-0797-3  
56
- 57 Reddy MS, Naresh B, Leela T, Prashanthi M, Madhusudhan N, Dhanasri G, Devi P (2010) Biodegradation of  
58 phenanthrene with biosurfactant production by a new strain of *Brevibacillus* sp *Bioresour Technol*  
59 101:7980-7983 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.04.054  
60

- 1 Reisfeld A, Rosenberg E, Gutnick D (1972) Microbial degradation of crude oil: factors affecting the dispersion in  
2 sea water by mixed and pure cultures Appl Microbiol 24:363-368  
3
- 4 Roling WF, Milner MG, Jones DM, Fratepietro F, Swannell RP, Daniel F, Head IM (2004) Bacterial community  
5 dynamics and hydrocarbon degradation during a field-scale evaluation of bioremediation on a mudflat  
6 beach contaminated with buried oil Appl Environ Microbiol 70:2603-2613  
7
- 8 Roling WF, Milner MG, Jones DM, Lee K, Daniel F, Swannell RJ, Head IM (2002) Robust hydrocarbon  
9 degradation and dynamics of bacterial communities during nutrient-enhanced oil spill bioremediation  
10 Appl Environ Microbiol 68:5537-5548  
11
- 12 Rosenberg E, Zuckerberg A, Rubinovitz C, Gutnick DL (1979) Emulsifier of *Arthrobacter* RAG-1: isolation and  
13 emulsifying properties Appl Environ Microbiol 37:402-408  
14
- 15 Roy S, Chandni S, Das I, Karthik L, Kumar G, Bhaskara Rao KV (2015) Aquatic model for engine oil degradation  
16 by rhamnolipid producing *Nocardiopsis* VITSISB 3 Biotech 5:153-164 doi:10.1007/s13205-014-0199-  
17 8  
18
- 19 Rudden M, Tsaousi K, Marchant R, Banat IM, Smyth TJ (2015) Development and validation of an ultra-  
20 performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) method for the  
21 quantitative determination of rhamnolipid congeners Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:9189  
22 doi:10.1007/s00253-015-6968-4  
23
- 24 Salata O (2004) Applications of nanoparticles in biology and medicine J Nanobiotechnology 2:3  
25 doi:10.1186/1477-3155-2-3  
26
- 27 Santos DKF, Resende, AHM, De Almeida DG, Da Silva RDFS, Dufino RD, Launa JM, Banat IM, Sarubbo LA  
28 (2017) *Candida lipolytica* UCP0988 Biosurfactant: potential as a bioremediation agent and in  
29 formulating a commercial related product Front Microbiol 8:767 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00767  
30
- 31 Schneiker S, dos Santos VAP, Bartel D, et al. (2006) Genome sequence of the ubiquitous hydrocarbon-  
32 degrading marine bacterium *Alcanivorax borkumensis* Nat Biotechnol 24:997-1004 doi:10.1038/nbt1232  
33
- 34 Scoma A, Barbato M, Borin S, Daffonchio D, Boon N (2016a) An impaired metabolic response to hydrostatic  
35 pressure explains *Alcanivorax borkumensis* recorded distribution in the deep marine water column Sci  
36 Rep 6:31316 doi:10.1038/srep31316  
37
- 38 Scoma A, Barbato M, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Mapelli F, Daffonchio D, Borin S, Boon N (2016b) Microbial oil-  
39 degradation under mild hydrostatic pressure (10 MPa): which pathways are impacted in piezosensitive  
40 hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria? Sci Rep 6:23526 doi:10.1038/srep23526  
41
- 42 Sivapathasekaran C, Das P, Mukherjee S, Saravanakumar J, Mandal M, Sen R (2010) Marine bacterium derived  
43 lipopeptides: characterization and cytotoxic activity against cancer cell lines Int J Pept Res Ther 16:215-  
44 222 doi:10.1007/s10989-010-9212-1  
45
- 46 Sivapathasekaran C, Mukherjee S, Samanta R, Sen R (2009) High-performance liquid chromatography  
47 purification of biosurfactant isoforms produced by a marine bacterium Anal Bioanal Chem 395:845-854  
48 doi:10.1007/s00216-009-3023-2  
49
- 50 Smyth TJP, Perfumo A, Marchant R, Banat IM (2010) Isolation and analysis of low molecular weight microbial  
51 glycolipids. In: Timmis KN (ed) Handbook of hydrocarbon and lipid microbiology. Springer, Berlin,  
52 Heidelberg, pp 3705-3723. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-77587-4\_291  
53
- 54 Stein JL, Marsh TL, Wu KY, Shizuya H, DeLong EF (1996) Characterization of uncultivated prokaryotes:  
55 isolation and analysis of a 40-kilobase-pair genome fragment from a planktonic marine archaeon J  
56 Bacteriol 178:591-599  
57
- 58 Swaathy S, Kavitha V, Pravin AS, Mandal AB, Gnanamani A (2014) Microbial surfactant mediated degradation  
59 of anthracene in aqueous phase by marine *Bacillus licheniformis* MTCC 5514 Biotechnol Rep (Amst)  
60 4:161-170 doi:10.1016/j.btre.2014.10.004

1  
2 Syutsubo K, Kishira H, Harayama S (2001) Development of specific oligonucleotide probes for the identification  
3 and in situ detection of hydrocarbon-degrading *Alcanivorax* strains Environ Microbiol 3:371-379  
4

5 Tan D, Xue YS, Aibaidula G, Chen GQ (2011) Unsterile and continuous production of polyhydroxybutyrate by  
6 *Halomonas* TD01 Bioresour Technol 102:8130-8136 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.05.068  
7

8 Thavasi R, Jayalakshmi S, Balasubramanian T, Banat IM (2007) Biosurfactant production by *Corynebacterium*  
9 *kutscheri* from waste motor lubricant oil and peanut oil cake Lett Appl Microbiol 45:686-691  
10 doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02256.x  
11

12 Thavasi R, Subramanyam Nambaru VR, Jayalakshmi S, Balasubramanian T, Banat IM (2009) Biosurfactant  
13 production by *Azotobacter chroococcum* isolated from the marine environment Mar Biotechnol (NY)  
14 11:551-556 doi:10.1007/s10126-008-9162-1  
15

16 Thavasi R, Jayalakshmi S, Banat IM (2011) Effect of biosurfactant and fertilizer on biodegradation of crude oil  
17 by marine isolates of *Bacillus megaterium*, *Corynebacterium kutscheri* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*  
18 Bioresour Technol 102:772-778 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.099  
19

20 Thavasi R, Jayalakshmi S, Banat IM (2014) Biosurfactants and Bioemulsifiers from Marine Sources.  
21 In: Biosurfactants, 125-146, 2014. Eds: C.N. Mulligan, S.K. Sharma and A Mudhoo. Hardback - CRC  
22 Press. doi:10.1201/b16383-6  
23

24 Toren A, Orr E, Paitan Y, Ron EZ, Rosenberg E (2002) The active component of the bioemulsifier alasin from  
25 *Acinetobacter radioresistens* KA53 is an OmpA-like protein J Bacteriol 184:165-170  
26

27 Twigg MS, Tripathi L, Zompra A, Salek K, Irorere VU, Gutierrez T, Spyroulias GA, Marchant R, Banat IM  
28 (2018) Identification and characterisation of short chain rhamnolipid production in a previously  
29 uninvestigated, non-pathogenic marine *Pseudomonad* Appl Microbiol Biotechnol:1-13  
30 doi:10.1007/s00253-018-9202-3  
31

32 Uzoigwe C, Burgess JG, Ennis CJ, Rahman PK (2015) Bioemulsifiers are not biosurfactants and require different  
33 screening approaches Front Microbiol 6:245 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00245  
34

35 Vater J, Kablitz B, Wilde C, Franke P, Mehta N, Cameotra SS (2002) Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-  
36 -time of flight mass spectrometry of lipopeptide biosurfactants in whole cells and culture filtrates of  
37 *Bacillus subtilis* C-1 isolated from petroleum sludge Appl Environ Microbiol 68:6210-6219  
38

39 Vecino X, Cruz JM, Moldes AB, Rodrigues LR (2017) Biosurfactants in cosmetic formulations: trends and  
40 challenges Crit Rev Biotechnol 37:911-923 doi:10.1080/07388551.2016.1269053  
41

42 Vilela WF, Fonseca SG, Fantinatti-Garboggini F, Oliveira VM, Nitschke M (2014) Production and properties of  
43 a surface-active lipopeptide produced by a new marine *Brevibacterium luteolum* strain Appl Biochem  
44 Biotechnol 174:2245-2256 doi:10.1007/s12010-014-1208-4  
45

46 Walsh F, Duffy B (2013) The culturable soil antibiotic resistome: a community of multi-drug resistant bacteria  
47 PLoS One 8:e65567 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065567  
48

49 Wang Q, Fang X, Bai B, Liang X, Shuler PJ, Goddard WA, 3rd, Tang Y (2007) Engineering bacteria for  
50 production of rhamnolipid as an agent for enhanced oil recovery Biotechnol Bioeng 98:842-853  
51 doi:10.1002/bit.21462  
52

53 Yakimov MM, Golyshin PN, Lang S, Moore ER, Abraham WR, Lunsdorf H, Timmis KN (1998) *Alcanivorax*  
54 *borkumensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new, hydrocarbon-degrading and surfactant-producing marine  
55 bacterium Int J Syst Bacteriol 48 Pt 2:339-348 doi:10.1099/00207713-48-2-339  
56

57 Zenati B et al. (2018) A non-toxic microbial surfactant from *Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus* SdK644 for  
58 crude oil solubilization enhancement Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 154:100-107  
59 doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.02.032  
60

1 Zhu Z, Zhang B, Chen B, Cai Q, Lin W (2016) Biosurfactant production by marine-originated bacteria *Bacillus*  
2 *Subtilis* and its application for crude oil removal vol 227. doi:10.1007/s11270-016-3012-y  
3

4

5

6

7

8

9



1  
2  
3

Table 1. Summary of biosurfactant/bioemulsifier suggested for bioremediation applications produced by different marine microorganisms

Organism	Organism identification method(s)	Biosurfactant type	Biosurfactant Property	BS/BE yield	Characterisation method	Reference
<i>Halomonas</i> sp. MB-30	16S rRNA	Glycolipid	MEOR	NA	FTIR, NMR	(Dhasayan et al. 2014)
<i>Brevibacterium luteolum</i>	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Bioremediation	NA	FTIR	(Vilela et al. 2014)
<i>Myroides</i> sp. SM1	16S rRNA	L-ornithine lipid	Emulsify crude oil	2.6 g/10 l	MS, NMR, FTIR, GC-MS	(Maneerat et al. 2006)
<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i> RAG-1	Enrichment culture technique	Emulsan: heteropolysaccharide protein	Bioremediation, MEOR	1.5 g/27 l (heptane extraction), 2.1g/10 l (ammonium sulphate precipitation)	IR, NMR, GLC	(Belsky et al. 1979; Reisfeld et al. 1972; Rosenberg et al. 1979)
<i>Marinobacter</i> sp.	Identified according to Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology	Carbohydrates: lipids complex	Emulsify HCs	NA	TLC, GC	(Al-Mallah et al. 1990)
<i>Marinobacter</i> sp.	16S rRNA	Phospholipopeptide	Biodispersant	NA	FTIR	(Raddadi et al. 2017)
<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i>	NA	Glycoprotein	Water-in-oil emulsions with HCs and with PFCs	NA	FTIR, Raman and NMR	(Amaral et al. 2006)
<i>B. stratosphericus</i> FLU5	16S rRNA	NA	Bioremediation	NA	NA	(Hentati et al. 2016)
<i>Achromobacter</i> sp. HZ01	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Emulsify HCs	6.8 g/l	FTIR, GC-MS, HPLC-LTQ-Orbitrap MS	(Deng et al. 2016)
<i>Nocardiopsis</i> VITSISB (KC958579)	16S rRNA	Rhamnolipid	Bioremediation of oil spill	NA	FTIR, GC-MS	(Roy et al. 2015)

4 MEOR: microbial enhanced oil recovery, NA: not available, TLC: thin-layer chromatography, FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectrometry, NMR: nuclear magnetic  
5 resonance, MS: Mass spectrometry, IR: Infrared, HPLC-LTQ-orbitrap: high performance liquid chromatography coupled with linear ion trap-orbitrap mass spectrometry, GC:  
6 Gas Chromatography, GLC: Gas Liquid Chromatography, HCs: hydrocarbons, PFC: perfluorocarbons  
7  
8  
9  
10

1 Table 2. Summary of biosurfactant/bioemulsifier suggested for biomedical applications produced by different marine microorganisms

2

Organism	Organism identification method(s)	Biosurfactant type	Biosurfactant Property	BS/BE yield	Characterisation method	Reference
<i>Brevibacterium casei</i> MSA19	Morphological, biochemical and phylogenetic analysis	Glycolipid	Anti-biofilm, nanoparticle formation	18 g/l	Orcinol, TLC, FTIR, HPLC, GC-MS	(Kiran et al. 2010a; 2010b)
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> SBPS 15	16S rRNA	Glycolipid	Antimicrobial	1.345 ± 0.06 g/l	TLC, FTIR, MALDI-ToF-MS	(Mani et al. 2016)
<i>B. circulans</i> DMS-2	Identified at MTCC	Lipopeptide	Anti-tumor	1.64 ± 0.1	HPTLC, FTIR, MALDI-ToF	(Sivapathasekaran et al. 2010)
<i>Bacillus circulans</i>	Biochemical microbial identification method	Lipopeptide	Antimicrobial, anti-adhesion	1 g/l	UV-visible spectroscopy, TLC, HPLC, FTIR, MALDI-ToF	(Das et al. 2009a; Mukherjee et al. 2009; Sivapathasekaran et al. 2009)
<i>B. subtilis</i> SDNS	16s rRNA	ε-poly-L-lysine	Anti-cancer	76.3 mg/l	HPLC, TLC	(El-Sersy et al. 2012)
<i>B. mojavensis</i> B0621A	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Cytotoxic activity, antifungal	15.5 g/24 l	HPLC, NMR, RP-HPLC, GC-MS	(Ma and Hu 2014; Ma et al. 2012)
<i>Bacillus megaterium</i>	Morphological, physiological and biochemical tests	Lipopeptide	Anti-cancer	NA	RP-HPLC	(Dey et al. 2015)
<i>Aneurinibacillus aneurinilyticus</i> SBP-11	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Antimicrobial activity and crude oil recovery	NA	TLC, GC-MS, MALDI-TOF-MS, FT-IR, NMR	(Balan et al. 2017)
<i>Brevibacillus laterosporus</i> PNG-276	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Antimicrobial	NA	HPLC, MS, NMR	(Desjardine et al. 2007)
<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i>	16S rRNA	Glycolipid	Anti-adhesive, anti-biofilm	NA	Orcinol, GC-MS, FTIR, TLC, HRMS	(Hamza et al. 2017)

3 NA: not available, TLC: thin-layer chromatography, FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectrometry, NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance, HPLC: high performance liquid  
 4 chromatography, RP-HPLC: Reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography, MALDI-ToF MS: matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass  
 5 spectrometry, GC: Gas Chromatography, HPTLC: High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography, HRMS: high-resolution mass spectrometer, MTCC: Microbial Type Culture  
 6 Collection, India

7

8

1  
2  
3

Table 3. Summary of biosurfactant/bioemulsifier suggested for food/cosmetic applications produced by different marine microorganisms

Organism	Organism identification method(s)	Biosurfactant type	Biosurfactant Property	Biosurfactant yield	Characterisation method	Reference
<i>Antarctobacter</i> sp. TG22	16S rRNA	Glycoprotein	Emulsion-stabilizing agent	21.1 mg/l	HPLC, GC, Size-exclusion chromatography, NMR	(Gutierrez et al. 2007a)
<i>Halomonas</i> species TG39 and TG67	16S rRNA	Glycoprotein	Emulsification and stabilisation of food oils	131.0 ± 0.07 mg/l, 28.0 ± 0.01 mg/l	HPLC, NMR, GC	(Gutierrez et al. 2007b)
<i>Nesterenkonia</i> species MSA31	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Emulsifier-stabilizing agent in food, anti-biofilm, antioxidant	NA	GC-MS, FTIR, TLC, NMR	(Kiran et al. 2017)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	API system	EPS	Emulsifier-stabilizing agent in food	NA	NA	(Iyer et al. 2006)
<i>Nocardiopsis</i> VITSISB	NA	NA	Emulsifying and foaming agent in toothpaste	NA	NA	(Das et al. 2013)
<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> BD5	16S rRNA	Lipopeptide	Anti-melanoma compound	10 mg/l	RP-HPLC, MALDI-ToF-MS, MS/MS	(Janek et al. 2010; 2013)

4  
5  
6  
7

NA: not available, API: analytical profile index, TLC: thin-layer chromatography, FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectrometry, NMR: nuclear magnetic resonance, MS/MS: Tandem mass spectrometry, RP-HPLC: Reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography, MALDI-TOF MS: matrix assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry, GC: Gas Chromatography