Root depth distribution and the diversity-productivity relationship in a long-term grassland experiment

Mueller, K., Tilman, D., Fornara, D., & Hobbie, S. (2013). Root depth distribution and the diversity-productivity relationship in a long-term grassland experiment. *Ecology*, 94(4), 787-793. <https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1399.1>

[Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal](#)

Published in:
Ecology

Publication Status:
Published (in print/issue): 05/06/2013

DOI:
[10.1890/12-1399.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1399.1)

Document Version
Author Accepted version

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

ECOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Ecology/Ecological Monographs/Ecological Applications

PREPRINT

This preprint is a PDF of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in an ESA journal. It is the final version that was uploaded and approved by the author(s). While the paper has been through the usual rigorous peer review process of ESA journals, it has not been copy-edited, nor have the graphics and tables been modified for final publication. Also note that the paper may refer to online Appendices and/or Supplements that are not yet available. We have posted this preliminary version of the manuscript online in the interest of making the scientific findings available for distribution and citation as quickly as possible following acceptance. However, readers should be aware that the final, published version will look different from this version and may also have some differences in content.

The doi for this manuscript and the correct format for citing the paper are given at the top of the online (html) abstract.

Once the final published version of this paper is posted online, it will replace the preliminary version at the specified doi.

Running head: Diversity, rooting depth, and productivity

Title: Root depth distribution and the diversity-productivity relationship in a long-term grassland experiment

Kevin Mueller^{1*}, David Tilman^{1,2}, Dario A. Fornara³, and Sarah E. Hobbie¹

¹University of Minnesota, Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, Saint Paul, MN 55108, U.S.A.

²University of California, Bren School of the Environment, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, U.S.A.

³University of Ulster, School of Environmental Sciences, Coleraine, BT52 1SA, U.K.

*corresponding author: 100 Ecology Building, 1987 Upper Buford Circle, Saint Paul, MN 55108; kevin.e.mueller@gmail.com; +1 6126255738 (phone)

Abstract

The relationship between plant diversity and productivity in grasslands could depend, partly, on how diversity affects vertical distributions of root biomass in soil; yet, no prior study has evaluated the links among diversity, root depth distributions, and productivity in a long-term experiment. We use data from a 12-year experiment to ask how plant species richness and composition influenced both observed and expected root depth distributions of plant communities. Expected root depth distributions were based on the abundance of species in each community and two traits of species that were measured in monocultures: root depth distributions and root to shoot ratios. The observed proportion of deep root biomass increased more than expected with species richness and was positively correlated with aboveground productivity. Indeed, the proportion of deep root biomass explained variation in productivity even after accounting for legume presence/abundance, and greater nitrogen availability in diverse plots. Diverse plots had root depth distributions that were twice as deep as expected from their species composition and corresponding monoculture traits, partly due to interactions between C4 grasses and legumes. These results suggest the productivity of diverse plant communities was partly dependent on belowground plant interactions that caused roots to be distributed more deeply in soil.

Keywords: root biomass, aboveground biomass, complementarity, legume, C4 grass, species richness, interspecific interactions

Introduction

The positive diversity-productivity relationship in experimental grasslands is facilitated, partly, by greater capture of soil resources in more diverse plant communities (Tilman et al. 1996, Scherer-Lorenzen et al. 2003, Spehn et al. 2005, Fornara and Tilman 2009). Several factors can enhance resource acquisition in diverse plant communities, including: i) the presence of nitrogen-fixing legumes (Spehn et al. 2002); ii) positive feedbacks from plant productivity and plant nutrient concentrations to soil nutrient availability (Fornara and Tilman 2009, Reich et al. 2012); iii) high root biomass and root activity (Tilman et al. 1996, de Kroon et al. 2012); and iv) niche differentiation with respect to resource requirements and extraction (Berendse 1982, Mckane et al. 1990, Fargione and Tilman 2005a, von Felten et al. 2009). In this paper, we focus on one related, but under-studied, factor that could greatly influence soil resource use and partitioning: the vertical distribution of roots in soil.

The vertical distribution of roots could influence the amount and complementarity of soil resource extraction in two ways. First, combinations of species with *inherently* different rooting distributions, for example shallow and deep-rooted species, could facilitate coexistence and more exhaustive use of soil resources (Berendse 1982, Mommer et al. 2010). Second, *adjustments* in root:shoot ratios or rooting depths by one or more species in a community could facilitate coexistence and increase total resource extraction. For instance, in response to depletion of surface soil resources in diverse communities, some species might allocate more root biomass to deep soil (Fargione and Tilman 2005b, Schenk 2008, Skinner and Comas 2010). Species in diverse communities might also alter the depth distribution of roots in response to the density and identity of neighboring roots (Schenk 2006, Mommer et al. 2010, de Kroon et al. 2012).

In this study, we explore the relationships among plant diversity, root depth distributions,

and productivity using data from the 12th year of a grassland plant diversity experiment (Tilman et al. 2001). First, we evaluate how root depth distributions, at the community level, are influenced by plant species richness and the presence and abundance of plants from different functional groups. We then assessed the implications of community-level root depth distributions for the diversity-productivity relationship. Previous studies of this experiment showed that both above- and belowground plant biomass were positively correlated with plant species richness.

Methods

Study site. The experiment, located near Bethel, Minnesota (USA), was initiated in 1993 and planted in 1994 (Tilman et al. 2001). For the growing season, approximately May thru September, the average maximum daily temperature between 1994 and 2006 was 24.4 °C, the average minimum temperature was 11.7 °C, and the average precipitation was 480 mm. Soils are derived from glacial outwash and have coarse texture (>90% sand). Percent carbon and nitrogen (N) in soil are typically lower than 1% and 0.1%. The upper 6 to 8 cm of soil was removed prior to seeding. Plots (9 m x 9 m) were seeded to achieve five different levels of plant species richness (1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 species). Each level of species richness was replicated more than 25 times. Species composition of each plot was determined by random draws from a pool of 18 plant species that included four non-legume forbs (hereafter forbs), four non-woody legumes, four C3 grasses, four C4 grasses, and two *Quercus* species. Thus, all 16-species plots contain at least two species from each of the herbaceous plant types. All plots were ignited in the spring of each year and weeded ~3 times per year to remove non-planted species. Following Tilman et al. (2006), we focus on 152 plots that burn well and have very little *Quercus* biomass.

Sampling. In August 2006, we sampled root biomass in three different depth increments,

0-30 cm, 30-60 cm, and 60 to 100 cm. Three soil cores, five cm in diameter, were removed and composited for each plot before roots were isolated from the soil by rinsing with water over a 1.5-mm mesh screen. Roots were dried at 40 °C for 10 days and weighed (Fornara and Tilman 2008). Aboveground biomass was sampled in August and it approximates aboveground productivity due to annual spring burning (Tilman et al. 2006).

Estimating net adjustments of rooting depth in multi-species communities. For each plant species and each rooting depth increment (0-30, 30-60 and 60-100 cm), we calculated the ratio of root biomass to aboveground biomass using data from the monoculture plots of each species. Then, for each species in a multispecies plot, the monoculture-derived root:shoot ratios were multiplied by the relative aboveground abundance of that species in the mixture (i.e. the proportion of total aboveground biomass attributed to that species). Finally, the calculated root biomass values for each species in a plot were summed to produce an "expected" root depth distribution for each plot. The expected root depth distributions reflect a null hypothesis for each experimental plant community, based on the null expectation that species do not adjust their root:shoot ratios or root depth distributions in response to changes in community composition or resource availability. Consequently, deviations of observed root depth distributions from expected values reflect adjustments in rooting depth and/or root:shoot ratios of individual species that cause the root depth distribution of the whole community to become deeper, or more shallow, than expected based on community composition and monoculture traits.

We estimated the expected root depth distributions for a subset of plots dominated by species with well-characterized root depth distributions in monoculture. We defined well-characterized species as those for which >70% of aboveground biomass in monoculture plots was derived from the target species. Twelve of the 16 focal species met this criterion; two C3

grasses, including *Poa pratensis*, and two forbs did not meet this criterion. However, we have confidence in our estimate of the root depth distribution of *Poa pratensis* monocultures because a similar value, within 1% of our estimate, was observed in a neighboring experiment (P.B. Reich unpublished). Expected root depth distributions were then calculated for plots where > 70% of the aboveground biomass was accounted for by these thirteen species (137 out of 152 plots). More and less strict cutoffs yielded similar results.

Statistics. All data were assessed for normality and transformed accordingly, frequently using a square-root transformation. We then used ANOVA models with different combinations of factors to tease out their effects on dependent variables. Type III sums of squares were used for significance tests, such that the contribution of each factor was evaluated *after* accounting for the effects of the other predictors (Hector et al. 2010). Community functional composition was evaluated using binary variables coded for the presence/absence of different plant functional groups (e.g. legumes, C4 grasses). To assess the effects of individual species, we used separate analyses with binary variables coded for the presence/absence of each of the 13 focal species (species richness was not included as a covariate). Finally, to build on previous studies that identified plant N concentrations and soil N availability as important predictors of productivity in our experiment (Fargione et al. 2007; Fornara & Tilman, 2009), we compared the effect of root depth distributions and N-related parameters on aboveground biomass and total root biomass in additional regression models. All analyses were performed using JMP (©SAS Institute Inc.).

Results

Depth distribution of root biomass. Across all plots, the upper 30 cm of soil contained between 51 and 100% of the total root biomass (sampled to a depth of 1 m). Root biomass in the

30 to 60 cm and 60 to 100 cm depth increments showed similar patterns with species richness (Fig. A1 in Appendix A) and community composition (not shown), so for statistical analyses we combined these two depth increments into one: root biomass between 30 and 100 cm.

Planted species richness was positively correlated with root biomass in the surface soil (0-30 cm; $R^2=0.43$, $P<0.0001$) and in the deeper soil (30-100 cm; $R^2=0.34$, $P<0.0001$), even after accounting for variation in the presence of different functional groups (Table A1 in Appendix A). Species richness had a greater positive effect on deep root biomass; the median root biomass below 30 cm was ~7 times higher in 16-species plots than in monocultures, while the median root biomass in the upper 30 cm of soil was ~3.5 times higher in 16-species plots as compared to monocultures. Consequently, species richness had a positive effect on the proportion of total root biomass present below 30 cm (hereafter, the deep root proportion; $P<0.001$; Table A2; Fig. 1C).

The effects of plant functional composition on root biomass at different depths were consistent with patterns observed in monocultures. Among monocultures, legumes had the deepest rooting systems, with more than 20% of root biomass typically below 30 cm (Table A3). Considering all plots, the presence of legumes was associated with higher root biomass in each depth increment, especially in the 30 to 100 cm increment ($P<0.001$, Table A1), such that plots with legumes had higher deep root proportions ($P<0.0001$; Table A2). For example, the deep root proportion in mixtures with legumes was 3 times larger than in mixtures without legumes (Fig. 1G). Contrastingly, C3 grasses had the shallowest root systems among monocultures, with typically less than 1% of total root biomass occurring below 30 cm (Table A3). Accordingly, across all plots, the presence of C3 grasses had negative effects on both deep root biomass ($P=0.01$, Table A1) and the deep root proportion ($P<0.01$; Table A2). In monocultures, C4 grasses and forbs had intermediate and more species-specific depth distributions of root biomass

(Table A3), while across all plots the presence of C4 grasses and forbs had no main effect on the deep root proportion (Table A2). For models of root biomass and deep root proportion, there were significant interaction terms related to plant functional composition; but, species richness and the main functional group effects typically explained more variation, i.e. had higher type III sums-of-squares, and had smaller P values (Tables A1 and A2).

Expected vs. observed root depth distributions. The differences between observed and expected root depth distributions, which we expressed as differences between observed and expected deep root proportions, were also related to species richness and functional composition. The observed deep root proportion in the most diverse plots was two times higher than the expected value (26% vs. 13%; $P<0.0001$). The deep root proportion for plots planted with eight species was 33% higher than expected (19% vs 14%; $P<0.05$), whereas observed and expected deep root proportions were not significantly different for lower levels of species richness (Fig. 1D; significance was evaluated using paired-t tests). The co-occurrence of legumes and C4 grasses was strongly associated with higher deep root proportions than expected ($P\leq 0.001$; Table A4). Most diverse plots contained both of these plant types, but species richness had a significant effect on the deviations from expected deep root proportions even when legume and C4 grass presence were included as covariates ($P<0.001$; Table A4). Also, when comparing among plots with at least one legume and C4 grass present, the deviations from expected deep root proportions were larger for 16-species plots than for plots with 8 or less species (Fig. 1H). The presence of forbs and C3 grasses was associated with deep root proportions that were lower than expected, but only when species richness was included as a covariate ($P<0.05$; Table A4).

Effects of individual plant species. *Lupinus perennis*, *Lespedeza capitata* and *Amorpha canescens* each had significantly positive effects on the deep root proportion ($P<0.01$), but the

presence of *Petalostemum purpureum* was not a significant factor. According to calculations based on model coefficients, the deep root proportion increased by 17% when *Lupinus perennis* was present, compared to 5 and 6% when *Lespedeza capitata* and *Amorpha canescens* were present. The presence of other species did not have apparent effects on the deep root proportion. The presence of *Lespedeza capitata*, *Lupinus perennis*, and *Schizachyrium scoparium* (a C4 grass) were associated with higher deep root proportions than expected ($P<0.05$), with the predicted effect sizes (using model coefficients) of *Lupinus perennis* and *Schizachyrium scoparium* more than double that of *Lespedeza capitata*. None of the species were linked with lower than expected deep root proportions based on their presence/absence.

Covariance of root depth distributions and plant biomass. Across all levels of species richness, both aboveground and belowground biomass were positively correlated with deep root proportion ($R^2=0.31$ and 0.24 , respectively, $P<0.0001$, $n=152$) and with the difference between observed and expected deep root proportions ($R^2=0.19$ and 0.37 , respectively, $P<0.0001$, $n=137$). Since deep root proportions were strongly positively correlated with the differences between observed and expected deep root proportions ($R^2=0.57$, $P<0.0001$, $n=137$), we focused on deep root proportions in multiple regression analyses of aboveground biomass. These analyses show that deep root proportion explains variance in aboveground biomass that is not accounted for by planted species richness, legume presence, legume abundance, or various parameters related to N availability, including root N content, total soil N, extractable soil nitrate, and the rate of net N mineralization (Table 1). This apparent effect of deep root proportion on aboveground biomass is not simply a result of the correlation between deep root proportion and total root biomass, since both deep root proportion and total root biomass were significant predictors of aboveground biomass when included in multiple regression models ($P<0.05$, regardless of whether other

predictors discussed above were included or excluded). When compared to the N-related parameters, deep root proportion explained as much or more variance in aboveground biomass, according to sums-of-squares, and had an effect size that was as large or larger, according to t values and standardized model coefficients (Bring 1994) (Table 1). Results were similar for regression models of total root biomass that used the difference between observed and expected deep root proportions as a predictor instead of the observed deep root proportion; deviations from expected deep root proportions explained variation in root biomass that was not accounted for by species richness, legume presence or abundance, or N-related parameters (not shown).

Discussion

In this experiment, the most diverse and productive plant communities also had the deepest distributions of root biomass (Fig. 1A-C, E-G). The relationship between diversity and deep root proportion arose, not because diverse plant communities contained a higher proportion of deep-rooted species, but because of *plasticity* in root biomass allocation in diverse communities. This conclusion is supported by trends in the difference between observed and expected root depth distributions (Fig. 1D,H). In plant communities with less than 8 species, observed deep root proportions were similar to expected values based on the relative abundance of species and the rooting characteristics of those species in monoculture (i.e. root depth distributions and root:shoot ratios). However, communities with 8 or more plant species had higher deep root proportions than expected, reflecting the *net* effect of adjustments to rooting depth and/or root:shoot ratios by one or more plant species. Furthermore, the covariance of root depth distributions and plant biomass, both above and belowground, depended not only on plant species richness, but also on the presence of different plant functional groups (Fig. 1E-H).

Collectively, our results suggest that diversity-dependent shifts in rooting depth, which were enhanced by plasticity in root allocation, contribute to the positive diversity-productivity relationship in this experimental grassland. We hypothesize that deeper root distributions (at the community level) enhanced plant productivity by enabling three related attributes of plant communities to increase, including: i) spatial complementarity among species, ii) biomass of absorptive roots, and iii) uptake of limiting resources in soils. To test this hypothesis requires data on the distribution of root biomass *for each species* in a community (e.g. Mommer et al. 2010) and uptake of resources from different soil depths (e.g. Kulmatiski and Beard 2012).

Notably, even after we accounted for root depth distributions and other factors underlying the diversity-productivity relationship at our site, such as legume presence and N availability (Fornara and Tilman, 2009), species richness explained additional variance in aboveground productivity. Therefore, other, unidentified factors likely contributed to the higher productivity of diverse plots, such as the amelioration of pathogen effects (Maron et al. 2011, Schnitzer et al. 2011, de Kroon et al. 2012) or phenological complementarity (Fargione and Tilman 2005a).

Why do more diverse communities have deeper root distributions? The presence of legumes was strongly associated with deep root depth distributions, but several lines of evidence suggest the positive effects of species richness on the deep root proportion were not simply due to the presence or dominance of deep-rooting legumes in diverse plots. First, species richness still explained variation in root depth distributions after accounting for legume presence or abundance (Table A2). Second, root depth distributions of the most diverse plots were deeper than expected according to species' abundance and monoculture traits (Fig. 1D,H). Finally, for plots planted with 16 species, the plots with the lowest abundance of legumes (aboveground) had the deepest root depth distributions and the most apparent plasticity in root allocation (Fig. A2).

Below, we discuss how interspecific interactions and the presence of particular species might explain the residual effects of species richness on root depth distributions (i.e. the effects of species richness that cannot be explained by the presence or abundance of legumes).

What caused root allocation to be more plastic in diverse plots? Only communities with both legumes and C4 grasses consistently had deeper root depth distributions than expected according to monoculture traits (Fig. 1H); these communities also had the most root biomass (Fig. 1F). One possible explanation for this apparent plasticity in root allocation is that C4 grasses might grow and maintain more deep roots if legumes increased N availability in deep soils, through both N-fixation and mineralization of N in dead, N-rich legume roots. Earlier studies documented that plant productivity in this experiment increased when both legumes and C4 grasses were present, but explanations of this interaction focused on the complementarity of relatively fixed, inherent traits. For example, compared to C3 grasses and forbs, the extensive root systems and low N tissues of C4 grasses probably allow greater uptake of legume-derived N and more efficient conversion of this N into biomass (HilleRisLambers et al. 2004, Fargione and Tilman 2005b, Fargione and Tilman 2006, Fargione et al. 2007, Fornara and Tilman 2008). In this study, we show that plasticity of root allocation could, through unknown mechanisms, also contribute to the effect of legumes and C4 grasses on plant biomass, particularly belowground.

Effects of individual species. When using the presence or abundance of plant functional groups as predictors of plant biomass, some of the variance in biomass that is attributed to species richness could be accounted for by strong impacts of individual species within functional groups. In our study, *Lupinus perennis* had the highest relative abundance of the legumes, the largest effect of any species on the deep root proportion, and a large effect on plasticity in root allocation. This is likely attributable not only to the abundance of *Lupinus perennis*, but also to

its possession of one or more unique traits relative to other legumes. For example, *Lupinus perennis* is the only legume species that actively grows in early spring. Since *Lupinus perennis* was planted in nearly all of the most diverse plots (33 of 35 plots), its presence likely contributed to the effects of planted species richness on the deep root proportion.

The presence of the C4 grass *Schizachyrium scoparium* in multispecies communities was also associated with deeper than expected root biomass distributions. *Schizachyrium scoparium* is a shallow rooting species in monoculture (Table A3) with a strong ability to reduce shallow soil nutrient concentrations (Fargione and Tilman 2005a), two characteristics that might induce co-occurring species to shift allocation of root biomass to deeper soil horizons.

How do our results relate to theory and results from other studies? Investment in deep roots is expected to be more advantageous when shallow soil horizons reach low levels of nutrient or water availability as compared to deep soil horizons (Schenk 2008, Mommer et al. 2010, Skinner and Comas 2010). Alternatively, some species might root more deeply in response to changes in the presence or density of roots from conspecifics or other plant species (Schenk 2006, Mommer et al. 2010), regardless of nutrient gradients (de Kroon et al. 2012). More data on species-level rooting patterns, nutrient gradients, and nutrient uptake from different depths is required to distinguish among these different possibilities. The limited data we have suggests a potential role for nutrient gradients; nitrate concentrations in upper soil horizons were negatively correlated with species richness ($P < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.17$, using nitrate concentrations sampled in mid-August 2006; see also Fargione and Tilman (2005b) and soil moisture in the upper 20 cm of soil was depleted by the presence of legumes (not shown; see also Fornara and Tilman 2009).

Earlier studies of pasture forage species, including legumes, also found that root depth distributions were deeper and plant productivity was higher for more diverse plant mixtures

(Skinner et al. 2004, Skinner et al. 2006, Skinner and Comas 2010). Yet, because the most diverse plots contained species that were not present in any replicate of lower diversity plots, the apparent richness effect is difficult to evaluate (Sanderson et al. 2004). Other field and laboratory experiments have observed that the depth distribution of root biomass did *not* increase with plant species richness (Bessler et al. 2009, Wacker et al. 2009, Mommer et al. 2010). There are several reasons that could explain the contrasting results of these studies: i) the absence of legumes (Mommer et al. 2010) or the low levels of species richness (≤ 6 species; Wacker et al. 2009; Mommer et al. 2010) in some studies, ii) use of soils that are more nutrient rich than our study site (Bessler et al. 2009), fertilized soils (Wacker et al. 2009), or soils that do not have realistic vertical resource gradients (Mommer et al. 2010), and iii) differences among studies with respect to how species richness and functional composition influence soil resource gradients. For example, at an experiment in Jena, Germany that has a comparable design to our experiment, N availability in soil is generally higher and diverse plots did not reduce nitrate concentrations in soil after the first year (Oelmann et al. 2011); thus, increases in aboveground biomass with species richness might be supported without additional investment in root biomass, evident in reduced root to shoot biomass ratios (Bessler et al. 2009).

Conclusions. In this 12-year-long experiment, the most productive and diverse plant communities had the deepest distributions of root biomass, partly as a consequence of plasticity in root allocation that arose when both legumes and C4 grasses were present. Future studies should address the role of root depth distribution and belowground plasticity in other grassland diversity experiments. Additional research is also needed to evaluate whether spatial complementarity and uptake of soil resources were enhanced in diverse plots by root plasticity.

323 **Acknowledgements**

324 We acknowledge funds from the program for Long-Term Ecological Research (U.S. N.S.F.)
325 Forest Isbell, Andrew Kulmatiski, and one anonymous reviewer provided useful comments.

326

327 **Literature cited**

328 Berendse, F. 1982. Competition between Plant-Populations with Different Rooting Depths III.
329 Field Experiments. *Oecologia* **53**:50-55.

330 Bessler, H., V. M. Temperton, C. Roscher, N. Buchmann, B. Schmid, E. D. Schulze, W. W.

331 Weisser, and C. Engels. 2009. Aboveground overyielding in grassland mixtures is associated
332 with reduced biomass partitioning to belowground organs. *Ecology* **90**:1520-1530.

333 Bring, J. 1994. How to Standardize Regression-Coefficients. *American Statistician* **48**:209-213.

334 de Kroon, H., M. Hendriks, J. van Ruijven, J. Ravenek, F. M. Padilla, E. Jongejans, E. J. W.

335 Visser, and L. Mommer. 2012. Root responses to nutrients and soil biota: drivers of species
336 coexistence and ecosystem productivity. *Journal of Ecology* **100**:6-15.

337 Fargione, J. and D. Tilman. 2005a. Niche differences in phenology and rooting depth promote
338 coexistence with a dominant C-4 bunchgrass. *Oecologia* **143**:598-606.

339 Fargione, J. and D. Tilman. 2006. Plant species traits and capacity for resource reduction predict
340 yield and abundance under competition in nitrogen-limited grassland. *Functional Ecology*
341 **20**:533-540.

342 Fargione, J., D. Tilman, R. Dybzinski, J. HilleRisLambers, C. Clark, W. S. Harpole, J. M. H.

343 Knops, P. B. Reich, and M. Loreau. 2007. From selection to complementarity: shifts in the
344 causes of biodiversity-productivity relationships in a long-term biodiversity experiment.

345 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* **274**:871-876.

- 346 Fargione, J. E. and D. Tilman. 2005b. Diversity decreases invasion via both sampling and
347 complementarity effects. *Ecology Letters* **8**:604-611.
- 348 Fornara, D. A. and D. Tilman. 2008. Plant functional composition influences rates of soil carbon
349 and nitrogen accumulation. *Journal of Ecology* **96**:314-322.
- 350 Fornara, D. A. and D. Tilman. 2009. Ecological mechanisms associated with the positive
351 diversity-productivity relationship in an N-limited grassland. *Ecology* **90**:408-418.
- 352 Hector, A., S. von Felten, and B. Schmid. 2010. Analysis of variance with unbalanced data: an
353 update for ecology & evolution. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **79**:308-316.
- 354 HilleRisLambers, J., W. S. Harpole, D. Tilman, J. Knops, and P. B. Reich. 2004. Mechanisms
355 responsible for the positive diversity-productivity relationship in Minnesota grasslands.
356 *Ecology Letters* **7**:661-668.
- 357 Kulmatiski, A. and K.H. Beard. 2012. Root niche partitioning among grasses, saplings, and trees
358 measured using a tracer technique. *Oecologia* **in press**: DOI 10.1007/s00442-012-2390-0
- 359 Maron, J. L., M. Marler, J. N. Klironomos, and C. C. Cleveland. 2011. Soil fungal pathogens and
360 the relationship between plant diversity and productivity. *Ecology Letters* **14**:36-41.
- 361 Mckane, R. B., D. F. Grigal, and M. P. Russelle. 1990. Spatiotemporal Differences in N-15
362 Uptake and the Organization of an Old-Field Plant Community. *Ecology* **71**:1126-1132.
- 363 Mommer, L., J. van Ruijven, H. de Caluwe, A. E. Smit-Tiekstra, C. A. M. Wagemaker, N. J.
364 Ouborg, G. M. Bogemann, G. M. van der Weerden, F. Berendse, and H. de Kroon. 2010.
365 Unveiling below-ground species abundance in a biodiversity experiment: a test of vertical
366 niche differentiation among grassland species. *Journal of Ecology* **98**:1117-1127.
- 367 Oelmann, Y., N. Buchmann, G. Gleixner, M. Habekost, C. Roscher, S. Rosenkranz, E. D.
368 Schulze, S. Steinbeiss, V. M. Temperton, A. Weigelt, W. W. Weisser, and W. Wilcke. 2011.

- 369 Plant diversity effects on aboveground and belowground N pools in temperate grassland
 370 ecosystems: Development in the first 5 years after establishment. *Global Biogeochemical*
 371 *Cycles* **25**:11.
- 372 Reich, P. B., D. Tilman, F. Isbell, K. E. Mueller, S. E. Hobbie, D. Flynn, and N. Eisenhauer.
 373 2012. Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. *Science*
 374 **336**:589-592.
- 375 Sanderson, M. A., R. H. Skinner, D. J. Barker, G. R. Edwards, B. F. Tracy, and D. A. Wedin.
 376 2004. Plant Species Diversity and Management of Temperate Forage and Grazing Land
 377 Ecosystems. *Crop Science* **44**:1132-1144.
- 378 Schenk, H. J. 2006. Root competition: beyond resource depletion. *Journal of Ecology* **94**:725-
 379 739.
- 380 Schenk, H. J. 2008. The shallowest possible water extraction profile: A null model for global
 381 root distributions. *Vadose Zone Journal* **7**:1119-1124.
- 382 Scherer-Lorenzen, M., C. Palmborg, A. Prinz, and E.-D. Schulze. 2003. The role of plant
 383 diversity and composition for nitrate leaching in grasslands. *Ecology* **84**:1539-1552.
- 384 Schnitzer, S. A., J. N. Klironomos, J. HilleRisLambers, L. L. Kinkel, P. B. Reich, K. Xiao, M. C.
 385 Rillig, B. A. Sikes, R. M. Callaway, S. A. Mangan, E. H. van Nes, and M. Scheffer. 2011.
 386 Soil microbes drive the classic plant diversity-productivity pattern. *Ecology* **92**:296-303.
- 387 Skinner, R. H. and L. H. Comas. 2010. Root Distribution of Temperate Forage Species Subjected
 388 to Water and Nitrogen Stress. *Crop Science* **50**:2178-2185.
- 389 Skinner, R. H., D. L. Gustine, and M. A. Sanderson. 2004. Growth, water relations, and nutritive
 390 value of pasture species mixtures under moisture stress. *Crop Science* **44**:1361-1369.
- 391 Skinner, R. H., M. A. Sanderson, B. F. Tracy, and C. J. Dell. 2006. Above- and belowground

- productivity and soil carbon dynamics of pasture mixtures. *Agronomy Journal* **98**:320-326.
- Spehn, E. M., et al. 2005. Ecosystem effects of biodiversity manipulations in European grasslands. *Ecological Monographs* **75**:37-63.
- Spehn, E. M., et al. 2002. The role of legumes as a component of biodiversity in a cross-European study of grassland biomass nitrogen. *Oikos* **98**:205-218.
- Tilman, D., J. Hill, and C. Lehman. 2006. Carbon-negative biofuels from low-input high-diversity grassland biomass. *Science* **314**:1598-1600.
- Tilman, D., P. B. Reich, J. Knops, D. Wedin, T. Mielke, and C. Lehman. 2001. Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science* **294**:843-845.
- Tilman, D., D. Wedin, and J. Knops. 1996. Productivity and sustainability influenced by biodiversity in grassland ecosystems. *Nature* **379**:718-720.
- von Felten, S., A. Hector, N. Buchmann, P. A. Niklaus, B. Schmid, and M. Scherer-Lorenzen. 2009. Belowground nitrogen partitioning in experimental grassland plant communities of varying species richness. *Ecology* **90**:1389-1399.
- Wacker, L., O. Baudois, S. Eichenberger-Glinz, and B. Schmid. 2009. Effects of plant species richness on stand structure and productivity. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **2**:95-106.

APPENDIX A

Tables report the deep root proportion of monocultures and results of statistical models of root biomass, deep root proportions, and differences between observed and expected deep root proportions. Figures show root biomass for each depth increment and species richness (Fig. A1) and correlations of the deep root proportion and the difference between observed and expected deep root proportion with the abundance of legumes and C4 grasses in diverse plots (Fig. A2).

415 **Tables**

416 **Table 1.** Multiple regression and ANCOVA models of aboveground biomass. *P* values less than 0.001 are in bold, between 0.001 and
417 0.01 are in bold italic, between 0.01 and 0.05 are in italic, and between 0.05 and 0.1 in normal print.

	<i>N parameters only</i>			<i>N parameters & % deep roots</i>			<i>N par., leg. pres., & no. of species</i>			<i>all predictors</i>		
Factor	% SS*	<i>t</i> value	Effect size[#]	% SS*	<i>t</i> value	Effect size[#]	% SS*	<i>t</i> value	Effect size[#]	% SS*	<i>t</i> value	Effect size[#]
initial soil N%	1	1.9	22	<i>1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>21</i>	2	3.2	28	2	3.1	27
Δ soil N% [†]	6	4.1	45	5	3.8	39	2	3.3	29	2	3.3	28
Δ root N% [‡]	5	3.6	44	3	3.2	36	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
net N mineralization	11	5.3	73	4	3.8	49	2	3.0	34	<i>1</i>	2.5	28
soil NO ₃ [¶]	13	-5.8	-78	9	-5.5	-67	2	-3.2	-38	2	-3.1	-35
% deep roots	na	na	na	11	6.0	69	na	na	na	2	3.3	35
no. of species	na	na	na	na	na	na	6	5.3	65	6	5.2	62
Legume presence [§]	na	na	na	na	na	na	9	6.2	75	5	4.8	59
<i>R</i> ²	0.44			0.55			0.68			0.70		
<i>n</i>	152			152			152			152		

418 *The percent of total sums-of-squares (SS) for aboveground biomass that can be uniquely attributed to each predictor variable, using Type III sums-of-squares.

419 [#]Effect size was estimated by multiplying the model coefficient by two standard deviations of the predictor (similar to Bring, 1994). The effect size is the amount
420 of aboveground biomass (g per m²) predicted to be gained or lost when each predictor shifts from one SD below the mean to one SD above the mean. [†]The
421 increase in soil N% between 1994 and 2006. [‡]The increase in root N% between 1995 and 2006. [¶]Soil nitrate concentrations. For details on N-related parameters,
422 see Fornara and Tilman (2009). [§]Similar results were observed when using legume abundance. na = not included in the model. ns = not significant (*P*>0.1).

423 **Figure legends**

424 **Figure 1.** Effects of species richness and community composition on plant biomass, the deep
 425 root proportion, and the difference between observed and expected deep root proportions. The
 426 categories describing community composition were chosen based on results of statistical models
 427 of the deep root proportion and the difference between observed and expected deep root
 428 proportions (Tables A2 and A4). Error bars indicate standard error. Within each panel, bars
 429 labeled with different letters are significantly different according to Tukey tests ($P < 0.05$).

430

preprint