

GOLIAH: A Gaming Platform for Home-Based Intervention in Autism – Principles and Design

Bono, V., Narzisi, A., Jouen, A.-L., Tilmont, E., Hommel, S., Jamal, W., Xavier, J., Billeci, L., Maharatna, K., Wald, M., Chetouani, M., Cohen, D., Muratori, F., Galway, L., & Donnelly, M. (2016). GOLIAH: A Gaming Platform for Home-Based Intervention in Autism – Principles and Design. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *7*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00070

Link to publication record in Ulster University Research Portal

Published in:

Frontiers in Psychiatry

Publication Status:

Published online: 28/04/2016

DOI:

10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00070

Document Version

Author Accepted version

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via Ulster University's Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Ulster University's institutional repository that provides access to Ulster's research outputs. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact pure-support@ulster.ac.uk.

Download date: 13/03/2024



GOLIAH: A gaming platform for home based intervention in Autism - Principles and Design

Valentina Bono^{1*}, Antonio Narzisi², Anne-Lise Jouen³, Elodie Tilmont^{3, 4}, Stephane Hommel¹, Wasifa Jamal¹, Jean Xavier⁴, Koushik Maharatna¹, Mike Wald¹, Mohamed Chetouani³, David Cohen^{3, 4}, Filippo Muratori²

¹Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, United Kingdom, ²Department of Developmental Neuroscience, IRCCS Stella Maris Foundation, Italy, ³Institute of Intelligent Systems and Robotics, University Pierre and Marie Curie, France, ⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière et University Pierre and Marie Curie, France

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Psychiatry

Specialty Section: Child and Adolescent Psychiatry

ISSN: 1664-0640

Article type: Methods Article

Received on: 10 Feb 2016

Accepted on: 07 Apr 2016

Provisional PDF published on: 07 Apr 2016

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org

Citation:

Bono V, Narzisi A, Jouen A, Tilmont E, Hommel S, Jamal W, Xavier J, Maharatna K, Wald M, Chetouani M, Cohen D and Muratori F(2016) GOLIAH: A gaming platform for home based intervention in Autism - Principles and Design. *Front. Psychiatry* 7:70. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00070

Copyright statement:

© 2016 Bono, Narzisi, Jouen, Tilmont, Hommel, Jamal, Xavier, Maharatna, Wald, Chetouani, Cohen and Muratori. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u>

<u>Attribution License (CC BY)</u>. The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, after peer-review. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

Frontiers in Psychiatry | www.frontiersin.org



GOLIAH: A gaming platform for home based intervention in Autism - Principles and Design

- 1 Valentina Bono^{1§}, Antonio Narzisi², Anne-Lise Jouen³, Elodie Tilmont^{3,4},
- 2 Stephane Hommel¹, Wasifa Jamal¹, Jean Xavier⁴, Koushik Maharatna¹, Mike
- 3 Wald¹, Mohamed Chetouani³, David Cohen^{3,4}, Filippo Muratori² and the
- 4 MICHELANGELO Study Group⁵
- ¹Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17
- 6 1BJ, UK
- ²Department of Developmental Neuroscience, IRCCS Stella Maris Foundation,
- 8 Calambrone, Pisa, Italy, Viale del Tirreno, 331, 56018, Calambrone, Pisa, Italy
- 9 ³Institute of Intelligent Systems and Robotics, University Pierre and Marie Curie,
- 10 75005, Paris, France
- ⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, APHP, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-
- 12 Salpêtrière et University Pierre and Marie Curie, 75013 Paris, France
- 13 § Correspondence:
- 14 Valentina Bono
- vb2a12@ecs.soton.ac.uk
- ⁵The members of the MICHELANGELO Study Group are listed in Appendix A.
- 17 Keywords: Autism Spectrum Disorder, Early Start Denver Model, serious game,
- 18 intensive intervention, Imitation, Joint attention, nomadic settings
- 19 Abstract
- 20 Children with Autism need intensive intervention and this is challenging in terms of
- 21 manpower, costs and time. Advances in Information Communication Technology and
- 22 computer gaming may help in this respect by creating a nomadically deployable
- 23 closed loop intervention system involving the child and active participation of parents
- and therapists.
- 25 An automated serious gaming platform enabling intensive intervention in nomadic
- settings has been developed by mapping two pivotal skills in autism spectrum
- 27 disorder: Imitation and Joint Attention (JA). Eleven games seven Imitation and four
- 28 JA were derived from the Early Start Denver Model. The games involved
- 29 application of visual and audio stimuli with multiple difficulty levels and a wide
- variety of tasks and actions pertaining to the Imitation and JA. The platform runs on
- 31 mobile devices and allows the therapist to (1) characterize the child's initial
- 32 difficulties/strengths, ensuring tailored and adapted intervention by choosing
- appropriate games and (2) investigate and track the temporal evolution of the child's
- 34 progress through a set of automatically extracted quantitative performance metrics.
- 35 The platform allows the therapist to change the game or its difficulty levels during the
- intervention depending on the child's progress.

- Performance of the platform was assessed in a 3-month open trial with 10 children
- 38 with autism (Trial ID: NCT02560415, Clinicaltrials.gov). The children and the
- 39 parents participated in 80% of the sessions both at home (77.5%) and at the hospital
- 40 (90%). All children went through all the games but, given the diversity of the games
- and the heterogeneity of children profiles and abilities, for a given game the number
- 42 of sessions dedicated to the game varied and could be tailored through automatic
- scoring. Parents (N = 10) highlighted enhancement in the child's concentration,
- 44 flexibility and self-esteem in 78%, 89% and 44% of the cases respectively and 56%
- observed an enhanced parents-child relationship.
- 46 This pilot study shows the feasibility of using the developed gaming platform for
- 47 home-based intensive intervention. However, the overall capability of the platform in
- delivering intervention needs to be assessed in a bigger open trial.

1 Introduction

- 50 Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a spectrum of neurodevelopmental disorders
- 51 characterized by the presence of atypical social communicative interaction and
- 52 behaviors [1]. Typically, ASD is diagnosed through behavioral analysis in the 3-5
- 53 years age range and, once diagnosed, its treatment is mainly delivered through
- 54 behavioral intervention following different intervention models. In essence, these
- 55 models try to teach a child cognitive, social and behavioral skills that are considered
- 56 essential for independent living in the long run and various techniques have been
- 57 developed over the years [2–7]. However, two major problems associated with such
- 58 interventions are: 1) a person's specific development intervention protocol,
- 59 accounting for the actual difficulties and strengths of a child, needs to be designed to
- 60 achieve maximal effects ASD is a broad spectrum with significant inter-child
- 61 variability and it has already been established that tailor-made personalized
- 62 intervention may be more effective compared to any generic type of intervention [8],
- and 2) at least 20 hours/week are supposed to be needed for an intensive intervention
- 64 [9][10].
- 65 Characterization of a child is typically done through behavioral assessment by a
- trained therapist in clinical settings but such an approach is often prone to have
- subjective biases. To avoid such biases, one needs to employ a set of stimuli multiple
- 68 times ensuring their repeatability and then extracting a set of objective measures for
- 69 characterizing the outcomes. Repeatability is an essential criterion in this case so that
- an average performance measure in a stimulus-specific way could be obtained
- 71 reflecting the child's actual ability for responding to the stimuli in question. Such
- 72 repeatability and the 20 hours/week intensive intervention are difficult to achieve
- 73 [10]. In fact, its implementation needs a trained therapist and, given the prevalence of
- ASD, the workload of a therapist could make the effective implementation of this
- strategy impractical. Moreover, the involvement of trained parents/caregivers to be
- part of intervention also in home setting seems to be an effective strategy in order to
- increase the learning opportunity for children with ASD [11, 12]. This requires parent
- training and regular monitoring to check whether the parents are implementing and
- 79 properly adhering to the intervention protocol outlined by the therapist. However, the
- 80 economic implication of such process is quite substantial.
- 81 In recent years, computer based approaches have been shown to be effective in
- 82 improving the learning cognitive and social skills of children with various learning

disability conditions [13–15]. In these methods the target intervention is mapped into a set of computer games and is thereby training the children since children enjoy playing games rather than going through the conventional learning process [16–18]. Most of these computer applications designed for people with autism focus on the relationship between one user and one computer and aim to help with specific behavioral problems associated with autism. Computers are motivating for children with autism due to their predictability and consistency, compared with the unpredictable nature of human responses. In regard to social interaction, the computer does not send confusing social messages. Research on the use of computers [19] for students with autism revealed increase in: (1) focused attention, (2) overall attention span, (3) sitting behavior, (4) fine motor skills, (5) generalization skills (from computer to related non-computer activities); and decrease in (6) agitation, (7) self-stimulatory behaviors, and (8) perseverative responses. The importance of assistive technology for children with autism has been established by the fact that this technology can be used in rehabilitation for daily activities.

Motivated by these facts, we conceived a closed-loop system with computer gaming at its center that allows the interaction between subjects with autism and a partner. This approach may help in mitigating the effect of isolation that could affect the traditional computer applications mentioned above. The solution we developed is innovative because it seeks to go over the actual lacuna in various computer games for children with ASD. In fact, in most computer games for ASD, the children are engaged only with a computer screen. In our protocol children are engaged with another person (therapist/caregiver) who has a computer and share the activity with the child.

The intensity of intervention for ASD plays a crucial role in terms of clinical outcome. However, the hours of intervention assigned to children with ASD are usually less than the real need of the children. To mitigate this problem, the gaming platform is an interesting solution to increase (1) the hours of treatment for children with ASD and (2) involve caregivers in the intervention. The intensity of the treatment and the involvement of caregivers are two important requirements of the intervention in ASD. In this sense, the gaming platform is in line with the recent recommendation about the intervention proposed by [11, 12].

The conceptual view of a closed-loop system that may enable effective intervention integrating both the home and clinical settings is shown in Figure 1.

At the heart of the system is a computerized gaming library (GOLIAH – Gaming Open Library Intervention for Autism at Home) which consists of a set of computer games created by mapping the desired intervention stimuli, Imitation and Joint Attention (JA) in this case, into the games. In theory, the library could be divided in two parts –assessment games and intervention games – although they could be used interchangeably without loss of any generality. At the beginning the child would be asked to play a set of games carefully selected from the library by the therapist for characterizing the child's difficulties/strengths. Since a particular type of stimulus could be mapped in different ways in multiple games, this will allow using different games for ascertaining the child's difficulties/strengths pertaining to a type of stimulus in a repeatable way without inflicting boredom on the child and thereby obtaining a much more precise average assessment of the child. Once characterized,

the therapist could choose appropriate games (designated as the intervention games for convenience) from the gaming library that the child needs to play at his/her home setting on a regular basis adhering to a protocol outlined by the therapist. The aim here is to enhance the cognitive performance of the child through playing these games at home so that the effective intervention hours could be increased. The games could be made flexible enough so that the child may play the games with his/her parents (actively involving the parents without requiring an extensive training process) on a regular basis and with the therapist remotely connected through the internet at prescheduled times. The gaming system could have an automated evaluation process embedded in it that would extract a set of quantitative evaluation metrics characterizing the child's performance with each game and thereby providing the temporal evolution characteristics of the child's performance. On the other hand, the parents could also assign a score manually according to a scoring criterion suggested by the therapist to signify how the child's performance has evolved against each stimulus according to their own perception. All the automated and manually evaluated scores could be transmitted to the therapist who may compare them to check, on one hand, how the child is improving and, on the other hand, whether the parents are adhering to the prescribed protocol truthfully. This could act as the basis of the evaluation by the therapist when he/she plays the game remotely with the child at a pre-scheduled time. Depending on this final evaluation the therapist may choose a set of different intervention games from the gaming library once the child achieves the target set by the therapist and the whole process may continue. This closed-loop approach may help in alleviating several problems currently encountered by the autism therapists and have many advantages as described below in Table 1.

Improving social interaction skills of children with autism is a difficult task for their families as well as for well-trained therapists [20, 21]. Although ASD remains a devastating disorder with a poor outcome in adult life [22, 23], there have been important improvements in the condition with the development of various therapeutic approaches. The literature on interventions in ASD has become quite extensive, with increasing convergence between behavioral and developmental methods [24, 25]. The focus of many interventions is directed toward the development of skills that are considered to be "pivotal", such as Imitation and JA [26–28].

Imitation plays a critical role in the development of every child. Among the several definitions of imitation, no definition is universally agreed upon: (1) Thorndike [26] offered a definition based on visual aspects: "learning to do an action by watching someone doing it". However, a full definition of imitation must consider multisensory aspects. (2) Wallon [28] defined imitation as a learning technique without reward (or reinforcement). (3) Whiten and Ham [29] defined imitation as the process by which the imitator learns some behavioral characteristics of the model. Imitation fulfils two essential functions for adaptation: it is used for learning and it serves to communicate without words [30]. Two children involved in imitation are temporally synchronized; they respond to the perception of movements or actions to produce a similar behavior. Compared to imitation, JA introduces a third partner during interaction. Emery defined JA as a triadic interaction that showed that both agents focus on a single object [31]. Some authors [32] have argued that JA implies viewing the behavior of other agents as intentionally driven. In that sense, JA is much more than gaze following or simultaneous looking [33].

- 176 Lack of Imitation and JA are the main problems when interacting with children with
- 177 ASD. While playing a game or conducting other activities with a social partner, these
- 178 children tend to not concentrate on what others are actually doing, switching to
- 179 repetitive and stereotypical behaviors that are of interest for the child but that usually
- have no or few relations with the actual social context. Imitation is possible but the 180
- communicative value of early imitation seems poorly understood [30]. Also, children 181
- 182 with ASD can display concerted attention to toys or objects that they like, but they
- 183 have difficulties in sharing attention or interests with others [34]. For example,
- maintaining eye contact with the caregiver is especially complicated [35, 36] and the 184
- 185 lack of JA is the consequence [37, 38].
- 186 Owing to the importance of Imitation and JA as core difficulties in ASD, we mapped
- a subset of related stimuli from the Early Start Denver Model (ESDM) protocol into 187
- 188 the gaming platform containing a set of games with varying levels of difficulties that
- 189 could be dynamically adjusted by the therapists. This program aims to meet the socio-
- 190 emotional needs of children and their families, to identify and use validated and
- effective intervention techniques that are based on developmental needs [39]. The 191
- 192 ESDM recently received strong evidence of its efficacy at the level of clinical
- 193 outcome [40] and brain plasticity [2].
- 194 Motivated by these facts, the purpose of the work is to design a novel computerized
- gaming platform that would allow: (1) delivering intensive intervention in nomadic 195
- 196 environments for Imitation and JA tasks in children with autism, (2) tailoring and
- 197 adapting intervention through child-specific assessment of difficulties, (3) enhancing
- 198 effective intervention hours (4) without increasing the cost of delivery. The major
- 199 point to note here is that GOLIAH is not intended to replace one of the state-of-the-art
- 200 intervention for ASD but to supplement and expand it for achieving its maximal
- 201 benefit.

Methods 202 2

203 2.1 **Participants**

- 204 We tested the software in a 3-month open trial with 10 children with ASD (all boys,
- 205 aged 5 to 9 years) to assess the performance of the software itself. All children were
- 206 recruited in the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hôpital Pitié-
- 207 Salpêtrière, Paris and in the Department of Child Neuropsychiatry, IRCCS Stella
- Maris Foundation, Calambrone, Pisa. The study was approved by the local ethics 208
- 209 committees of each institution (Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile De France VI
- under agreement number CCP 21-14, and Comitato Etico of the Stella Maris under 210
- 211 agreement number 05/2011) and was in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki.
- 212 Each parent gave informed written consent before inclusion for participation and for
- publication of the individual clinical data. Clinical characteristics of the children are 213
- 214 given in Table 4.

215 **Procedures** 2.2

- The intervention protocol used with children included 6 sessions per week (from 216
- 217 Monday to Friday) of training with GOLIAH: 5 sessions per week were at home with
- 218 the parents (mother or father) playing with their children in the afternoon; 1 session
- per week was planned at the hospital. The duration of each session, both at home and 219

- 220 at hospital, was equal to 20 minutes. The sessions at home and at hospital were the 221 same in terms of tasks. The only differences were the different setting (i.e. home or 222 hospital) and the partner (therapist or parent). Each child's plan was tailored on the 223 basis of functional profile and adapted during the 3-months protocol according to 224 children progress in playing the games. This open-trial aimed at assessing (1) the 225 usefulness of the gaming platform with children-therapist interactions as well as with 226 children-parents, (2) whether tailored intervention was useful when used at home and 227 with non-professional therapist/parents and (3) whether children performed as 228 expected when using the different Imitation and JA games. To do so, we used both 229 objective data computed from the platform and clinical annotations produced by 230 therapists during weekly sessions at hospital. (4) Finally, subjective views from users 231 were also explored through a questionnaire.
- At the beginning of the study, a 3 month open trial was planned with 60 sessions (four sessions at home per week + one session at the hospital per week = five sessions per
- week x 12 weeks = 60 sessions). To assess in detail the usability of the gaming
- platform, we planned a systematic recording of the number of times each game was
- played in each session by each of the ten children included in the 3-month study
- period. Details are shown individually in Table 5.

2.3 Instruments

238

239

251

2.3.1 Software Design

- 240 The game software has been developed in Microsoft Visual Studio 10 Platform in C#
- language. The platform has as many classes as the number of included mini-games;
- thus, creation of new games will not alter the existing ones. Real-time communication
- between two devices is performed through a multi-threading process which includes:
- 244 (1) game flow thread in which all the game tasks are performed (including sending
- objects to the other user) and (2) receiving thread in which the objects sent by the other user are received and fire the semaphore in the game flow thread. The two
- players are connected to a server, developed in C#, which acts as a bridge between
- them. In fact, the objects exchange occurs through a Socket connection based on a
- 249 TCP/IP protocol which ensures that the information exchange will not be lost during
- 250 the transmission.

2.3.2 Choice of stimuli

- 252 The ESDM is a comprehensive behavioral early intervention protocol for children
- 253 with autism. It uses a combination of developmental and behavioral techniques in
- both therapist and parent-implemented early intervention models [41, 42]. It is an
- 255 intervention for infants with ASD aged 12-48 months that combines Applied
- 256 Behavior Analysis (ABA) with developmental and relationship-based approaches.
- 257 The intervention is provided by trained therapists (Antonio Narzisi is a certified
- 258 therapist from MIND Institute, University of California, Davis) and parents.
- Each child's treatment program includes models based on: development, functional
- 260 profile, relational patterns and modification of behaviors. The curriculum includes,
- among others, systematic activities on receptive and expressive communication, as
- well as social, play, cognitive, self-care and fine and gross motor skills. Particular
- attention is devoted to specific tasks regarding Imitation and JA. ESDM considers JA
- as an activity in which two subjects are engaged with each other in the same

cooperative activity, attending to the same objects, or playing or working together on a common activity. A JA routine is made up of several phases: 1) the opening or set-up phase which involves the acts that precede the establishment of the first shared play activity based on the theme of the play. 2) The child and adult are engaged in a definable play activity, either object centered, like building blocks, pouring water, marking with crayons, or involving a social game like singing a song, dancing to music, or playing hide and seek. 3) The elaboration phase involves variation on the theme to keep it interesting or to highlight different aspects of the activity. This preserves the play from becoming repetitious and allows more skill areas to be addressed. 4) The closing is the fourth and final phase when attention is waning or the teaching value of the activity is all used up. It is a time to put materials away and to transit to something else. Closing allows nice transitions in changing activity, location and time.

Regarding imitation, in the ESDM different tasks may be proposed to the children: (a) imitation of actions on objects, (b) imitation of gestures and (c) vocal imitation of sounds and words. During intervention sessions, children are asked to imitate conventional or unconventional actions with and/or without objects using or not the vocalizations.

2.3.3 Mapping ESDM stimuli for Imitation and JA into a computerized gaming platform

The Imitation and JA stimuli are mapped into 11 games: seven Imitation and four JA games. Although currently the proposed platform consists of 11 games, it is flexible enough for developing/adding new games according to the need. A list of the games and the ESDM stimuli they address is depicted in Table 2. In developing the games, special attention has been devoted to their realistic resemblance to the real-life scenario, more importantly emulating human-human interactions during the game playing phase. Each of the games incorporates different levels of difficulty ranging from the application of one stimulus (e.g. the sound of a train), to a combination of different stimuli (e.g. the sound and the image of a train).

The seven Imitation-based games comprise of tasks involving the imitation of drawing, speech, sounds and building actions. For instance, the one related to the sound imitation (Imitation game 4) requires the child to repeat the sound played on the device, either a tablet or a computer. Whereas in the building action game (Imitation game 6) the child would build an object, starting from simple cubes, in a similar way to a normal session with Lego toys. The other four games are based on JA stimuli, including the identification of objects (like fruits, home furniture and vehicles), described or pointed to by the therapist/parent.

2.3.4 The gaming platform

The multi-player gaming platform developed here requires two computers or tablets with an active internet connection. One computer/tablet is operated by the therapist or parent (depending upon the application scenario) acting as the *therapist/parent* and the other by the child designated as the *player*. Currently the platform is available in three different languages (Italian, English and French) for providing instructions to the child and the therapist/parent.

- The choice of the language, the game to play as well as the goal setting is made by the therapist/parent. As instance, when playing the musical instrument game, the *therapist/parent* can select between two different goal settings: listen and recognize a sequence of (a) three or (b) six musical instruments. The role of the player is to achieve the goal set by the therapist/parent at the end of the game. In the game described above, the child will listen to a sequence of instruments and, depending on the goal selected, he will listen and recognize the sequence of three or six instruments.
- 316 The games can also be categorized in (a) stand-alone operation game and (b) game 317 requiring active co-operation between the therapist and the child. (a) The stand-alone 318 operation games contain pre-developed libraries containing the stimuli and the instruction to achieve the goal. The imitation game 4 – Imitate Sound is an example of 319 320 stand-alone game; the therapist/parent selects a list of animal's sounds to imitate: the 321 player will listen to each sound and imitate it. (b) In the second category of games, the 322 therapist/parent has an active role: he/she needs to cooperate with the child to achieve 323 the goal of the game and can also create new stimuli. An example of this category is 324 the Joint Attention game 2 – Cooperative drawing-connect dots: both therapist/parent 325 and the child have to cooperate to connect the dots and create the final figure. Details 326 and figures of these games can be found in the supplementary material.
- All the games have different levels of difficulty allowing the therapist/parent to adjust the initial level of difficulty according to the cognitive skills identified by the therapist at the beginning of the treatment process or dynamically adjusting it as the player's performance progresses with time.
- The performance of the player could be assessed mainly in two different ways: 331 332 through an (a) automated evaluation based on a predefined scoring convention and 333 through a (b) manual evaluation by the therapist/parent. (a) The automated evaluation 334 does not require any action to the therapist/parent: the game will automatically assign a score to the performance of the child. For example, the game will assign a positive 335 336 score if the child has selected the right musical instruments. (b) The manual 337 evaluation requires to the therapist/parent to select among three different buttons: score 0 if the player did not achieve the goal, 1 for partial achievement and 2 for 338 339 successfully satisfying the goal. As instance, at the end of the imitation game 4 -340 Imitate Sound, the therapist/parent has to click among three buttons indicating score 341 0, 1 or 2. Without loss of generality, a more complicated scoring system could be 342 programmed easily according to the need of granularity to assess the achievement of 343 the player.
- Apart from the simple scores describing whether the player has achieved the goal, a set of objective metrics and an array of possible events are also extracted by the platform in an automated way. A list of such objective measurements is given in Table 3 along with their definitions.
- This set of objective metrics allows the therapist to analyze quantitatively the performance of the player in a stimulus-specific way not only at a particular time point but also the progression of the child's performance over a time window (hours, days, months, etc.) giving a holistic picture of the child's development. For example, the therapist might want to analyze if the child recognize a particular musical instruments and if this recognition becomes quicker throughout the sessions. In addition, the objective metrics allow the therapist to ascertain the appropriateness of

- 355 scoring and adherence to the prescribed protocol by the parents. Such analysis could
- be done both online and offline by the therapist as the metrics are stored each time the
- 357 player plays the game. For example, in the imitation game 1- Free drawing, both the
- 358 therapist/parent and the player's drawing are saved as well as the scores given by the
- parent. The therapist could then check if the parent's scores adhere to the scoring
- 360 guidelines suggested by the therapist.
- 361 The gaming platform provides a flexible means for giving a reward to the player on
- 362 successful completion of the goal capturing the essence of reward-based intervention.
- In the current version a smiley face is shown at the end of each game in the player's
- device, regardless of the score obtained as a positive reinforcement which also gives
- an impression of feedback to the player. Such feedback is once again programmable
- and an appropriate reward could be set by the therapist depending on the player's
- motivation factors (such as playing music that the child likes, etc.).

368 **2.4 Descriptions of the games**

- 369 At the start of the game, the main window, shown in Figure 2 will appear on the
- therapist/parent's device. He/she will first choose the language in which the stimuli
- and instructions will be played. Thereafter, the therapist/parent selects the desired
- game which will automatically be launched on both devices.
- Here we report only the description of two games (Free drawing and Bake a recipe)
- and we use it to illustrate the children's performances through sessions of both
- 375 Imitation and JA (a detailed description of all other games is reported on
- 376 Supplementary Materials Games Description.doc).

377 **2.4.1** Joint attention game 3 – Bake a recipe

- 378 This game is targeted to cook a recipe by mixing six ingredients in a bowl, as shown
- in Figure 3. The therapist/parent selects the recipe to cook among 11 dishes from a
- 380 standardized library, which includes pizza, tiramisu', lasagne, omelette, roasted
- chicken, pasta, etc. For each of the six ingredients, as soon as the therapist/parent
- 382 clicks on it, an arrow connecting this ingredient to the bowl appears on the player's
- device, as shown in Figure 3. The player needs to drag the ingredients into the bowl.
- When all the ingredients have been dragged into the bowl, the player has to click on
- 385 the Mix button and, finally, he/she has to choose the recipe they cooked among seven
- 386 dishes.
- 387 As before, an event with positive or negative score is generated each time the player
- 388 clicks on an ingredient and drags it into the bowl, as well as when the correct recipe is
- 389 recognized.

390 **2.4.2 Imitation game 1 – Free drawing**

- 391 This imitation game is intended for examining the player's ability to imitate several
- 392 objects drawn by the therapist/parent, starting from very basic drawings, such as
- scribbles and dots, to very complicated, like letters and numbers. The whole process
- 394 of this game is shown in Figure 4, where the blue window indicates the
- therapist/parent's window and the red window indicates the player's window. Once
- launched, a window will appear on both therapist/parent and player's device with
- 397 clearly marked separate drawing panels. The therapist/parent can draw any object of

398 any shape in the panel dedicated to him/her (on the right). Once completed, the 399 therapist/parent's drawing appears on the player's device and the player needs to imitate that drawing in his/her dedicated panel (on the left). The live outline of the 400 401 player's drawing will appear on the therapist/parent's device. Depending on whether 402 the drawing is correct or not, the therapist/parent can decide to finish the game (by 403 clicking on the tick button) or encourage the player to have another try (by clicking on 404 the cross button). The quality of the imitation will be evaluated by the therapist/parent 405 among three possibilities: correct, incorrect or partially correct. To avoid 406 discrepancies and to create normalization, the therapists involved in this study have 407 reached an agreement, according to the ESDM, on how to evaluate the drawings and 408 sounds imitation and train the parents to adhere to it.

3 Results and Discussion

409

410

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

3.1 Validation by testing with children

411 Overall, during the study period, the children and the parents participated in 77.5% of 412 the planned sessions at home and in 90% of the hospital sessions. All children went through all games (seven Imitation games and four JA games). Given the diversity of 413 the games and the heterogeneity of children profile and abilities, for a given game the 414 415 number of sessions dedicated to the game varied. Also given the levels of difficulty within a game, all the conditions of the games have not been exploited by the children 416 417 at the end of the 3 months. All games were well tolerated and followed both by 418 children and parents showing the robustness of the gaming platform and the feasibility of the course of the games. One family initially had troubles in using the two tablets 419 420 system related to Wi-Fi connecting problems that could be easily corrected. Tailoring 421 treatment during the hospital session and data transfer from home was easily 422 achieved.

423 3.2 Children's performance through sessions and games

We selected two games to illustrate the children's performances through sessions of both Imitation and JA by using either quantitative or qualitative scoring. Our goal here was to verify how meaningful the extracted scores were from each game session to follow the child's progress or difficulties.

3.2.1 Bake a recipe (Joint attention game 3 – quantitative scoring)

Figure 5 and Figure 6 show children's performances for the JA game 3 - Bake a recipe. Figure 5 represents the evolution of the time (in seconds) to complete the task for the JA game 3. For one session (T_i , T_{i+1} ...), completion time is averaged, as the children practice the game several times during one session. As sessions progressed over time, children become faster to achieve the task. Each line corresponds to the evolution of the task completion time across different sessions for a given child. The red dot curve represents the evolution of task completion time averaged for all children (N = 10): a common overall decrease was observed in all subjects. To assess whether the task completion time significantly decreased over the sessions, we used a linear mixed model with the Log (time to complete the task) to be explained by the number of sessions as a continuous variable. The Log function was required to have a normal distribution. We found that the time to complete the task significantly decreased along sessions ($\beta = -0.021$, t value = -5.53, p < .001).

- In parallel, the number of errors decreased also over time (Figure 6). For this game,
- the mistakes which have been taken into account are: wrong and fake answers during
- 444 the first "mixing ingredients" phase of the game (when the child selects the wrong
- ingredient or when he presses one or several wrong ingredients after selecting the
- 446 correct one) and wrong answers during the "choose recipe" phase of the game (when
- he/she has to guess the cooked recipe). For reasons of readability of the boxplot type
- graph (Figure 6), the sessions have been grouped into four periods (period $1 = T_1, T_2$,
- 449 T_3 , T_4 ; period $2 = T_5$, T_6 , T_7 , T_8 ; period $3 = T_9$, T_{10} , T_{11} , T_{12} ; period $4 = T_{13}$, T_{14} , T_{15} ,
- 450 T_{16}).
- 451 According to our data, the children who had already good performances at the
- 452 beginning (Period 1), kept their performances constant all along. But there is an
- 453 important decrease of the number of errors per child across the four periods,
- 454 particularly for the children who committed several mistakes initially. At the end
- (Period 4), the number of mistakes is very low for all children. To assess whether the
- number of errors significantly decreased over the number of sessions, we used a linear
- 457 mixed model with a binomial variable (the probability of correct answers) to be
- 458 explained by the number of sessions as a continuous variable. We found that the
- probability of correct answers significantly increased with the number of sessions (β =
- 460 0.039, z value = 2.78, p = .005). In sum, for this game, the results after 3 months
- 461 training are promising.

3.2.2 Free drawing (Imitation game 1 – qualitative scoring)

- For the second game (Imitation game 1- Free drawing), the evolution of performances
- is illustrated from the results of one child, since the results are mainly qualitative and
- 465 it is difficult to compare the drawing performances of one child with another
- 466 (complexity of pictures, differences in drawing time, differences in fine motor skills,
- 467 etc.).

462

479

- 468 Figure 7 shows that the child becomes faster at reproducing the drawing model
- $(R^2 = 0.867)$. In addition, the quality of imitation improved throughout the sessions as
- 470 shown by the evolution of the imitation scores (given by the therapist/parent) in
- Figure 8. The quality of the imitation is evaluated by the therapist/parent among 3
- possibilities: correct (score 2), partially correct (score 1) and incorrect (score 0).
- Figure 8(a) shows that the average score (av = 1.7) during the third period (T_7 - T_9) is
- different from the initial scores for the
- periods T_1 - T_3 (av = 1.2) and T_4 - T_6 (av = 0.9). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 8(b),
- 476 the child needed fewer trials to reproduce the therapist/parent's drawing. As an
- 477 illustration, Figure 9 represents the evolution of child's imitation skills in drawing
- across the 3 periods.

3.3 Parents experience and view

- 480 At the end of the 3-month open trial, a web questionnaire was sent to the parents of
- 481 children who participated in the open-trial (10 parents). The questionnaire contained
- 482 12 questions with a positive or negative orientation toward the serious game (see
- details at https://goo.gl/foMpPI). The questions asked about about the use of the game
- 484 (ease of use for parents, chosen media, technical problems, etc.) and the improvement
- in the child's skills (concentration, attention, imitation, self-esteem, etc.). The parents
- had to answer through a Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree,

487 3 = no opinion, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree). Results are summarized in Figure 10 488 and show that parents have positively assessed the use of the serious game as a treatment. 67% of interrogated families did not observe a decrease in the child's 489 motivation to work on tablets; 44% of them were not particularly disturbed by the 490 491 constraints on daily activities caused by the use of the serious game on tablets and 492 33% judged that the feasibility of treatment was not seriously hampered due to 493 technical problems. The media (digital tablet) was not considered as too stimulating by 89% of the families and more than 67% of them thought that there was a 494 495 specifically attractive aspect related to the media itself. Only one negative point was 496 noted: 44% of the parents found that the games were inadequate given their children's 497 profile. At the beginning of our pilot study we were aware of this possible limitation. 498 However, since our focus was to assess the feasibility and usability of the game, older 499 participants were preferred because they could be more willing to collaborate and test 500 the game.

Concerning progress on the children's skills, it seems that there is not so much progress on Imitation since the majority of the parents (67%) had no specific opinion on this topic. On the contrary, JA (spontaneous sharing) seemed to be slightly ameliorated (33% agreement). Interestingly, some skills that were not directly trained by the games strongly evolved during the course of the 3-month open trial according to parents: child's self-esteem, child's concentration and child's flexibility. Moreover, the quality of parents-child relationship was qualified as enhanced for 56% of the parents. We could hypothesize that the interactive nature of GOLIAH and its pleasantness for the child had the effect of improving parent-child interaction also in other contexts, which is a generalization effect that often is lacking in treatments for autism.

4 Conclusions

501

502

503

504

505

506

507508

509

510511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532533

In the current paper, we described a gaming platform for home-based intervention in ASD. Within the context of a pilot open trial, we showed the feasibility of the intervention. We found that (1) the gaming platform was useful during both childrentherapist interaction at hospital as well as children-parents interaction at home, (2) tailored intervention was compatible with at home use and non-professional therapist/parents, (3) children performed as expected when using the different Imitation and JA games and no game appeared inaccurate, (4) data computed from the platform and clinical annotations produced by parents and therapists allowed session to session monitoring and helped therapists to dynamically reconfigure treatment and (5) subjective views from users (mainly parents here) were overall positive. From the clinical point of view the most important benefits of this novel method of intervention for children with autism are: a) the rapid performance amelioration on tasks based on Imitation and JA that are considered pivotal for children with autism; b) to create a scenario where the spontaneous, and usually lone, activity with video games is easily pushed to become a shared activity; c) a general amelioration of attention and availability to discuss the results of a performance. Nevertheless, some limitations must be considered. First, the lack of more precise and external evaluation of improvements in Imitation and JA with specific methodology; second, a deeper analysis of the minority of parents who have signaled difficulties in applying GOLIAH is needed to individuate for which child and for which family it could be more indicated; third, in a future study it will be important to study the gender

- differences than the current GOLIAH tasks and to evaluate the appropriateness of the
- GOLIAH tasks also with girls with ASD. Given the promising preliminary results, we
- are moving now within the context of FP7 MICHELANGELO project to further
- ascertain the efficacy of the gaming platform in the context of a bigger (N = 30) and
- longer (6 months) clinical trial including a control group. Besides Imitation and JA,
- two cognitive skills directly targeted within the gaming platform, we plan to use
- 540 external primary variables (i.e. Vineland scores and Social Communication
- 541 Questionnaire) to assess generalization.

542 **5** Competing interests

544

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

6 Authors' contributions

- Valentina Bono created the entire gaming platform with help from Wasifa Jamal and
- 546 Stephane Hommel. Koushik Maharatna and Mike Wald first conceptualized the
- 547 gaming platform and Koushik Maharatna coordinated the work. Antonio Narzisi
- made critical evaluations of the stimuli to be selected from ESDM protocol, recruited
- and evaluated the children in Pisa and ran the open trial; Anne-Lise Jouen adapted
- 550 ESDM stimuli in serious game stimuli; Jean Xavier recruited the children in Paris;
- 551 Elodie Tilmont recruited and evaluated children in Paris and ran the open trial;
- Mohamed Chetouani, David Cohen and Filippo Muratori provided supervision and
- reviewed the paper. The Michelangelo study group contributed to the overall project
- and study design, help in managing computational data and engineering issues.

555 7 Acknowledgements

- This work was supported by the European Commission (FP7: MICHELANGELO
- under grant agreement no. 288241) and the endowment fund "Entreprendre pour
- aider" and the Foundation "Initiative Autisme". Authors thank Nicolas Bodeau for
- 559 statistical advice.

560 8 Appendix A

561 MICHELANGELO Study Group

- 562 Silvio Bonfiglio (FIMI, Italy), Fabio Apicella, Federico Sicca (Fondazione Stella
- 563 Maris, Italy), Lucia Billeci, Giovanni Pioggia (CNR, Italy), Federico Cruciani,
- 564 Cristiano Paggetti (I+, Italy), Angele Giuliano, Maryrose Francisa (Accros Limit,
- Malta), Saptarshi Das (University of Southampton, UK), Leo Galway, Mark Donnelly
- 566 (University of Ulster, UK).

9 Availability of Data and Materials

- The data used to represent the results of the games Bake a recipe and Free Drawing
- can be found in the Supplementary material as DataGames.xls.

570 10 References

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual-Text Revised DSM-IV-TR (2000 ed.),
 American Psychiatric Association (2000).

573

583

588

598

603

606

611

- G. Dawson, S. Rogers, J. Munson, M. Smith, J. Winter, J. Greenson, A.
 Donaldson, and J. Varley, Randomized, controlled trial of an intervention for toddlers with autism: the Early Start Denver Model, *Pediatrics* 125, e17 (2010).
- R. J. Landa, Diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders in the first 3 years of life,
 Nature Clinical Practice Neurology 4, 138 (2008).
- W. D. National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, *Educating children with autism*, ERIC Clearinghouse (2001).
- 584 5. B. Reichow and M. Wolery, Comprehensive synthesis of early intensive behavioral interventions for young children with autism based on the UCLA young autism project model, *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* 39, 23 (2009).
- W. L. Stone and P. J. Yoder, Predicting spoken language level in children with autism spectrum disorders, *Autism* 5, 341 (2001).
- 7. P. Szatmari, S. Bryson, M. Boyle, D. Streiner, and E. Duku, Predictors of outcome among high functioning children with autism and Asperger syndrome,
 Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 44, 520 (2003).
- 8. R. Picard and M. Goodwin, Developing innovative technology for future personalized autism research and treatment, *Autism Advocate* 50, 32 (**2008**).
- B. Remington, R. P. Hastings, H. Kovshoff, F. degli Espinosa, E. Jahr, T. Brown,
 P. Alsford, M. Lemaic, N. Ward, and J. W. E. MacLean, Early intensive
 behavioral intervention: outcomes for children with autism and their parents after
 two years, *American Journal on Mental Retardation* 112, 418 (2007).
- 10. C. P. Johnson, S. M. Myers, and others, Identification and evaluation of children with autism spectrum disorders, *Pediatrics* 120, 1183 (**2007**).
- L. Zwaigenbaum, M. L. Bauman, R. Choueiri, D. Fein, C. Kasari, K. Pierce, W.
 L. Stone, N. Yirmiya, A. Estes, R. L. Hansen, and others, Early Identification and
 Interventions for Autism Spectrum Disorder: Executive Summary, *Pediatrics* 136, S1 (2015).
- L. Zwaigenbaum, M. L. Bauman, R. Choueiri, C. Kasari, A. Carter, D.
 Granpeesheh, Z. Mailloux, S. S. Roley, S. Wagner, D. Fein, and others, Early
 intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder under 3 years of age:
 recommendations for practice and research, *Pediatrics* 136, S60 (2015).
- 13. A. Battocchi, F. Pianesi, D. Tomasini, M. Zancanaro, G. Esposito, P. Venuti, A.
 Ben Sasson, E. Gal, and P. L. Weiss, Collaborative Puzzle Game: a tabletop
 interactive game for fostering collaboration in children with Autism Spectrum

- 620 Disorders (ASD), (**2009**), pp. 197–204.
- 621
- 622 14. L. Cheng, G. Kimberly, and F. Orlich, *KidTalk: online therapy for Asperger's syndrome*, (**2003**).
- 624
- 15. A. M. Piper, E. O'Brien, M. R. Morris, and T. Winograd, SIDES: a cooperative tabletop computer game for social skills development, (**2006**), pp. 1–10.
- 627
- 16. Z. R. Mevarech, O. Silber, and D. Fine, Learning with computers in small groups: Cognitive and affective outcomes, *Journal of Educational Computing Research* 7, 233 (**1991**).
- 631
- 632 17. M. Moore and S. Calvert, Brief report: Vocabulary acquisition for children with autism: Teacher or computer instruction, *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* 30, 359 (**2000**).
- 635
- 18. D. M. Pascualvaca, B. D. Fantie, M. Papageorgiou, and A. F. Mirsky, Attentional capacities in children with autism: Is there a general deficit in shifting focus?,
 Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 28, 467 (1998).
- 639
- 19. S. Boucenna, A. Narzisi, E. Tilmont, F. Muratori, G. Pioggia, D. Cohen, and M.
 Chetouani, Interactive technologies for autistic children: A review, *Cognitive Computation* 6, 722 (2014).

643

D. Cohen, R. S. Cassel, C. Saint-Georges, A. Mahdhaoui, M.-C. Laznik, F.
 Apicella, P. Muratori, S. Maestro, F. Muratori, and M. Chetouani, Do parentese prosody and fathers' involvement in interacting facilitate social interaction in infants who later develop autism?, *Plos one* 8, e61402 (2013).

647 648

21. C. Saint-Georges, A. Mahdhaoui, M. Chetouani, R. S. Cassel, M.-C. Laznik, F.
 Apicella, P. Muratori, S. Maestro, F. Muratori, and D. Cohen, Do parents
 recognize autistic deviant behavior long before diagnosis? Taking into account
 interaction using computational methods, *PloS one* 6, e22393 (2011).

653

22. P. Howlin, P. Moss, S. Savage, and M. Rutter, Social outcomes in mid-to later
 adulthood among individuals diagnosed with autism and average nonverbal IQ as
 children, *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 52,
 572 (2013).

658

A. M. Roux, P. T. Shattuck, B. P. Cooper, K. A. Anderson, M. Wagner, and S. C.
 Narendorf, Postsecondary employment experiences among young adults with an autism spectrum disorder., *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 52, 931 (2013).

663

24. A. Narzisi, F. Muratori, S. Calderoni, F. Fabbro, and C. Urgesi,
 Neuropsychological profile in high functioning autism spectrum disorders,
 Journal of autism and developmental disorders 43, 1895 (2013).

667

M. B. Ospina, J. K. Seida, B. Clark, M. Karkhaneh, L. Hartling, L. Tjosvold, B.
 Vandermeer, and V. Smith, Behavioural and developmental interventions for

- autism spectrum disorder: a clinical systematic review, *PloS one* 3, e3755 (**2008**).
- 672 26. E. L. Thorndike, Animal intelligence: An experimental study of the associative processes in animals, *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied* 2, i (1898).
- 675
 676 27. K. Toth, J. Munson, A. N. Meltzoff, and G. Dawson, Early predictors of communication development in young children with autism spectrum disorder:
 678 Joint attention, imitation, and toy play, *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* 36, 993 (2006).
- 681 28. H. Wallon, De l'acte à la pensée., Flammarion (1942).

680

682

686

689

692

699

702

707

712

- 683 29. A. Whiten and R. Ham, On the nature and evolution of imitation in the animal kingdom: reappraisal of a century of research, *Advances in the Study of Behavior* 21, 239 (**1992**).
- 687 30. J. Nadel, Does imitation matter to children with autism, *Imitation and the social mind* 118 (**2006**).
- 31. N. Emery, The eyes have it: the neuroethology, function and evolution of social gaze, *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 24, 581 (**2000**).
- 693 32. M. Tomasello, Joint attention as social cognition, *Joint attention: Its origins and*694 role in development 103 (**1995**).
 695
- 696 33. M. Carpenter, K. Nagell, M. Tomasello, G. Butterworth, and C. Moore, Social cognition, joint attention, and communicative competence from 9 to 15 months of age, *Monographs of the society for research in child development* i (**1998**).
- 34. S. J. Rogers and G. Dawson, Early Start Denver Model Curriculum Checklist for
 Young Children with Autism, Guilford Press (2009).
- 35. S. Maestro, F. Muratori, M. C. Cavallaro, C. Pecini, A. Cesari, A. Paziente, D.
 Stern, B. Golse, and F. Palacio-Espasa, How young children treat objects and
 people: an empirical study of the first year of life in autism, *Child Psychiatry and Human Development* 35, 383 (2005).
- 36. C. Saint-Georges, R. S. Cassel, D. Cohen, M. Chetouani, M.-C. Laznik, S.
 Maestro, and F. Muratori, What studies of family home movies can teach us about autistic infants: A literature review, *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders* 4, 355 (2010).
- 713 37. P. F. Dominey and C. Dodane, Indeterminacy in language acquisition: the role of child directed speech and joint attention, *Journal of Neurolinguistics* 17, 121 (2004).
- 38. D. Premack and G. Woodruff, Does the chimpanzee have a theory of mind?,
 Behavioral and brain sciences 1, 515 (1978).

39. M. Smith, S. Rogers, and G. Dawson, The Early Start Denver Model: a
 comprehensive early intervention approach for toddlers with autism, *Preschool Education Programs for Children With Autism. 3rd ed. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed Corporation, Inc* 65 (2008).

724

40. G. Dawson, E. J. Jones, K. Merkle, K. Venema, R. Lowy, S. Faja, D. Kamara, M. Murias, J. Greenson, J. Winter, and others, Early behavioral intervention is associated with normalized brain activity in young children with autism, *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 51, 1150 (2012).

729

41. S. J. Rogers and G. Dawson, Early start Denver model for young children with
 autism: Promoting Language, Learning, and Engagement, Guilford Press (2010).

732

733 42. S. Rogers, G. Dawson, and L. Vismara, *An early start for your child with autism*, New York: Guilford Press (**2012**).

735736

737 **11 Figures**

738 11.1 Figure 1 - The conceptual closed-loop intervention system

- 739 The games contained in the platform are used for assessing the child, first, and for
- intervention purposes later. The first aim is to characterize the starting cognitive skills
- of a child by playing games at different levels of difficulty. After identifying the
- current level of ability of the child, a series of games and difficulties will be planned
- by the therapist and employed at home. According to the evaluation, both automated
- and manual, a new set of games will be planned by the therapist.

745 **11.2 Figure 2 - Main windows of the therapist/parent during the beginning of the game**

- 747 The therapist/parent (blue windows) will select the language, the category of the game
- 748 (whether Joint Attention or Imitation), and the game, according to the category
- 749 chosen.

750 11.3 Figure 3 - Flow of the Joint Attention game 3 - Bake a recipe

- 751 The therapist/parent (blue windows), after selecting the recipe, will select each
- 752 ingredient to be dragged into the bowl. The red arrow on the player's device (red
- vindow) will indicate the ingredient selected by the therapist/parent. After dragging
- all the ingredients, the player's will click on the recipe cooked.

755 11.4 Figure 4 - Flow of the Imitation game 1 – Free drawing

- The therapist/parent's drawing (blue window) appears on the player's window (red
- 757 window) who will then imitate the drawing and send it to the therapist/parent. After
- 758 the therapist/parent's feedback, the smiley will appear on the player's device while
- 759 the therapist/parent will evaluate the imitation as Correct/Incomplete/Incorrect.

760 761	11.5 Figure 5 - Evolution of the time (in seconds) to complete the task for the Joint Attention game 3 – Bake a recipe
762 763 764	Evolution of the time occurred to complete the Joint Attention game 3 for each child (each color represents a child) across different sessions. The average across children, in dotted red, shows a decreasing trend across sessions.
765 766	11.6 Figure 6 - Number of errors performed to complete the task for the Joint Attention game 3 during different periods
767 768 769	The figure contains the number of mistakes committed by the 10 children during the Joint Attention game 3. The total number of errors decreases across the different periods, as shown by the variability (from 19 to five).
770 771	11.7 Figure 7 - Evolution of the time (in seconds) to complete the drawing in Imitation game 1
772 773 774	Evolution of the time occurred to complete the Imitation game 1 for one child across different sessions. Figure shows that the child becomes faster at reproducing the drawing model.
775 776	11.8 Figure 8 - Evolution of the performances of one child during the Imitation game 1
777 778 779 780 781 782 783	The error bars (a) describes the variations of the scores given by the therapist at hospital for different sessions. The quality of imitation improved throughout the sessions: the average score ($av = 1.7$) during the third period (T7-T9) is closer to the maximum score (score 2) and higher than the initial scores for the periods T1-T3 ($av = 1.2$) and T4-T6 ($av = 0.9$). The average of number of trials required to complete the imitation, shown on the right (b), has decreased as well across different sessions from the first period (T1-T3 with $av = 2$) to the next periods (T4-T6 and T7-T9 with $av = 1.4$).
784	11.9 Figure 9 - Evolution of the imitation skills of a child across three periods
785 786	Example of the evolution of the imitation skill for one of the children across different periods.
787	11.10 Figure 10 - Results related to the questionnaire proposed to the parents
788 789	Answers given by the parents of the children recruited for the study to the questionnaire containing the questions related to the use of the GOLIAH platform.
790	12 Tables
791	12.1 Table 1 - Advantages of the closed-loop GOLIAH approach

Tailoring intervention through careful assessment of the child

- Being computer based, the stimuli for assessment can be programmed in an exact reproducible way.
- The same type of stimulus could be mapped into different games giving the child the feeling that he/she plays different games. This is particularly important for assessing the child's difficulties since

repetition of the same game may force them not to respond to his/her capability level out of boredom. This fact is also true during the intervention stage.

- Different difficulty levels could be incorporated within the games to ascertain the child's performance even for a specific type of stimulus.
- The whole process could be run automatically without incurring extra load on the therapist at the assessment phase.
- A set of quantitative measures could be extracted in an automated way assessing the child objectively.

Nomadic Intervention

- The process could be deployed in nomadic environments where the child may play the game either with his/her parents or remotely with the therapist through internet connections.
- Parents will need minimal training.
- Automated measurements could give an objective idea about how the child's performance changes over time in stimulus-specific way.
- The therapist can adjust the intervention remotely and dynamically by adding/removing games from the pre-stored library.
- It also opens up the possibility of a batch-mode intervention where the therapist may deliver intervention to multiple children located at various locations in one session.

12.2 Table 2 - Mapping of ESDM stimuli for JA and imitation into the games

FM: Fine Motor subset; IM: imitation subset; RC: Receptive Communication subset; JA: Joint Attention subset.

Game type	Description	ESDM stimuli
Imitation game 1: imitate free drawing	Imitation of the drawing done by the online therapist/parent	(lev.4) FM 4
Imitation game 2: Imitate step by step drawing	Imitation of a drawing created step by step from the online therapist/parent (three difficulties)	(lev.4) FM 4
Imitation game 3: Imitate Speech	Imitation of words or phrases from the library (three difficulties)	(lev.2) IM 3, 9
Imitation game 4: Imitate sounds	Imitation of sounds chosen from the library (four difficulties and two categories of stimuli)	(lev.2) IM 2
Imitation game 5: Imitate actions	Imitation of the actions with balls made by the online therapist/parent (three difficulties and two types of task)	(lev.2) IM 6
Imitation game 6: Imitate actions and build	Imitation of the actions with cubes made by the online therapist/parent (three difficulties and two types of task)	(lev.3) FM 3
Imitation game 7: Guess the instrument	Identification of the musical instruments played and chosen by the therapist/parent from the library (two difficulties)	(lev. 1, 2) IM
Joint attention game 1: Follow the therapist's pointing (both audio and visual)	Identification of the object indicated (verbally, visually or pointed) by the therapist on the video and chosen from the library (six difficulties and eight categories of stimuli)	(lev.1) RC 1, 4 (lev.2) JA 2, 4, 6
Joint attention game 2: Cooperative drawing - connect dots	The therapist and the child cooperate to complete a figure shown on the right, by clicking on the corners of the figure itself (two difficulties and four categories of stimuli)	JA
Joint attention game 3: Bake a cake	The child cooks a recipe by clicking and dragging into a bowl the ingredients chosen by the therapist/parent from the library of recipes (11 categories of stimuli)	JA
Joint attention game 4: Receptive communication	The child identifies the objects described by the therapist/parent and chosen from the library (three difficulties and five categories of stimuli)	(lev.2) RC 5, (lev.1) RC 6, (lev.1) RC 4

12.3 Table 3 - The objective metrics extracted by the gaming platform

Measurement type	Measured Metrics	Type of the stimulus embedded within the game and the name of the object the player has to click or drag or draw					
Automated	Name of stimulus						
	Time of the stimulus	Defined by the difference $\Delta T_s = T_{ss} - T_{es}$ between a start time T_{ss} variable (the time instant the stimulus starts to be shown or played on the child's device) and an end time T_{es} variable (the time instant the stimulus is finished)					
	Time of response	Defined by the difference $\Delta T_r = T_{sr} - T_{er}$ between a start time T_{sr} variable (the time instant the child starts to respond) and an end time T_{er} variable (the time instant the child complete his/her response)					
	Type of response	Defined by the correctness of the child's response depending on whether the child performs action as intended by the therapist/parent (only Correct or Incorrect)					
	Score of response	Assigned score to the response of the child, either 1 or 0 signifying whether the intended response has been achieved or not respectively – a more complicated scoring system could be programmed					
	Image of the stimulus and the response	A screenshot of the child's device obtained during imitation drawing and the action games – assisting the therapist to analyze the response further offline to ascertain the quality of response.					
	Sound recording	The audio response of the player recorded during the sound and speech imitation games – allowing the therapist to check the quality of response					
Manual	Therapist/Parent evaluation	Defined as Complete/Partially complete/Incomplete response of the child according to the therapist/parent judgment					
	Manual score	Assigned to 0/1/2 corresponding to the therapist/parent evaluation of the child's action – a more complicated scoring system could be programmed					

12.4 Table 4 - Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants

ASD: Autistic Spectrum Disorder; ADI-R: Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised; WISC 3: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children 3; WPPSI: Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence; VIQ: Verbal Intelligent Quotient; PIQ: Performance

804	Intelligent Quotient
-----	----------------------

N=10) ± 1.4) - 0
- 0
± 4.58)
5.82)
2.91)
(± 14)
± 24.8)
± 16.7)
± 13.4)
-

12.5 Table 5 - Number of sessions per game and per child during the 3-month study period

Child	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	N of sessions per game for all children: mean [range]
					C	<i>IMIT</i> A	ATION GAM				
Imitate free drawing	11	4	4	6	3	19	16	19	15	16	11 [3-19]
Imitate step by step draw	17	13	24	10	5	20	11	18	13	9	14 [5-24]
Imitate Speech	17	13	15	9	11	15	11	19	12	6	13 [6-19]
Imitate sounds	2	19	10	13	11	10	17	9	11	8	11 [2-19]
Imitate actions	15	23	7	6	10	14	11	14	4	16	12 [4-23]
Imitate actions and build	12	11	19	13	12	12	14	11	12	13	13 [11-19]
Guess the instrument	4	3	11	10	9	2	1	7	6	5	6 [1-11]
						JOINT AT	TENTION (<i>GAMES</i>			

Follow the therapist's pointing	15	19	20	17	12	14	13	16	21	12	807 16 [12-21]
Cooperative drawing	2	19	15	11	13	9	11	11	18	18	13 [2-19]
Bake a cake	11	14	16	15	12	18	9	12	19	7	13 [7-19]
Receptive communication	21	25	31	20	17	16	15	25	9	12	19 [9-31]
N of sessions per child for all games: mean [range]	12 [2-21]	15 [3-25]	16 [4-31]	12 [6-20]	10 [3-17]	14 [2-20]	12 [1-17]	15 [7-25]	13 [4-21]	11 [5-18]	

808 13 Supplementary Material

809 **13.1 Supplementary Material 1 – GamesDescription.doc**

- 810 This file contains the description of the other nine games of the gaming platform
- which have not been described in the paper.
- 812 **13.2 Supplementary Material 2 DataGames.xls**
- This file contains the data used for the analysis of the games Bake a recipe and Free
- Drawing presented in the Results and Discussion section.

























