HACKER: Human And Computer Knowledge discovered Event Rules for Telecommnuications Fault Management

Sterritt, R., Curran, E., & Hongzhi, S. (2002). HACKER: Human And Computer Knowledge discovered Event Rules for Telecommnuications Fault Management. In *Unknown Host Publication* (Vol. 7). IEEE.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSMC.2002.1175746>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
Unknown Host Publication

Publication Status:
Published (in print/issue): 01/10/2002

DOI:
[10.1109/ICSMC.2002.1175746](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSMC.2002.1175746)

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

HACKER: Human And Computer Knowledge discovered Event Rules for Telecommunications Fault Management

Roy Sterritt, Edwin P. Curran, Hongzhi Song

School of Information and Software Engineering, Faculty of Informatics, University of Ulster

Jordanstown, Newtownabbey, Northern Ireland, BT37 0QB, UK

{r.sterritt, ep.curran, gh.song}@ulster.ac.uk

Abstract—Visualization integrated with data mining can offer 'human-assisted computer discovery' and 'computer-assisted human discovery'. Such a visual environment, reduces the time to understand complex data, thus enabling practical solutions to many real world problems to be developed far more rapidly than either human or computer operating independently. In doing so the remarkable perceptual abilities that humans possess can be utilized, such as the capacity to recognize images quickly, and detect the subtlest changes in size, color, shape, movement or texture. One such complex real world problem is fault management in global telecommunication systems. These systems have a large amount of built-in redundancy to ensure robustness and quality of service. Unfortunately, this means that when a fault does occur, it can trigger a cascade of alarm events as individual parts of the system discover and report failure making it difficult to locate the origin of the fault. This alarm behavior has been described as appearing to an operator as non-deterministic, yet it does result in a large data mountain that is ideal for data mining. This paper presents a visualization data mining prototype that incorporates the principles of Human and Computer Discovery, the combination of computer-assisted human discovery with human-assisted computer discovery through a three-tier framework. The prototype is specifically designed to assist in the semi-automatic discovery of previously unknown alarm rules that can then be utilized in commercial rule based component solutions, "Business Rules", which are at the heart of many of today's fault management systems.

Keywords: Visual Data Mining, Knowledge Discovery, Data Visualization, Integration, Human-assisted Computer Discovery, Computer-assisted Human Discovery.

I. INTRODUCTION

Visualization involves constructing graphical interfaces that enable humans to understand complex data sets. Visualization may be viewed as the link between the two most powerful information processing systems: Humans and the modern computer [6].

Uthurusamy (1996) proposed that a challenge of paramount importance for KDD was to have a more human-centered view. Pressing the need for highly interactive human-centered environments as outlined by the KDD process [2] would enable both *human-assisted computer discovery* and *computer-assisted human discovery*. Such tools would reduce the time to understand complex data sets would enable practical solutions to many real world problems far more rapidly than either human or computer operating independently [21].

Interest in the field of integrating KDD and visualization has been growing with these promises in mind and have been

applied to various applications, in particular data exploration [7].

This paper presents a tool that integrates visualization and data mining incorporating the principles of Human and Computer Discovery, that is the combination of computer-assisted human discovery with human-assisted computer discovery through a three-tier framework. The tool is specifically designed to assist in the semi-automatic discovery of previously unknown alarm rules that can then be utilized in commercial rule based component solutions, "Business Rules", which are at the heart of many of today's fault management systems.

II. FAULT MANAGEMENT DOMAIN

A. Computer Networks

As the world becomes increasingly reliant on computer networks the complexity of these networks has grown along a number of dimensions [16]. The phenomenal growth of the Internet as shown a clear example of the extent to which the use of computer networks is becoming ubiquitous [1]. As users demands and expectations on networks become more varied and complex so do the networks themselves. As such, heterogeneity has become the rule rather than the exception [16]. Data, in any form, voice, movie, or actual information, may travel under the control of different protocols through numerous physical devices manufactured and operated by large numbers of different vendors. There is a general consensus, in dealing with such data, the trend towards increasing complexity will continue rather than abate.

The complexity lies in the accumulation of several factors; the embedded increasing complexity of network elements, the need for sophisticated services and the heterogeneity challenges of customer networks [4].

B. Network Management

Network management encompasses a large number of tasks with various standards bodies specifying a formal organization of these tasks. The International Standards Organization (ISO) divides network management into six areas as part of the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) model; configuration management, fault management, performance management, security management, accounting management and directory management which sit within a 7 layer network hierarchical structure.

Yet with the Internet revolution and the convergence of the Telcos and Data Comms other realities are forming. The trend is towards a flatter structure.

C. Faults, Fault Management and Alarm Correlation

Essentially, network faults can be classified into hardware and software faults, which cause elements to produce outputs, which in turn cause overall failure effects in the network such as congestion [22]. A single fault in a complex network can generate a cascade of events, potentially overloading a manager's console with information [15].

The fault management task can be characterised as detecting when network behavior deviates from the normal and in formulating a corrective course of action. Fault management can be decomposed into three tasks; fault identification, fault diagnosis and fault remediation [16]. A fourth task may be that of fault prediction, which may be a natural extension of fault identification [18].

Alarm correlation is a conceptual interpretation of multiple alarms such that a new meaning is assigned to these alarms [14] and potentially creating derived alarms [9].

D. The Domain Challenges

The principle aim behind alarm event correlation is the determination of the cause. The alarms represent the symptoms and as such, in the global scheme of things, are not of significant interest once the failure in the network is determined [10].

The time to market and the R&D lifecycle of these products are continuously being squeezed while at the same time market demands for features and functionality increase with each release. It is also the nature of the domain that customers expect legacy support with the new systems as well as multi-vendor support.

This not only creates challenges for rule-based systems development but also creates a substantial rule-base maintenance burden [3]. As such techniques such as data mining to assist in discovery and development of rules in heterogeneous network environments is essential.

III. A THREE-TIER DISCOVERY PROCESS

It may be proposed that a flaw in data mining or Knowledge Discovery (KD) is that it is not user-centered [2] [8] [21]. A three-tier discovery process [17] is illustrated in Figure 1. Its aim is the discovery of previously unknown and potentially useful association rules or in the exemplar domain alarm correlations directly from fault management data.

The objectives are to help avoid the traditional problems associated with RBS, namely the knowledge acquisition (KA) bottleneck and the maintenance burden [3].

The approach is more than a knowledge discovery process since it is placing an equal weight against human participation. Obtaining a highly interactive human-computer environment should facilitate practical solutions to real world issues far more rapidly than either a human or computer operating independently could achieve [21]. It is hoped that

combining the two into a process will assist in reaching the major goal of automation and understandability.

A. Computer-assisted Human Discovery

The aim is to discover hidden knowledge, unexpected patterns and new rules from data mountains. Visualization techniques of vast amounts of data allow the remarkable perceptual abilities that humans possess to be utilised, such as the capacity to recognise images quickly, and detect the subtlest changes in size, colour, shape, movement or texture - and thus potentially discover new event correlations in the data. [17]

B. Human-assisted Computer Discovery

Data mining (discovery algorithms) may reveal hidden patterns and new rules yet these require human interpretation to transform them into knowledge. The human element attaches a more meaningful insight into the decisions allowing the discovered correlations to be coded as useful rules for fault identification and management. [17]

C. The Three-Tiers

Human-Computer Discovery, specifically computer-assisted human discovery and human-assisted computer discovery, can be incorporated together via a three tier process, providing an iterative process of discovery and learning of rules for fault management.

The tiers are;

Tier 1 - Visualization Correlation

Tier 2 - Knowledge Acquisition or Rule Based Correlation

Tier 3 - Knowledge Discovery / Data Mining Correlation

The top tier (visualization correlation) has two distinct roles: (1) specifically to facilitate human discovery of correlations/potential rules (computer-assisted human discovery) and (2) visualizing the KD process - tier 3 (the human element of human-assisted computer discovery). The second tier (knowledge acquisition or rule-based correlation) like tier 1, has 2 distinct purposes: (1) discoveries of implicit or hidden knowledge from experts or documentation and (2) the validation of discoveries from tiers 1 and 3. That is, to move from discovered patterns (event correlations), be they through visualization or data mining, to knowledge (interpretation, validation and coded rules) will require consultation with experts and/or documentation.

The third tier (knowledge discovery correlation) mines the fault management data for more complex correlation rule candidates.

The application of the 3-tier framework is iterative and flexible in nature. As time goes on and the process is used and developed iteratively the process moves from manual (human dependence) towards automation (computer dependence). For instance, the consultation of event consequence tables as specified by ITU by the expert to confirm that a discovery is in fact new knowledge may be coded in tier 2 as prior knowledge and utilised when mining.

Figure 1 Three tier discovering process -
Computer-Assisted Human Discovery and Human-Assisted Computer discovery

D. Business Rules

An increasing trend when developing FMSs is of component or APIs (Application Programming Interfaces) to provide the RBS capability. The market recently has seen many such 'Business Rules' component solutions and methodologies such as ILOG Rules, Blaze Advisor, USoft (now called Ness), Versata, Seec and Business Rules Solutions. The Log Rules approach is one of the most popular choices among Telco's with customers such as Nortel Networks [13].

As such, this move is more than reducing development and programming time by utilizing COTS components. The 'Business Rules' approach is a move towards declarative programming from procedural [5]. To reduce the time-to-market further requires a refocus on automating as much as possible of the development of these declarative rules.

IV. THE TOOL

The starting point for the tool was an existing visualization tool [19], NxGantt - Stimuli-Event correlation Analyser (SEA) - Figure 2, that was utilised in tier 1. It was found to be a useful means for visualizing the large amount of alarm and other event data found in the event logs from the element controller. It was used in the case study in [17] highlighting a computer-assisted human discovery. SEA applied the simple metaphor of a Gantt chart to visualise the life-span of an alarm and to facilitate easy placement with other alarms occurring on other network elements in that same space and time (i.e. visual correlation).

Figure 2 NxGantt - SEA - Stimuli-Event correlation
Analyser

A. The Functions of the Tool

The main objective was to further design and develop NxGantt to capture the essence of the three-tier process, thus to facilitate both human and computer discovery while providing as much automation as possible. As such the extension of NxGantt from a visualization tool (tier 1) to a system that incorporates a data mining approach (tier 3) with illustrated results viewed in the visualization Gantt metaphor. The tool may also collect 'case' information from the domain expert (tier 2) based on why a certain correlation should or should not be used to develop a rule. This last facility reflects the trouble ticket approach used in many fault management applications.

The methodology behind the rule discovery has three tiers, visualization, knowledge acquisition (rule-base) and data mining (knowledge discovery). Although these tiers are

horizontal, in the sense that they follow a process, they should not be considered exclusively so. The design takes into account vertical interaction - for instance it should be possible to visualise mined discoveries. To this end the design tries to capture additional information and develop a 'case' as well as a 'rule'. This would assist with knowledge acquisition/capture and store the reasoning behind the rules. It may also facilitate the introduction of other AI techniques in future such as Case-Based Reasoning. Firstly as part of a fault management system utilizing the correlations and expert case knowledge. And secondly in automating more fully the rule discovery process by taking into account what lessons can be learnt from the historical information on correlations chosen or not chosen by the expert.

B. The Prototype of the Tool

Figure 3 highlights the 2 main threads through the tool - automated alarm correlation discovery (data mining) and manual alarm correlation discovery (visualization). Basically the process is discovery > case details > automated rule.

Figure 3 Computer and Human discovery options designed into the tool

It is important in any automated process not to assume the program knows best - the design of application has allowed editing of the produced rules and cases as well as the usual feedback on how these correlations have been discovered.

In Figure 4, screenshots (a) - (c) show the manual/human discovery approach which matches the left hand side of the flow in Figure 3. Screenshots (d) - (f) show automated/computer discovery which matches the right hand flow in Figure 3.

Screenshot (a) depicts the visualization of data as was standard in the original tool (Figure 2) with the addition of 2 alarms

highlighted and manual rule option being chosen. Screenshot (b) requests (1) a rule name, (2) a higher order rule name that will be asserted in the system when these correlated alarms are retracted, (3) some explanatory reasoning from the expert as to why and (4) a diagnostic response that may be supplied to the user upon correlating these alarms. Screenshot (c) then automatically produces the rule in the correct syntax that may be edited or saved.

Screenshot (d) shows the (semi) automatic - find rules option being chosen with their results from them being shown in (e). Upon choosing to develop a rule from a discovered correlation, will produce the same screen as in (b) although note that in (f) the user has decided to ignore the correlation and fills in some reasons as to why.

The rule discovery is semi-automatic since not all information is available to write a complete rule (thus the reliance on the case dialog). The mining algorithm basically searches for instances of alarms occurring together in time. This is problematic in that often the local clock on a network element is off sync. Simply relying instead on the network manager's time stamp doesn't solve this either since it cannot be guaranteed that the alarms arrive to the manager in any certain order. This is handled by utilizing time windows, but obviously some uncertainty remains. Favored mined correlations for rule development then relies on frequency of that combinations occurrence although ultimately the decision lies with the expert user.

V. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

At this stage of prototype the mining algorithm is not very sophisticated. Other mining algorithms that could handle the uncertainty in the data better could be 'plugged in'.

One challenge not addressed in this work is that of heterogeneous rule validation as rules are potentially being discovered from different sources. This would remove the need to manually confirm that they do not conflict with one another or the rules in the existing system.

You can never have enough integration and automation! As has been mentioned techniques such as CBR could be used once the 'case' database grows to assist in the discovery task.

As the trend continues to derive and record specifications (tier 2) as soft-copy documents, often web enabled, this provides opportunities in itself for mining [12],[20] providing potential input for tier 3. Once captured, for example in a graphical causal model, the prior knowledge could then be used to refine future mining of the data [11].

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

This paper presented the complexities and issues in fault management and identification namely that commercial systems tend to present a reduced set of symptoms of the fault not the actual fault itself. It then highlighted a three-tier process to assist in the semi-automatic discovery of new rules that could potentially reduce that set of symptoms further in a practical manner that addresses the issues.

The paper focused on the development of a specific tool that vertically slices the three tiers incorporating elements of visualization, knowledge capture and data mining reflecting both human-assisted computer discovery and computer-assisted human discovery.

The design of the prototype tool in the form of screen shots was then discussed with the purpose of highlighting features required from such a tool in terms of human and computer discovery, such as:

- Automation as far as possible
 - Correlations (computer discovery)
 - Correlation statistics (for human as well as computer discovery)
 - Rule coded from correlation (computer or human discovered)
 - Case coded from correlation (computer or human discovered)
- Transparency
 - Assumption expert knows best - therefore allow editing of automated bits
 - Visualization of discoveries
- Vertical hooks (to the other tiers)
 - Knowledge acquisition - capture why the correlations are relevant
 - Data mining - allow hooks in so mined discoveries can be visualised

The ultimate aim behind this work is that of integrating data visualization and knowledge discovery to create a human-centered process.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are greatly indebted to our industrial collaborators Nortel Networks, Belfast Labs. We would also like to thank the Industrial Research and Technology Unit (IRTU) [Start-187 - The Jigsaw Programme 1999-2002] for funding this work jointly with Nortel Networks.

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1] Bournellis C., *Internet '95*, *Internet World*, 6 (11):47-52, 1995.
- [2] Brachman R.J., Amand T., "The Process of Knowledge Discovery in Databases: A Human-Centered Approach", *Advances in Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, AAAI Press & The MIT Press: California, 1996, pp37-57.
- [3] Bratko, Muggeleto S., "Applications of Inductive Logic Programming", *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 38, no. 1, 1995, p. 6-57.
- [4] Cheikhrouhou M., Contip, Labetoulle J., Marcus K., *Intelligent Agents for Network Management: Fault Detection Experiment*, In Sixth IFIP/IEEE International Symposium on Integrated Network Management, Boston, USA, May 1999.
- [5] Date C.J., *What's Not How - The Business Rules Approach to Application Development*, forthcoming Book, 2001.
- [6] Eick, S.G., Fayyad U. M., Grinstein G.G., Wierse A., (Eds.) *Information Visualization in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, USA, 2002.
- [7] Fayyad U.M., Grinstein G.G., Wierse A., (Eds.) *Information Visualization in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, USA, 2002.
- [8] Fayyad U.M., Piatetsky-Shapiro G., Smyth P., "From Data Mining to Knowledge Discovery: An Overview", *Advances in Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, AAAI Press & The MIT Press: California, 1996, p. 1-34.
- [9] Gruer D., Khan I., O'Gier R., Keffer R., *An Artificial Intelligence Approach to Network Fault Management*, SRI International, Menlo Park, California, USA.
- [10] Harrison K., "A Novel Approach to Event Correlation", *HP Labs, Bristol*, HP-94-68, July, 1994, p. 1-10.
- [11] Heckerman, D., *Bayesian Networks for Data Mining*, *DM&KD*, 1, 79-119, 1997.
- [12] IJCAI, 1999. Workshop on Text Mining: Foundations, Techniques and Applications, 1997.
- [13] ILog, www.ilog.com, 2000.
- [14] Jakobson G., and Weissman M., *A alarm correlation*, *IEEE Network*, 7 (6):52 -- 59, November 1993.
- [15] Oates T., *Automatically Acquiring Rules for Event Correlation From Event Logs*, Technical Report 97-14, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Computer Science Department, 1997.
- [16] Oates T., *Fault identification in computer networks: A review and a new approach*, Technical Report 95-113, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Computer Science Department, 1995.
- [17] Sterritt R., *Discovering Rules for Fault Management*, *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on the Engineering of Computer Based Systems (ECBS)*, Washington DC, USA, April 17-20, 1990-196, 2001.
- [18] Sterritt R., Marshall A.H., Shapcott C.M., McClean S.L., *Exploring Dynamic Bayesian Belief Networks For Intelligent Fault Management Systems*, *IEEE Int. Conf. Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, Vol. 5, pp3646-3652, Sept. 2000.
- [19] Sterritt R., Curran E.P., Adamson K., Shapcott C.M., *Visualization for Data Mining telecommunications network data*, *Data Mining II*, (eds.) E. Becken F.F., B. Rebbia C.A., W. Eigend A., WIT Press, Southampton UK, 445-454, 2000.
- [20] Tkach, E. 1998. *Text Mining Technology: Turning Information into Knowledge*, IBM White Paper.
- [21] Uthurusamy R., "From Data Mining to Knowledge Discovery: Current Challenges and Future Directions", *Advances in Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, AAAI Press & The MIT Press: California, 1996, p. 561-569.
- [22] Wang Z., *Model of network faults*, In Meandzija B., Westcott J., (Eds.), *Integrated Network Management*, North Holland, Elsevier Science Pub. B. V., 1989.

Figure 4 Screenshots of the Prototype "NxGantt - HACKER" - Human And Computer Knowledge discovered Event Rules